

Page 3 1 HEARING re Adversary Proceeding: 22-01139-mg Celsius Network 2 Limited et al v. Stone et al Hybrid Evidentiary Hearing RE: 3 Motion of Plaintiffs for Preliminary Injunction Pursuant to 4 Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. (Doc 5 # 20 to 25, 27 to 29, 32 to 34, 42 to 45, 51 to 53, 57, 58) 6 Hybrid Evidentiary Hearing Scheduled for 1/11/22 at 9 AM & 7 1/12/22 at 9 AM. 8 9 HEARING re Hearing Using Zoom for Government RE: Motion of 10 Plaintiffs for Preliminary Injunction Pursuant to Rule 7065 11 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (Doc# 1353 to 1358, 1361) Going Forward on 01/11/2023 at 9:00 am and 12 13 01/12/2023 at 9:00 am 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

		Page 4
1	AP	PEARANCES:
2		
3	AKIN	GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLP
4		Attorneys for the Plaintiffs Celsius Network Limited
5		One Bryant Park
6		Bank of America Tower
7		New York, NY 10036
8		
9	BY:	MITCH HURLEY
10		DEAN CHAPMAN
11		ELIZABETH SCOTT
12		JESSICA MANNON
13		
14	KYLE	ROCHE P.A.
15		Attorneys for KeyFi, Stone
16		260 Madison Avenue, 8th Floor
17		New York, NY 10016
18		
19	BY:	KYLE WILLIAM ROCHE
20		VEL FREEDMAN
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 5 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 BAILIFF: All set. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Go ahead and pause. CLERK: 5 THE COURT: All right, let me ask you, is everyone 6 ready to proceed (indiscernible)? 7 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor, for plaintiffs. 8 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor, for defendants. 9 THE COURT: All right. Okay. We had a long day 10 yes -- let me start by saying I appreciated everybody's 11 efforts yesterday. It was a long day, and I'm glad we 12 finished the evidence yesterday. Let me just repeat a 13 little of what I said yesterday, because we have a number of 14 people, creditors or others, who were appearing by Zoom 15 again today if you were here yesterday during the 16 evidentiary phase. 17 I'll only recognize the lawyers who were counsel 18 of record in this case during the hearing today. Everyone 19 else should remain mute. If anyone interferes with the 20 proceeding, they will be cut off. I think everything from 21 my standpoint went smoothly yesterday. 22 So, let's proceed. Mr. Hurley, do you want to 23 begin? 24 Judge, I think we need everyone to make 25 appearances at the (indiscernible).

Page 6 1 THE COURT: We do. You're right, Jen. Thank you. 2 Go ahead. Let me get the appearances of counsel first for 3 the plaintiff. MR. HURLEY: Mitch Hurley with Akin Gump Strauss 4 5 Hauer & Feld on behalf of the plaintiffs, and with me at 6 counsel table are Dean Chapman and Lizzy Scott. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Roche? 8 MR. FREEDMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Vel 9 Freedman and with me is co-counsel Kyle Roche 10 (indiscernible) --11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: -- for defendants. 13 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Okay. Go ahead, 14 Mr. Hurley. 15 MR. HURLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Again for the 16 record it's Mitch Hurley with Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld 17 for the plaintiffs. Your Honor, Celsius submits that the 18 status quo injunction it seeks should be granted. Celsius 19 again is asking only for an injunction that will preserve 20 assets that the defendants admit they took out of Celsius' 21 wallets and transferred to their own temporarily for the 22 period of time that it takes to resolve this action so that 23 those assets are available to satisfy a judgment in the 24 event one is entered. 25 THE COURT: Let me ask you this question, Mr.

Hurley, and I hope you'll talk more about this during your closing. When you say the assets they took from Celsius' wallets, are you talking about those assets that were removed from Celsius' wallets after Mr. Stone resigned from this (indiscernible)?

MR. HURLEY: No, Your Honor. I'm talking about the -- all of the transactions that were unauthorized that they engaged in in Celsius' wallets, which we submit are -- include substantial numbers of transactions that long predate the March 9th resignation.

And I will talk about this more, Your Honor, but what the defendants have to do respectfully is establish some evidence for their affirmative defense, which is that those transfers were actually authorized, and there is no evidence for that at all, certainly not the contracts. I mean, that's why they have to come up with this story about Alex Mashinsky --

THE COURT: Oh, you can't -- it's a question for the Court to evaluate the evidence, but you say no evidence, but the evidence is Mr. Stone's testimony, disputed yes, but Mr. Stone's testimony that Mr. Mashinsky authorized him to take an advance on his profit share. You may not agree with the -- with his testimony. You certainly challenged it in cross-examination, but do you agree that that is the evidence that the defendants are relying on for the

Page 8 1 withdrawals from the Celsius wallet before Mr. Stone 2 resigned? 3 MR. HURLEY: We are, and I think that's a great question and great point, because as far as I know, they're 4 5 relying on that evidence for both the pre-March 9th 6 transfers and the post-March 9th transfers. 7 They continue to say that the property they took 8 after he resigned, the property that he took after March 9 26th and our demand letter, was still authorized, okay? 10 That is an incredible assertion, and what does it tell you 11 about the credibility --THE COURT: Okay, you can -- I'll give you a 12 13 chance to argue about it being incredible. That's why I 14 want to separate out -- let -- okay, let's deal first with 15 respect to the withdrawals that were made while Mr. Stone 16 was still with Celsius KeyFi. 17 You're -- do you agree that the only evidence they 18 offered yesterday to support those withdrawal -- I guess 19 it's two things. One would be alleged oral authorization 20 from Mr. Mashinsky, that they were relying on that early, 21 right? 22 MR. HURLEY: Correct. RON CUCCHIARO: All right. They also pointed to 23 24 provisions in the agreement that gave them authority to pay 25 expenses, I think up to \$50,000, without further authority.

Am I correct in that?

MR. HURLEY: They did point to that provision,
Your Honor. They did not identify in the spreadsheet, the
sworn statement they provided, which of the transactions
they believed fall into that category. So, I think some
more work is going to be required to understand what they
claim was authorized by that part of the agreement as
opposed to what was authorized by Mashinsky. But so far
they haven't -- they actually haven't specific -- sorry, go
ahead.

THE COURT: No, I -- do you agree that they -that Stone did have authority to pay expenses up to \$50,000
without further authority -- without further authorization
from Celsius, at least during the time before he resigned?

MR. HURLEY: Well, it wouldn't be the whole time before he resigned, right? Because that agreement only came into place on January 11th. So, if there -- if that authority existed, it was for a very limited period of time.

Again, the specific transactions they claim fall into this category haven't been identified for us to study, and I think some more work is going to have to be done to understand exactly what claim they're making in that regard.

THE COURT: The evidence -- the evidence is closed. So, the issue is any of the spreadsheets that were introduced. I don't know whether anybody has tried to look

to see how many -- you know, what expenses were less than 50,000 -- fell within the time period that the agreement gave them authority to pay expenses without further authorization up to \$50,000.

No one -- certainly no one yesterday specifically pointed to it, but there are spreadsheets that are in evidence and I don't -- I didn't try and separate out last night which items were less than \$50,000, et cetera.

MR. HURLEY: I mean, there are spreadsheets in evidence, Your Honor, but I guess I would remind the Court that Mr. Stone put in a sworn affidavit describing what those spreadsheets provide, and what he said was merely those are transfers in Celsius wallets to the defendant's wallets, and he did not take the further step of trying to identify, hey, here's some that don't fall in the category that should be subject to this injunction.

THE COURT: Well, I don't remember the dates that they -- but there were some things -- there were some entries in a column. It was somewhat ambiguous, perhaps, but that, you know, rent.

MR. HURLEY: Right. He would have some things that indicated spent on expenses and then when we dug further, we found out that the expense was the repayment of a personal loan for Jason Stone or it was his rent, right, and the original spreadsheet didn't even tell us the rent

part.

THE COURT: Let me ask you. Did -- have you broken down the totals of what was with -- what you say was drawn -- withdrawn without authorization pre-resignation and post-resignation? Because I am -- I'm very -- look, and you can -- I'm open -- I'm playing devil's advocate to some extent on this.

They've made at least a colorable showing that there was an agreement between the parties that provided for profit share for Stone. I heard a lot of evidence and argument yesterday about, well, they've never really put in evidence of what the prophets were. Celsius now disputes that there were any profits, but that's what typically is involved, you know, in an accounting.

And certainly their state court lawsuit, you know, I heard evidence yesterday that they demanded an accounting. Celsius never responded, and you know, that strikes me (indiscernible) you know, that seems like the garden variety breach of contract accounting issue between Stone and Celsius that would -- you know, in my mind would cover perhaps the -- would cover the period before he resigned.

But before you -- let me -- let me finish my thought. The one thing, and certainly I don't know whether Mr. Freedman or Mr. Roche is the one who's going to make the closing argument here, but I sure don't see any self -- what

I would describe as a self-help provisions in any agreement that say, well, I think I got a claim against Celsius, I'll just take the money.

You know, there's nothing in there. There may be a contract dispute as we think we're entitled to profits -the profit share of X. They know. But there's nothing in there other than the alleged authorization from Mashinsky to take an advance on it. There's a credibility issue on that.

If I were to conclude there was no authorization, they used self-help -- they basically took the money, and I'm trying to understand how much did they take before the resignation, how much did they take after the resignation.

Let me stop there.

Go ahead, Mr. Hurley.

MR. HURLEY: We can certainly get that information to you. I don't have the exact amount at my fingertips right now. But if I could respond to a couple of the points that you asked about, sir?

Okay. So, maybe I'll start with where you left off which is the question of self-help. Okay? And you're 100 percent right. You have a services agreement that was entered into on January 11, 2021 that contemplates the possibility of profits, right? That doesn't mean a profit share will be paid.

Of course, first you have to generate profits and

there's a dispute about whether profits were generated, and I'll come back to that in my -- later in my presentation.

But more importantly, Your Honor, and I think you're hitting the nail right on the head, even if they could show, and we submit they can't and didn't, but even if they could show that they had actually earned profits, that doesn't mean they get to exercise self-help.

I mean, think about the CFO of a corporation who has an employment agreement that calls for them to get a million dollar bonus if they meet certain targets at the end of the year. That doesn't mean the CFO gets to go at the end of the year and use their signing authority over the corporate bank account to take a million dollars out of the account and pay themselves, and that's effectively what they did here, right?

And they know that the contract doesn't authorize them to do that. How do we know that they know that?

Because they come to you with this story about Alex

Mashinsky having orally authorized it. If the contracts authorize it, they wouldn't need the Mashinsky story. But of course the contract doesn't authorize that. Contracts don't work that way and this contract doesn't work that way. Specifically, it provides that if there are profits, they will be paid by Celsius Network to Celsius KeyFi and distributed from there, and that's the way it works.

Okay, so that's on the profit share. I think it's also important when you're talking about these transfers to think about the time frames we're talking about. So, any transfer before January 11, 2021 for instance, Your Honor, would have been during the period of time that the October 7th service agreement was in place, and we went through the compensation provisions in that agreement, which was between Celsius and KeyFi, Inc., and they said specifically there are going to be some cash payments for the services and those are the only compensation that KeyFi is entitled to for services provided during that period of time.

And you heard Mr. Stone testify yesterday Celsius made those payments. So, during that period of time payments have been made, and that agreement and the service agreement both are integrated. They both have clauses that say there's no oral modifications.

So, yeah, we submit that the agreements certainly don't allow for it, and you know, the evidence of an oral modification we think is weak, and even if it was there, it would be contrary to those agreements. With respect to --

THE COURT: Well, I don't (indiscernible) matter of corporate governance given the amounts that were involved. Does one person, even the CEO, have the authority to authorize -- I don't know whether it would have required board approval or -- you know, in most --

MR. HURLEY: I -- that's --

THE COURT: -- cases, the CEO can't just decide

I'm going to pay millions of dollars to somebody, another

executive.

MR. HURLEY: Also true.

Regarding the accounting, I mean, there's a couple of points on this, Your Honor. First of all, how is Celsius going to do an accounting of the defendant's activities? I mean, you heard the testimony from Mr. Holert and Mr. Nolan and others that we couldn't follow what the defendants were doing in part because he never delivered the software, the wormhole, that he described was going to be necessary for Celsius to understand the P&L, and he never delivered it to us. So, it wouldn't be possible for us to do that.

THE COURT: Well, but I -- there's a contract that said profit share. It doesn't say who calculates it, how it's calculated, who's got the burden. Am I right about that?

MR. HURLEY: It's I think actually -respectfully, I think it's a little different than that.
So, what they're pointing you to, Your Honor, is the asset
purchase agreement. The terms related to the services and
compensation were supplanted by the services agreement, and
the services agreement provides specifically that's -- that
the company that Mr. Stone was the CEO of would be

	1 g 10 01 133
	Page 16
1	responsible for maintaining the P&L, which of course makes
2	sense because it's his activities.
3	THE COURT: Where point me to the specific
4	can somebody
5	MR. HURLEY: Sure.
6	THE COURT: Get one of your team to point to the
7	specific paragraph you rely on.
8	MR. HURLEY: Yeah, it's in Schedule A of the
9	services agreement.
10	THE COURT: I got all the exhibits here, so just -
11	_
12	MR. HURLEY: This is (indiscernible).
13	Yeah. So, it's Schedule A and it is
14	THE COURT: Which exhibit are we talking about,
15	20?
16	MR. HURLEY: Oh, sorry.
17	THE COURT: (Indiscernible).
18	MR. HURLEY: What exhibit?
19	MAN 1: Forty-one.
20	MR. HURLEY: It's Exhibit 41.
21	THE COURT: Forty-one. Okay.
22	MR. HURLEY: Mm-hmm. And Schedule A appears on PX
23	41-6. Okay?
24	THE COURT: You're going by the Bates number or
25	no?

Page 17 1 MR. HURLEY: Well, it's PX -- the exhibit number. 2 THE COURT: What page? I'm sorry? 3 MR. HURLEY: It's PX-41 -- if you look at the 4 bottom right corner, there's an exhibit paging. So, PX-41, 5 Page 6. 6 THE COURT: Yes, okay. All right. 7 MR. HURLEY: And if you look at the third bullet 8 point, so again in this agreement, Celsius is defined as 9 Celsius Network and KeyFi is defined as Celsius KeyFi, the 10 company Mr. Stone was the CEO of. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. HURLEY: And the third bullet point, Celsius 13 KeyFi shall retain control of its internal budget and profit 14 and loss determination in good faith. And again, of course 15 that --16 THE COURT: (Indiscernible). 17 MR. HURLEY: What's that? 18 THE COURT: They never did it. 19 MR. HURLEY: Correct. And of course it makes 20 sense that it would be the obligation of Mr. Stone, since it 21 was Mr. Stone who was putting on the risks and engaging in 22 the investment activities to engage in that process. 23 You know, the APA provision with respect to the 24 audit, I actually think in their papers the defendants say 25 that the first time they requested an audit was in September

Page 18 1 after Mr. Roche's firm came along, and that obviously would 2 be long past the deadline to request such an audit. 3 But again, the services agreement supplants the 4 APA in terms of -- yeah okay. 5 Any other questions, Your Honor, before I go back 6 to my presentation or anything else? 7 THE COURT: Well, you know, I tend to interrupt a 8 lot, Mr. Hurley, but go ahead. 9 MR. HURLEY: Please. I appreciate it so I know 10 what you're interested in. 11 Okay, so I'm going to go through the factors for a 12 preliminary injunction. I'm going to try and do it briefly. 13 I know your --14 THE COURT: I know the facts for preliminary --15 you can do it if you want. 16 MR. HURLEY: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. Please. 18 MR. HURLEY: I'll be efficient, I promise. 19 THE COURT: It's okay. Go ahead. 20 MR. HURLEY: Okay. So, starting with the merits. 21 All Celsius needed to show on its motion is that there are 22 serious questions going to the merits of Celsius' claims to 23 make them fair grounds for litigation. That's the Dom case, 24 and Celsius didn't need to make that showing with respect to 25 all of its claim. Just one will do. That's 725 Eatery.

The facts adduced in the proceeding we submit support all of Celsius' claims and a much higher standard than one we're required to meet including for turnover, conversion, unjust enrichment, (indiscernible) accounting.

Celsius has demonstrated and the defendants admit that they have taken and have refused to return millions of coins and other digital assets worth millions of dollars, and their only defense is that -- depends on convincing Your Honor that Mr. Mashinsky gave oral authorization for those transfers, and as Your Honor pointed out that he was even authorized to give oral authorization to make those transfers. And in support of that, the only evidence they've offered, again as Your Honor pointed out, is the self-serving and unsupported assertion of Mr. Stone himself.

You heard Mashinsky emphatically deny that claim. Stone claims that Patrick Holert and Connor Nolan were witnesses to Mashinsky's authorization. You saw Mr. Holert and Mr. Nolan testify under oath yesterday and they offered zero support for that assertion.

Mr. Stone hasn't pointed to a scrap of written evidence that supports the claim, which is an extraordinary claim, that a CEO orally authorized him to take \$20 million off of the Celsius platform.

THE COURT: Let me just -- I -- let me come back to what I asked before, and I know you said -- well, just so

Page 20 1 we'll be clear, can you tell me how much you contend was 2 improperly withdrawn from Celsius' wallet before Stone 3 resigned and how much after? 4 MR. HURLEY: I mean, I can get you that, Your 5 Honor. I --6 THE COURT: I know, but just -- and can you even 7 give me an estimate of what the before and after was? I am 8 -- look, I'm going to --9 MR. HURLEY: Yes. 10 THE COURT: -- just so we're clear for the record, 11 yes, I am going to want a submission on how much was 12 withdrawn before and how much after with record citations 13 for that, okay? But do you have a -- I don't want to be 14 unfair --15 MR. HURLEY: I do, actually. 16 THE COURT: You don't, you don't. 17 MR. HURLEY: I do, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. 19 MR. HURLEY: I actually do. I actually do. 20 on a second. I have it in here, I think. Yeah, okay. So, 21 I'm not finding it right now, but it was with respect to the 22 ERC 20 token spreadsheet, the amount that was after --23 THE COURT: That had so many tabs on it. You 24 know, you went through ERC 20. You went through Ethereum --25 MR. HURLEY: Yes. Let me -- let me try and -- let

Page 21 1 me try and make it a little easier. So, there are really 2 three categories in the defendant's spreadsheet of 3 transfers. There was one of them transferring ETH from Celsius' wallets to defendant's wallets and then doing 4 5 whatever they did with it with the ETH, okay? 6 THE COURT: Sure, and I remember, you know, some 7 of the columns were -- some of the rows were in yellow or --8 MR. HURLEY: Right. 9 THE COURT: And we were looking for net figures, 10 but go ahead. Okay. 11 MR. HURLEY: And then the second category was they transferred so-called ERC 20 tokens out of the wallet which 12 13 are things like USDC and Di, and then the third category is 14 their purchases of NFTs directly into the Celsius wallet. 15 Do you understand that distinction? 16 THE COURT: I do. 17 MR. HURLEY: Okay. 18 THE COURT: I know. I have to be careful about saying what I understand and not but I think --19 20 MR. HURLEY: Same here. And so, I know with the 21 ERC 20 transfers that -- post March 9th the value of those 22 transfers. I think deducting the yellow highlighted transactions was \$5.25 million, and my recollection for the 23 ETH transfers post March 9th, and again it's obviously 24 25 important to have -- to have this understood in coin terms,

I think, but from the post March 9th period I want to say it was maybe 300 change ETH, which was worth something like a half a million dollars at the time of the transactions. And I -- and I think the post March 9th ETH spend on NFTs purchased into the wallet was in that same sort of range. But I guess I want to come back to a point on credibility, Your Honor, which is that, you know, if the plaintiffs are willing to sit here and argue having -- you looked at the March 26th demand letter and then admitting they resigned on March 9th and claim that all those transactions they made after March 9th are still authorized in the face of that evidence, what does it say about the credibility of their claim that any of these transactions were authorized? THE COURT: You humor me about this, okay, for a minute. So, it sounds like you're -- rough numbers, you're talking approximately about \$6 million of post March 9th transfers you say were unauthorized, round number. MR. HURLEY: Of post March 9th transfers we say are unauthorized, correct. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, we'd want to confirm that MR. HURLEY: because I'm going from memory from yesterday. THE COURT: I know, and I'm going to -- and I want you to confirm. During the discovery --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 23 1 MR. HURLEY: Can --2 THE COURT: No, wait, let me get my question out. 3 MR. HURLEY: Okay. THE COURT: During the discovery, how much have 4 5 you identified remains in the possession of the defendants? 6 Look, I mean, here's why I'm saying it. You know, if you're 7 able to show that they have \$3 million in value that they're still holding directly or indirectly, and your allegation is 8 9 they transferred 6 million post March 9th unauthorized, it 10 gets a lot easier for you to convince me that all of the 11 transfers he made before he resigned were unauthorized. I 12 may not even have to reach that issue at this stage of the 13 case. Do you understand what I'm getting at? 14 MR. HURLEY: I do understand what you're saying, 15 Your Honor, but I think I want to push back a little bit on 16 it because --17 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) go ahead. 18 MR. HURLEY: -- because where we are now, I have 19 to remind you, we're still just relying on information that 20 we've gotten from the defendants themselves about these 21 transfers, and it's incomplete information. I don't know if 22 Your Honor had a chance to look through the spreadsheet at 23 all. Probably not. There wasn't much to between yesterday 24 and today, but if you do, you'll see that there is this very 25 substantial amount of information about, like, the fate of

the -- of the property that was taken and the proceeds of that property that's not included in the spreadsheet.

So, it's really hard to be confident now that we even have all of the relevant transfers identified, and then we're still at a phase because it's, you know, expedited discovery, we haven't had that much time, where we kind of have to rely on the defendants to say what they still have, and that makes me pretty uncomfortable under the circumstances.

THE COURT: What is it that you're asking me to -what is it that you're asking me to freeze? What do you
know --

MR. HURLEY: So --

THE COURT: What do you know as of -- I understand, discovery is early, all that. What do you know that they have that you believe should be frozen?

MR. HURLEY: So, let me ask -- answer the first question first, because it's a little bit different than the answer to the second. The first -- answer to the first question is we're asking for an injunction that is categorical, precisely because we think their work remains to be done to make sure that the parties have identified the property that comes within the category, and the categories that we're seeking or the category that we're seeking to have enjoined is as described in our proposed order. It is

all of the Stone utilized assets and the proceeds of those assets, which means the assets that we contend were taken without authority from the Celsius wallets and whatever property was obtained with those assets.

And I know it is an injunction that is categorical, but I don't know that there is a way other than entering a categorical injunction to avoid a situation where, you know, the defendants haven't identified everything that they took and all the proceeds of that and they kind of wind up being able to, if you will, ride off into the sunset with those proceeds just because of this --

THE COURT: So, the law as I understand it,
because I've had this issue come up before, is it has to be
(indiscernible) unambiguous from the four corners of the
injunction, what it is -- please don't do that. When I'm
talking --

MR. HURLEY: I apologize, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- don't (indiscernible) conversation.

Okay?

MR. HURLEY: I'm sorry. I was -- I was asking for an authority that goes to this point.

THE COURT: It has to be clear from the four corners of the injunction what the defendants are prohibited from doing, okay? And that's what troubles me a little bit about your so-called categorical injunction, because then

you get into a dispute, well, yes, we were, no, we weren't.

It has to be -- in order to be enforceable, an injunction,

you enforce it by contempt if they violate it, and you know,

the standards for contempt, the order has to be clear and

unambiguous. The evidence of noncompliance has to be clear

as well.

And so, I'm concerned. I understand that you say discovery is at an early stage. We haven't identified everything. But if I grant you the relief that you're asking for, I need -- I need to know exactly what the defendants are prohibited from doing. They have to know precisely what they're prohibited from doing.

MR. HURLEY: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: You know, it may well be that further

-- if you get the relief you're asking for and further

discovery shows -- you know, because you want to be able to

trace what -- if you say \$10 million in improper transfers

and we've now seen that the defendants have dissipated

another 5 million of that 10 million, okay, and you think

you're able to trace the -- those assets into whoever's

hands they are now, that's a problem. That's an issue for

you, okay?

So, you know, I hope you're understanding what my discomfort -- if -- I haven't decided to grant you the relief yet, but I want to know if I grant you the relief,

precisely what it is they're prohibited from doing. And it may be that you'll take more discovery and you'll find that, you know, the number instead of 10 million is 15 million or they'll say no, 5 million or whatever. Go ahead.

MR. HURLEY: I do understand the exact issue you're describing, Your Honor, and I've actually thought about it a lot, especially in the last, you know, few days, and my concern is really that if we -- if we identify the scope of the injunction based on the property that's identified in the spreadsheet, then again, we're really confining the injunction in a way that's been defined by the defendants before we've really had adequate time to ensure that it's complete and comprehensive.

And my concern is that while we may discover in the future that there are additional assets taken off the platform, if that happens two months from now, they could have transferred it if the injunction doesn't cover categorically that property in the meantime.

And so, what we are proposing is that there is a categorical injunction, and we did find -- and I apologize for not paying attention fully before. I was asking my team for the citation to one of the cases we found last night that provided that in fact it is proper under some circumstances to enter a categorical injunction with work remaining to be done to fill out the precise sort of scope

and contours of what property the injunction covers. And that's what we're proposing to do here.

And know it's a -- you know, it's maybe not a perfect solution, but I think if we don't do it that way, there's just an enormous risk that property that hasn't been identified is going to be dissipated forever, and we're never going to be able to get it back within the jurisdiction of the Court. So, that's the response, Your Honor, and I think I can't -- here we go. In the --

THE COURT: You know, (indiscernible) one of the - look, I -- whether you ever -- you and your team looked at
it or not earlier in the Celsius case, you know, I posted
the English Wealth Commission Report. It's like 500 pages
long. This has been a problem identified all around the
world. It's the problem with crypto assets, and tracing it
can become nearly impossible at some point.

And certainly, you know, I think that's -- that was the issue with the software that the treasury has banned, you know, or prohibited its use because stuff just -- you can't track the stuff, but it is what it is. So, I will be interested in what authority you have for this more categorical injunction you're looking for.

MR. HURLEY: I can give you a cite now. So, this is FTC v. IAB Marketing, LP, and it's 2013 W.L. 12038955.

THE COURT: What court?

Page 29 MR. HURLEY: Southern District of Florida, and there are two cases related to freezing crypto assets that we cite as well. There are papers, but I can give them to you if you'd be interested. One of them is Jacobo v. Doe, which is Eastern District of California, and it's 2022 W.L. 2052637. THE COURT: Give me the Westlaw cite again, 2022 WL --MR. HURLEY: 2052637, and then the other crypto case is Astrove v. Doe, 2002 W.L. 2 -- sorry, 8805345, and that's Southern District of Florida as well. What? Oh, it's -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. MAN 1: 2022 W.L. 280 --Hold on. I --THE COURT: MR. HURLEY: Okay. THE COURT: Give it to me. MR. HURLEY: That one wasn't my fault. So, the Astrove Cite, Your Honor, is 2022 --THE COURT: Yeah. MR. HURLEY: -- W.L. 28 --MAN 1: 280 --MR. HURLEY: 53 --MAN 1: -- 5345. THE COURT: All right. You can't -- one -- I --MR. HURLEY: Sorry, Your Honor. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 30 1 it. 2 THE COURT: With two voices speaking, I don't have a clear record. Give it to me again. 2022 WL --3 MR. HURLEY: Yeah, 2805345, and that's Southern 4 5 District of Florida. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. HURLEY: I mean, I suppose one possibility, 8 Your Honor, and again, I don't want to assume how this is 9 going to go, but if an order was to be entered could be a --10 potentially a settle order where the parties try to 11 negotiate and under -- like something to present to Your 12 Honor that would cover -- try to cover these problems, 13 because I -- we obviously have thought about them, too, and 14 I think there's something serious that needs to be 15 confronted, so. 16 THE COURT: Look, the last thing -- look, I 17 haven't made up my mind about this, so the defendant's counsel shouldn't be -- you know, they'll make all their 18 19 arguments and I -- the last thing you want to find out is 20 that I've entered an order that you can't enforce. 21 MR. HURLEY: Yeah, that is part of what we've been 22 thinking about as well, Your Honor, certainly. And we just -- I think just have to balance it with the concern that the 23 order is not broad enough to capture everything that's been 24 taken, and it's a -- it's a problem for sure that needs to 25

Page 31 1 be confronted, so. 2 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead with your 3 argument. 4 MR. HURLEY: Okay. So, coming back to the 5 authorization affirmative defense that Stone has asserted, I 6 pointed out that Mr. Stone's only evidence is his own 7 testimony. He hasn't come forward with any written 8 evidence. He testified that he had between 4 and 10 9 communications with Mashinsky in which Mashinsky authorized 10 him to take property as an advance on profit share. He said 11 that one or two of those communications were by e-mail, but 12 when we asked him to identify those e-mails, he couldn't do 13 it. 14 At his deposition, he actually complained that it was because he didn't have access to all of his Celsius e-15 16 mails, but we found out yesterday that Mr. Stone actually 17 downloaded his Celsius e-mail inbox and took it with him before he left. 18 19 Now, he says there was some gap. Did we lose you, 20 Your Honor? 21 BAILIFF: (Indiscernible) rejoin. 22 MR. HURLEY: Are you -- we can't hear you. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, can you hear me now? MR. HURLEY: Yes. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay.

Page 32 1 MR. HURLEY: Yes. 2 THE COURT: I'm sure it was at my end, but 3 everything completely froze up, disconnected. I'm reconnected now. We sort of -- the last thing I heard was 4 5 the discussion about the possibility if I grant the relief 6 to require you to try and settle an order with the other 7 side, and I apologize, but that's where --8 MR. HURLEY: Oh, okay. Okay, so I had just 9 resumed my argument. 10 THE COURT: I know that. 11 MR. HURLEY: But if you have any more questions 12 about that --13 THE COURT: I -- well, I didn't hear it. 14 MR. HURLEY: Okay. All right, so I'll --15 THE COURT: It was brilliant, but -- I'm sure it 16 was brilliant, but I just didn't hear it. 17 MR. HURLEY: Okay. So, I will come back to that. 18 So, I was -- I was starting to talk about the authorization 19 claim. And as you pointed out, the only evidence offered is 20 Mr. Stone's own testimony that Alex Mashinsky authorized him 21 to take the property. 22 He hasn't come up -- forward with any written evidence of any kind. He testified that he had between 4 23 and 10 communications with Mashinsky in which Mashinsky 24 25 supposedly authorized him to take property as an advance on

profit share. He testified that one or two of those communications were by e-mail, but when we asked him to identify those e-mails, he couldn't do it.

At his deposition, he actually complained he couldn't produce either of those alleged e-mails because supposedly he didn't have access to all of his e-mails in discovery in this case. What we found out yesterday --

THE COURT: Let me ask you -- let me ask you this.

Did the defendants serve a document request on Celsius that required it to search its e-mail to see whether any such existed in Celsius' system?

MR. HURLEY: They did serve a document request, and during the course of expedited discovery, they asked us to turn over the entirety of Jason Stone's e-mails like as one group, and we said, well, we're not going to do that. But if you have search terms you want us to run, give us the search terms. Even on a non-expedited basis, turning over an entire collection of e-mails isn't how discovery is conducted, but -- so, but I think the important point, Your Honor, here --

THE COURT: Did they give you search terms to search?

MR. HURLEY: They have since, but I think it's for the plenary discovery rather than the expedited discovery, although I have to admit, I'm not the expert on exactly

where we are on that. But anyway, I think the important point here, Your Honor, is -- let me back up. In terms of the expedited discovery, we had a conversation early on about what they wanted and they asked us to search for e-mails for Connor Nolan, and we took their search terms and we had some negotiations, and we agreed on what they would be and then we started the production.

And then after that, they came to us with this request, give us all of Jason Stone's e-mails and we said that's not practical on an expedited basis and it wouldn't really be called for even in a plenary basis, though if you give us the search terms, of course we'll run the search terms against Jason's e-mails just like everybody else's. And my understanding is that is underway and probably some of it has been produced.

But I think it's important to remember this, Your Honor, that we found out yesterday that Mr. Stone actually downloaded his Celsius e-mail inbox before he left and took it with him.

THE COURT: I know this. I know that --

MR. HURLEY: Yeah, and he said he searched those e-mails, can't come forward with anything. He also testified that he believed some of these writings might have been in text messages or WhatsApp communications, and he acknowledged that those messages, the texts and the

WhatsApp, they would be on his own phone, right? Because those are - those are applications on your personal phone.

And he admitted, yeah, I still have all those text messages and WhatsApps.

We asked him if he'd look for it. He did. He didn't come forward with anything. He testified Holert and Nolan may have been copied on some of those communications. He heard them say we don't have a recollection of that.

He certainly was on notice that this exact point was going to be a central issue on the motion. Our expedited discovery, all we asked for in our letter was send us anything that supports this claim that's in writing.

That was on November 29th, two months ago. They've had two months to try and find that communication. He's got his emails from Celsius. He's got all his WhatsApp and text messages. Nothing.

So, you know, under the circumstances I think we can submit -- we submit that the Court can infer that the email and text message just doesn't exist. I mean, consider if such a document existed, doesn't it stand to reason that he would have kept a copy? We're talking about a document that he's saying authorized him to take property which ultimately amounted at least to over \$10 million. Wouldn't he have e-mailed it to himself, printed out a copy and put it in a desk drawer?

We're talking about a person that downloaded every single one of his e-mails in his inbox before he left and took it with him. That story doesn't add up, we submit.

The truth is, we submit, he didn't find any evidence of that authorization because he wasn't authorized to take the property.

And the actual documentary record in this case,

Your Honor, points in the exact opposite direction of what

Mr. Stone claims. As we've been talking about this morning,

first, many of the transfers occurred long after Celsius

demanded the return of its coins and after he guit.

We went through some of the numbers before.

Here's the part of my outline that has some of the numbers,

Your Honor, you asked for before I couldn't find. I'm going
to give you them.

He listed 71 ETH transfers between September 9th, 2020 and September 13, 2022, and 27 of those supposedly authorized transfers he as compensation for services rendered were after he quit his job. Twenty-five of those transfers were after Celsius sent the March 26, 2021 letter and board resolutions instructing him to return all digital assets and return the (indiscernible) and private keys.

His (indiscernible) token spreadsheet tells a similar story. After he resigned from Celsius on March 9th, he asked Celsius -- he transferred ERC tokens 17 times.

Here's the number. The post March 9th tokens were worth more than \$5.25 million.

Eleven of those transfers were after the March 26th letter. And of the NFTs he purchased into Celsius wallets and later transferred to himself, he used Celsius coins to buy 88 of those NFTs after he resigned from Celsius. Fifty-three of those purchases were after --

THE COURT: Remind -- I may be confusing two points, but I thought he testified that he shared some of those transfer to him with the co-founder.

MR. HURLEY: So, I believe -- well, let's see.

Some of those transfers -- a number of those transfers on the spreadsheet do appear to have ultimately been paid to some of the folks that he associated with. I think Your Honor probably is remembering the specific story about the 1.4 million DAI which he took from the Celsius wallet in September of 2021 and then converted into ETH and sent to Tornado Cash.

And what he said is that he withdrew -- because the way Tornado Cash works is you send Tornado Cash and then you have effectively a code that allows you to withdraw the Tornado Cash. Nobody knows where it's going to go, okay?

So, he transferred the cash -- transferred it to Tornado Cash and then he testified he withdrew half of it, and I think I understood his testimony to be that his co-

Page 38 1 founders withdrew the other half. Okay? 2 And then with respect to --3 THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. HURLEY: No, he said he didn't know -- he 5 knows he spent it, but he doesn't know -- he can't be 6 specific about what he spent the six or \$700,000 on. 7 THE COURT: Are you going to bring a fraudulent 8 conveyance action against his co-founder? 9 MR. HURLEY: We are very much considering that, 10 Your Honor, at least and maybe other claims. I think there 11 would be other claims that would be warranted by those -that set of facts. 12 13 Okay. And then, so the NFTs he purchased, I already gave you that. So, collectively just based on the 14 15 spreadsheet, and again this is the defendants that we're 16 relying on to give us this information and there may be much 17 more, just on the spreadsheet, the property that was taken was more than \$11 million in U.S. dollar value at the times 18 19 of the transfers so very substantial. 20 And a majority of that property, a majority, he 21 took after he quit his job. And again, at the risk of 22 testing your patience, Your Honor, I'm going to make this 23 point again. If he's willing to argue that after he guit his 24 25 job he was still authorized to take property, what does that

say about the credibility of his claim that he was ever authorized to take property in the face of the absence of any written evidence to support it or any evidence other than his say-so, when his say-so is telling you right now that despite you having looked at the March 26th letter and his March 9th resignation letter, he was entitled to and he was authorized to keep paying himself millions of dollars of Celsius property.

Okay, come back to the agreements quickly, and I'll do this very quickly because we already talked about them. Talked about the October 7th agreement which was the service agreement between KeyFi and Celsius that was in place until January 11th. You heard him admit that the amounts -- the only amounts due during that period of time were paid. It was cash payment.

Let's see. I think I covered this. Okay, so I talked about why it is that we think that even if he could show that he had created a profit, it wouldn't allow -- it wouldn't entitle him to seize Celsius assets to pay himself profit share.

I do want to make sure, though, that I -- and I'm

-- I suspect Your Honor picked up on the way in which Mr.

Stone is trying to tell you he made a profit. He does it by taking credit for market appreciation of Celsius coins.

THE COURT: Yeah. Let me -- let me stop you

Page 40 1 there. 2 MR. HURLEY: Okay. THE COURT: And I -- is there -- and I think 3 4 through your witnesses you tried to show that under the 5 agreements if, for example, Ether or Bitcoin increased in 6 value 20 percent, that that would not be part of a profit 7 calculation. He had to show that the number of coins had 8 actually increased. Did I have that correct? 9 MR. HURLEY: Yeah, that's exactly right, Your Honor, and of course --10 11 THE COURT: Where in the agreements does it 12 essentially say that? 13 MR. HURLEY: There's a calculate -- there's a 14 whole schedule that provides how profit is calculated and --15 THE COURT: Point me to where -- point me to where 16 that is. 17 MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's see. Okay. 18 THE COURT: I consider this to be -- Mr. Hurley, I 19 consider this to be an important point that --20 MR. HURLEY: Okay. 21 THE COURT: -- he -- I take it though he also --22 if Bitcoin or Ether had declined 20 percent in value, what would be the effect on a profit calculation of that? 23 24 MR. HURLEY: He absolutely still could have earned 25 a profit for himself notwithstanding the decline in the

Page 41 1 dollar value ETH. 2 THE COURT: So --3 MR. HURLEY: The way that it works --THE COURT: -- the profit calculation was not --4 5 did not hinge on the prevailing price of particular coins? 6 MR. HURLEY: No. And Your Honor, I mean, honestly 7 it's sort of just common sense, right? Like if we give him 8 100 ETH and he just holds it for a year --9 THE COURT: Right, but I don't usually cite just the common sense. 10 11 MR. HURLEY: Fair enough. 12 THE COURT: I like to see where you're pointing to 13 in the agreement. 14 MR. HURLEY: Fair enough. So, the -- right, so 15 the way the agreement worked was, to answer your question, 16 if he was holding ETH and he put it into yield farming, for 17 example, or he put it into an automated market maker, and 18 the price of ETH went down but his activities nevertheless 19 in the automated market-making platform resulted in fee 20 revenue, for instance -- I mean, that's what he was supposed 21 to be doing is taking the coins and earning more coins, 22 whether governance tokens or other kinds of revenue based on 23 his investment activities, right? That's what he was trying -- that's what he was hired to create is revenue from 24 25 investment activities, not just holding coins while they

Page 42 1 appreciate. 2 THE COURT: That was said yesterday. But I don't 3 remember somebody actually pointing to the language in an 4 agreement, but I -- that is what you just said. 5 MR. HURLEY: So, it is Exhibit B. It's a very 6 complex like series of provisions in Exhibit B to the 7 agreement. And --8 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Which agreement? 9 MR. HURLEY: Sorry, the services agreement. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. HURLEY: It is, sorry, Schedule B. Services 12 agreement key terms. And I guess because they are pretty 13 complex, Your Honor, if you think this is a particularly 14 important point, I would actually ask for some briefing on 15 it, because it's there. It is not easy to digest in -- on 16 first glance I would say. It was written by people that --17 THE COURT: Okay, so the service agreement is 18 Exhibit 41. I have it open in front of me. 19 MR. HURLEY: Yeah. 20 THE COURT: Where am I -- I do want -- see, 21 because this is important. Where am I looking at this 22 complex explanation? 23 MR. HURLEY: Sure. So, it basically begins at 24 seven, weekly and monthly performance calculation for 25 activities, and there are formulas that define, you know,

Page 43 revenue for activity and coins, costs for --1 2 THE COURT: Hang on. I want to be sure --3 MR. HURLEY: Sure. THE COURT: You're referring to Exhibit 41. 4 5 MR. HURLEY: I believe so. THE COURT: Which Bates page? Give me the Bates 6 7 page. (Indiscernible). 8 MR. HURLEY: Bates -- yeah. Bates Page PX 41-7. 9 THE COURT: Okay, in which definition -- which 10 section? 11 MR. HURLEY: Oh, sorry. Actually, you should go 12 to dash 9, and it's Section 7. 13 THE COURT: Okay, weekly and monthly performance calculations for activities. 14 15 MR. HURLEY: Correct, yeah. And there's 16 definitions of revenue for activity and coins, cost for 17 activity and coins, gross profit for activity and coins, 18 gross profits for activities in U.S. dollars, which the understanding was that when -- if a profit was generated in 19 20 coins, it would be converted into U.S. dollars strictly for 21 payment to the defendants. 22 THE COURT: Which of the subsections in Section 7 23 are you referring to as explaining how to -- you don't look 24 in the rise or fall in the value of the particular coin but 25 whether they've generated additional coins.

MR. HURLEY: You really have to look at the provision kind of holistically, Your Honor, and I confess I have not -- I didn't come here today prepared to go through sort of point by point, and it is a complex agreement. And if -- again, if Your Honor is -- this is an important issue for the motion, we would be very happy to submit briefing on it. I mean, our position again from the beginning has been that even if he could show that there's profits, he doesn't get to seize the money. So, you know, hold onto the money and then we can argue later about what this contract means. THE COURT: No, that was the point about -there's nothing in any of the agreements that I've seen that supports self-help. MR. HURLEY: Right, exactly. So, we were more focused on those issues, but again if there's -- if you'd like us to do that, we would be happy to provide that information. THE COURT: We'll -- I'll try and come up with what I want to see after we finish -- when we're finishing today. Go ahead. MR. HURLEY: Okay. Okay. All right. I'm now at the self-help portion of my outline. I think we've covered The claim that Mr. Stone is making we submit that. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

also makes no sense by its own terms.

I mean, they're claiming that Mashinsky authorized them to take a percentage as an advance on profit share between late October and late February or early March 2021. That's when the authorization supposedly happened, but they admit that no one ever prepared a P&L at any time. They admit that Celsius was relying on the defendants to create this wormhole program so that Celsius could even understand what the nature of the activities were to track them and to understand the performance of those activities.

You look like you have a question, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Well, I'm just -- you know, the part

of your argument that I have some problem with, if the

relationship hadn't fallen apart and Celsius hadn't

collapsed, does that mean that Celsius would never have -
you know, there would never be any profit share unless what

happens? I mean, I don't think -- I don't think Stone

signed up for a deal that would say ha, ha, ha, you don't

get any profit share until you can put together a P&L to our

satisfaction, you know, that shows you had a profit.

MR. HURLEY: But Your Honor, the services agreement that Mr. Stone actually signed on behalf of Celsius KeyFi as its DEO provides that Celsius KeyFi is responsible for the P&L. Like, so he would get paid provided he could prove he made profits.

Page 46 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. HURLEY: And that's the way the agreement was 3 organized. So --4 THE COURT: So, you're saying the burden was on 5 him to produce a P&L that would pass a laugh test and show a 6 profit? 7 MR. HURLEY: Absolutely. I mean, as you heard 8 from the testimony, Celsius had no way of doing it itself, 9 and you don't have to depend on Celsius. Mr. Stone admitted 10 that he understood that if he didn't deliver that wormhole, 11 Celsius was never going to be able to prepare a P&L on its 12 That's why the responsibility was on Mr. Stone. 13 THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa. You just said that 14 he was responsible for preparing the P&L, not Celsius. 15 MR. HURLEY: No, I'm just -- right. Precisely, 16 but he testified that it would not have been possible for --17 because he has been suggesting the contrary, and he admitted it wouldn't have been possible for Celsius to prepare a P&L 18 19 without the wormhole. I mean --THE COURT: Well, let me just -- just so we're 20 21 clear on that. Your position is that under the service 22 agreement, Celsius KeyFi was responsible for preparing the 23 P&L. MR. HURLEY: Correct. Correct. And from Celsius' 24 25 perspective the wormhole --

Page 47 1 THE COURT: Hold on. And they never did that. 2 MR. HURLEY: Sure. 3 THE COURT: Celsius KeyFi --MR. HURLEY: Correct. 4 5 THE COURT: -- never --6 MR. HURLEY: Correct, that's admitted. THE COURT: 7 I have no evidence in the record that Celsius KeyFi, of which Stone was the chairman, ever 8 9 prepared a P&L, correct? MR. HURLEY: Exactly. That's correct. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let me turn to irreparable 13 harm, and I'll wrap up. So, I cited this case at the 14 outset, Dom v. Miller. There are many others. Where a non-15 movant's assets may be dissipated before final relief can be 16 granted or where the non-movant threatens to remove its 17 assets from the Court's jurisdiction, irreparable harm 18 exists. 19 Celsius has shown that the defendants can and will 20 dissipate and secrete assets absent an injunction. They 21 transferred hundreds -- they made hundreds of transfers of 22 millions of coins. That's on the record. They used Tornado 23 Cash to conceal the destination on many, many occasions. 24 That's on the record, and past examples of asset secretion 25 or strong evidence that it will be repeated if it's not

Page 48 1 enjoined. The speed and anonymity of crypto transactions 2 makes it particularly easy, absent an injunction, for assets to be removed from the Court's reach. That's the Heisenberg 3 4 case, 2021 W.L. 8154531, also Southern District of Florida. 5 But we don't have to guess here, Your Honor. 6 Plaintiffs have said they're going to dissipate assets 7 absent an injunction including legal fees. 8 THE COURT: What about -- I -- maybe I 9 misunderstood. I was a little surprised to hear that 10 yesterday or the day before he transferred another \$100,000 11 to a law firm. 12 MR. HURLEY: I was quite surprised too, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 15 MR. HURLEY: I was quite surprised to hear that, 16 too, Your Honor. Did you have a question or --17 THE COURT: So -- yeah, I -- and maybe I 18 misunderstood. I thought that the stipulation and order 19 that had been entered would have prevented that, but --20 either that or -- did it? Did it prevent what they did? MR. HURLEY: We did not. There was not an 21 22 agreement to cease transfers in the interim, so. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. HURLEY: While it was not contrary to this --25 to the order that was entered by Your Honor, we certainly

would argue and likely will argue in the future that it was a stay violation.

THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

MR. HURLEY: So, as you just mentioned, you heard testimony yesterday that literally the day before a hearing on a preliminary injunction motion, Mr. Stone sent \$100,000 to his lawyers, presumably knowing that if he waited one more day, there was at least a possibility that Your Honor would have imposed an injunction that would have prevented it.

They even argue that their need supposedly to use more Celsius assets to pay their lawyers is a reason to deny the injunction. I want to note, Your Honor, in that section of their brief where they make this argument, they don't cite a single case in support of that assertion, and that is because the law provides just the opposite.

Where funds are traceable to alleged wrongdoing as here, it doesn't matter whether those funds are the only source that the non-movant has to pay their legal fees. I'm going to cite Your Honor to In re: Marketxt, 376 B.R. 390, that's Bankruptcy S.D.N.Y. 2007.

That principle is certainly true in civil cases
like here. It's even true in criminal cases, Your Honor.

For example, in the Monsanto case, the United States Supreme
Court upheld an order freezing a criminal defendant's assets

based on cause to believe that the assets were illicitly obtained, even though those assets were the only way the criminal defendant had to pay his lawyers.

In civil actions, where the funds subject to restraint are the proceeds of transactions challenged in the case, Courts will enjoy the funds even if necessary to pay legal fees, and I have two more cites. SEC V. Quinn, 997 F.2d 287 (7th Circuit 1993), SEC v. Bremont, 954 F. Supp 726, and that's S.D.N.Y 1997.

Defendants already have dissipated enormous funds. We talked a moment ago about the 6 or \$700,000 that Mr.

Stone took out of Tornado Cash at the end of 2021, and he has no idea what happened to it other than he spent it.

Their sworn statement identifying the property, and I touched on this before, Your Honor, is missing information on the whereabouts of vast amounts of property that was taken from Celsius and what happened to it.

If you look at that spreadsheet, you'll see they'll say like, you know, took 350 ETH. You know, either it was used to buy NFTs or it was sent to Tornado Cash, you know, or a series of options, and they don't say which one it is.

And Celsius suspects it's going to need further relief from this Court and swiftly in the event it gets an order to bring defendants in compliance with that December

16th order to make sure that we have all of the property identified in the way that it should be and to ensure that as much of that property can be brought in the Court's jurisdiction and preserved for the benefit of creditors.

But it also appears with at least some transactions they have made transfers in a way, at least according to them, that they are never going to be traced. And if an injunction isn't entered, Your Honor, the risk that they're going to do that again is overwhelming, and that's part of why we're so focused on making sure the injunction is sufficiently broad.

This is exactly the type of harm that the case law says justifies the type of status quo freezing injunction that we're seeking. They argue that this is a case where money damages are sufficient. They cite Jackson Dairy from 1979.

THE COURT: Forget the money damages are sufficient.

MR. HURLEY: Okay.

THE COURT: Money damages are not sufficient.

MR. HURLEY: Okay, balance of the harms, Your

Honor. Again, case after case that provides where the

movant faces the risk of not being able to satisfy a

judgment at the end of the case if the injunction isn't

entered, but the non-movant is merely restricted temporarily

from making further transfers of the property at issue, the balance weighs in favor of the movement. We submit that is clearly the case here. We cite In re: Calpine and the Jacobo case that I cited to Your Honor before stand for that proposition.

So, in conclusion, Your Honor, we submit that the elements for entering preliminary junction have been met and that, again, we submit and respectfully there's no question Your Honor has the discretion to enter the injunction, and we urge you to do so because for all the reasons that we've described, including related to issues with cryptocurrency and how quickly it can be transferred out of the reach of the Court and the past conduct of the defendants, which has been established, that the injunction is entered so that as much property as possible can be preserved.

We know he's already squandered some. Let's face it. Some of that property is never coming back for the benefit of creditors, but to the extent he still has property and the proceeds of it, it should be enjoined now so he can't squander any more and at least that part that he hasn't taken and spent on who knows what will be -- will remain to satisfy creditors.

And in the absence of an injunction, we submit they're just going to be further emboldened in this case and we think that not productive for the balance.

Page 53 1 At the end of the day, they took Celsius property 2 or at least we've made a very strong case, Your Honor, 3 certainly a strong enough case that it was an unauthorized 4 taking of property to satisfy the standard requirement for 5 getting a preliminary injunction, and we submit they should 6 be required to maintain the status quo through the balance 7 of the case. Thank you, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hurley. 9 MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, would it be all right 10 if we took just a five-minute comfort break before I 11 started? 12 THE COURT: Let's take a 10-minute break. We'll 13 resume at 10:15. 14 MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 (Recess) 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. FREEDMAN, are you 17 making the argument for the defendants? 18 MR. FREEDMAN: I am, Your Honor, except I just ask 19 if it would be possible for I believe her name is Deanna to 20 make Mr. Stone a co-host so he can share some exhibits that 21 have been entered into evidence? 22 THE COURT: Sure. 23 CLERK: He's a co-host. 24 MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you. Are you ready, Your 25 Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, I am.

MR. FREEDMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Vel Freedman, Freedman Normand Friedland firm representing the defendants.

Judge, there's a couple things that I want to -before I launch into the actual argument that I prepared
that I want to address from the back and forth between you
and Mr. Hurley, which is -- and I think Mr. Hurley said this
numerous times, and so I just want to correct the record on
this.

The defendants concede that there are some transfers that were made at a time that were made without the authorization of Celsius. We understand that, and while the Court is correct that there isn't a provision for self-help in the contracts, that just happens to be what the situation was.

You have a situation where Mr. Stone had left
Celsius. Celsius was refusing to do an audit. He was owed
a significant amount of money. Some of these assets, and I
want to -- this is a very important point, which is when
that time occurred, but some of those assets were taken
without authorization.

Now, I want to say we believe he's entitled to those assets and we believe there's a proper set-off defense and I'll get to that in a moment, and I think it's important

to recognize, Your Honor, that at this moment in time you're not deciding the merits of this case --

THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, set-off defense is relevant to a breach a contract action. It's not taking -it's not relevant to if you'll excuse me stealing assets,
okay? There's no -- there is no self-help set-off,
particularly now that Celsius is in bankruptcy, but -- and I
want to make that in the clearest terms.

You know, this is not a breach of contract action.

He made unauthorized transfers. You dispute how much of
those were unauthorized. He doesn't get to make
unauthorized transfers and then argue that he's got a, you
know, somehow self-help should be permissible here because
he'd have a set-off claim.

You know, in the bankruptcy case he may have, you know, claims for unsecured -- unsecured claims, but don't tell me that he could exercise -- could take whatever he wanted to take without authorization. The issue is what was unauthorized. Go ahead.

MR. FREEDMAN: So, let me address that, Judge.

You heard from Mr. Hurley four or five times today about
this resignation letter, which is PX 42, and that was the
date by which Mr. Hurley said authorization ends.

I'd like to -- I'd like to pull up PX 42 because, Judge, we don't believe that that is the time when

authorization ended. So, Your Honor, if you want to turn to PX 42, but I've -- we've tried to excerpt the relevant portions of it, and that is this is not a resignation letter which says Mr. Mashinsky, I'm resigning from my position as CEO of Celsius KeyFi effective immediately.

In fact, what he talks about is transitioning out of the role of CEO of Celsius KeyFi, and if you look at the bottom paragraph, he says my hope is that one month from now we will have worked out a strong and lasting structure.

And Your Honor, this is very important, because if you go to PX 37 -- Mr. Stone, can you bring that up, please? Forty-seven, rather. You have a letter -- this is in evidence, Judge. This is a letter from Mr. Hurley dated May 17, 2021 where Mr. Hurley said -- denies that Jason Stone had resigned as the CEO of Celsius KeyFi and still was acting in that capacity.

And if I may, Judge, finally regarding Jason's employment status, you asked for proof that he was ever employed by Celsius. We have pointed out in the past, including by e-mail dated March 31, 2021, the limited liability company agreement with Celsius KeyFi, which Jason Stone executed, expressly identifies Celsius KeyFi officers as follows. Chief Executive Officer: Jason Stone. Jason also held himself out as CEO of Celsius KeyFi including to Celsius and third parties.

And if you go down, it says regarding Jason's claim that he resigned from that position, Celsius has no record of him ever having done so, and Jason recently has indicated that the date of any resignation remains undetermined. So, I'm a little shocked to hear Akin Gump come in here today and say he resigned on the 9th, when on May 17, 2021 they're denying there was ever a resignation. The fact is, there was a twilight period when there was a winding up of this relationship when he still was the CEO of Celsius KeyFi and had authority to do this. And so, Judge, what I'd say is a potential better THE COURT: Let me -- let me stop you to ask a question. Whoa, whoa, whoa. Stop, stop, stop. So, let's -- assuming you're saying there was this twilight period that he still had authority. Does that authority extend to transferring assets from Celsius to Celsius KeyFi or to Stone or KeyFi? So --MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. What's the basis for that? MR. FREEDMAN: And let me explain -- yeah, well let me explain that, because I think that's another issue I need to correct with Mr. Hurley's characterization of our

arguments. He says our entire argument hinges on Alex

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mashinsky having approved the transactions. That is only half of our argument.

So, our argument is that the transactions were authorized, and there are two ways these transactions were authorized. The first is Alex Mashinsky expressly authorized them, and I'll cover that in a moment. I do think it goes beyond just a Mr. Stone say-so and Mr. Mashinsky say-so, and -- but we'll cover that in a minute.

The second way that these transactions were authorized is because Jason Stone was the CEO of Celsius Key-Fi who was entitled to determine their own profits and make distributions, and I'm going to walk the Court through that in a minute. But what I would -- what I would say on that regard, Judge, is it is important I think -- we've talked a lot about the services agreement, the asset purchases agreement --

THE COURT: Take that down from the (indiscernible) please.

MR. FREEDMAN: Yeah. Can you take it down, Mr. Stone? Judge, we've talked about -- a lot about the asset purchase agreement and the services agreement, but I think it's important to take a step back and understand kind of what was going on here.

So, Mr. Stone owns this company called KeyFi, and he's doing decentralized finance activities on that with

that corporation. Celsius wants to bring Jason Stone and KeyFi into the Celsius group of companies to start working on these decentralized finance activities for Celsius.

And so, what Celsius does is they say we're going to contract with you, Mr. Stone, KeyFi. To do so, we're going to create a wholly owned subsidiary called Celsius KeyFi, and they call it Celsius KeyFi because it's the intermediary company between Celsius and KeyFi.

And so, what they say is Celsius KeyFi, our Celsius company, is going to buy the assets of KeyFi, and that's the asset purchase agreement. As part of that asset purchase agreement, Celsius KeyFi, meaning Celsius, is obligated to pay KeyFi, Jason Stone's own company, three things: about \$60,000 in payments, 300,000-something sell tokens which was like about \$2 million at the time, and a profit share.

And so, as part of the purchase agreement, Celsius KeyFi, meaning Celsius, is promising a portion of profit share to KeyFi. Now, simultaneously with that, Celsius says now what we're going to do is KeyFi --

THE COURT: But tell me where, Mr. Freedman, where's the P&L for Celsius KeyFi? There is --

MR. FREEDMAN: It wasn't created -- it wasn't created --

THE COURT: No, where is it -- point it to me. I

want to see -- there is none, right?

MR. FREEDMAN: There isn't, Judge, because it couldn't be created without information from Celsius on the cost of hedging, which they refused to provide. Judge, I think it's important -- I think it's important to understand there's one more step to this structure of companies, which is you've got Celsius KeyFi is now this intermediary company which is the company that's going to now be doing the decentralized finance activities for Celsius, and as part of that agreement, Celsius KeyFi enters into a services agreement with Celsius, because now Jason Stone instead of providing (indiscernible) activities through KeyFi, he's doing it through Celsius KeyFi.

And as part of this deal, Jason Stone gets made the CEO of Celsius KeyFi and gets given a lot of powers, and I'm going to walk the Court through why there was authorization.

However, Judge, this is the important point.

There needs to be a time for when this authorization ends, because if it ends -- and I would submit to you that potentially an early place for Celsius to claim it should end would be when they issued their board letter to Jason Stone saying return all Celsius assets, and that was March 26th.

And if you look at the transfers that occurred

after March 26th, Judge, you're looking at a total of \$530,000. If you do it as of May 17th, Judge, the date that Mr. Hurley denied that Mr. Stone had even resigned yet, the total transfers are \$139,000.

And if you do it as of March 31st, the date of the -- in the letter from Mr. Hurley saying we told you on March 31st you were still the CEO of Celsius KeyFi, the total is \$238,000.

So, Judge, I want to circle back to where I started. We don't disagree that there are some transfers here, that there are some transfers that were unauthorized but that amount is not in the millions of dollars. That amount is either 139,000, 238,000 or 530,000.

I want to say, though, that does not include the DAI transfer which is something completely different that I want to get to separately, because that is not Celsius' assets. They were not its property. It's our position that that -- this was an airdrop that had nothing to do with Celsius. And so, that is separate and apart we'll get to that in a minute. But obviously that makes a huge difference.

So, with that, Judge, I'd like to jump into the merits of this conversation. To succeed on these claims, Celsius is going to have to eventually convince a fact finder and potentially a jury, Judge, I know that you know

there's a -- there's a stipulation to delay a motion to withdraw the reference, see if we can stipulate to proceed in front of you on this, but that Stone and KeyFi wrongfully took assets.

And Judge, in order to do that, in order to convince you that they wrongfully took assets, they must convince this eventual fact-finder and now you sitting in a preliminary injunction posture that they have a likelihood of success on the merits, that Mr. Mashinsky did not authorize those transactions and that Mr. Stone did not have the authority to make those transfers as CEO of Celsius KeyFi.

So, if we -- if we analyze the merits of these claims, it requires a look at the evidence, not a look at just bluster or talk about, you know, stealing or theft.

And so, we start -- I want to start with the Mashinsky approval story, right, with that prong of the authorization, Judge.

So, we're saying that these transactions are authorized. I want to start with the -- Mr. Mashinsky approved these transactions and approved these transfers, because everyone believed Celsius KeyFi was profitable and that it was therefore owed money and KeyFi was owed money under the asset purchase agreement.

The evidence shows, Judge, that the parties

entered into an asset purchase agreement. The evidence shows that under that asset purchase agreement, KeyFi would be owed money if it made a profit, okay, however that term is defined.

I'll note for you, Judge, that despite the fact that Mr. Hurley has had possession of this asset purchase agreement and services agreement for a year and a half, his presentation yesterday included nothing in the language of the contract which says that there should be a coin-based accounting, and when this Court asked him to show language in the contract showing there's a coin-based accounting, we heard that it's very complicated and he'd like to make submissions afterwards.

It's really not that complicated. The contract defines the amount that gets paid to KeyFi as net profits.

And the definition of net profits specifically says in U.S. dollars. It's just not -- it's not --

THE COURT: Is it your position that Mr. Stone would be entitled to profits attributable to the rise in value of coins?

MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, yes, that is the deal that Celsius struck by virtue of hedging requirements.

THE COURT: All right. And at the same time would he also -- would the decline in the value of coins diminish the profit he'd be entitled to?

Page 64 1 MR. FREEDMAN: I believe the answer to that is yes 2 unless the cost of hedging or the interaction of hedging 3 with the agreements change that. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 4 5 MR. FREEDMAN: So, judge, the evidence in the case 6 shows that asset purchase agreement is entered into, profit 7 is --THE COURT: One second, and I'll let you go on, 8 9 but is that addressed in your brief? I don't see that 10 really addressed in the plaintiff's brief. 11 MR. FREEDMAN: I think we talk about a U.S. 12 dollars-based accounting, Judge, and under a U.S. dollars-13 based accounting, the price of the assets is what governs 14 what was returned. 15 THE COURT: It's not -- it certainly isn't clear 16 to me that either side has really addressed the issue of 17 what, if any -- how a profit calculation would be affected 18 by the rise or fall in the value of crypto coins. And so, 19 I'm going to want supplemental submissions from both sides on that, but go ahead. 20 21 MR. FREEDMAN: So, Judge, understood and we'll 22 include that in post-hearing briefing, Judge. 23 So, you've got an asset purchase agreement. 24 You've got, it's clear and undisputed that profit -- net

profit is owed. Obviously nobody's expecting KeyFi to work

for free, although Mr. Mashinsky seemed to have a problem with that yesterday.

The evidence shows that Celsius, month after month, sent tens of millions of dollars, hundreds of millions of dollars, to Celsius KeyFi to be managed by Mr. Stone to the point where it was holding over \$1.4 billion of Celsius digital assets.

And Judge, we saw that in the digital -- in the general ledger that Mr. Mashinsky claimed he never looked at. That was DX 35. And we saw that in the e-mail from Mr. Mashinsky on the right, which is DX 40, saying the wallet now shows \$1.4 billion in assets. And we heard that from Mr. Nolan in the -- you can take that down. Thank you, Mr. Stone. You heard that from Mr. Nolan who yesterday testified that they transferred -- he was the man responsible for deploying coins. They just continued month after month transferring these assets.

That is not the behavior of a company that does not believe the actions are profitable. And the evidence shows, Judge, that Celsius KeyFi through Mr. Stone managed these eye popping amounts of Celsius assets for over seven months.

And the evidence shows that during that relationship, Celsius considered KeyFi to be a very profitable trading strategy and pushed hard for continued

Page 66 1 deployment through Celsius KeyFi. Mr. Mashinsky testified -2 THE COURT: All well and good, but nobody has 3 provided an actual P&L for that period. 4 5 MR. FREEDMAN: But Judge, we've demanded an 6 accounting and they refused to do it. We demanded an 7 accounting. There's a clear contractual right to an 8 accounting. It's black and white. We've --9 THE COURT: But there also was a contractual -- I 10 -- you know, Mr. Hurley pointed to the language in the 11 services agreement that required Celsius KeyFi to prepare 12 the P&L, correct? 13 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, Judge, but --14 THE COURT: You don't disagree with it? 15 MR. FREEDMAN: I don't disagree with that, but 16 what I disagree with, Judge, is that there was --17 THE COURT: And none was ever prepared, correct? 18 MR. FREEDMAN: But they could -- that's correct, 19 Judge, but they could not prepare it, and that's not fair, 20 right? It's not fair for Celsius to say to Celsius KeyFi --21 THE COURT: But it was Mr. Stone's company for 22 which he was CEO that had the responsibility for preparing 23 the P&L, correct? 24 MR. FREEDMAN: But he couldn't -- yeah, but he couldn't do it. He couldn't do it. That's like saying --25

Page 67 1 THE COURT: All right. I understand that. 2 MR. FREEDMAN: But -- and the reason he couldn't do it is (indiscernible). 3 THE COURT: But it don't say -- I don't see 4 5 anything in the agreement that says oh, this is too 6 complicated. Mr. Stone's company --7 MR. FREEDMAN: That's not what I mean. 8 THE COURT: -- can't -- wait - can't do it. 9 says that's who's supposed to do it. It doesn't say that 10 Celsius is supposed to do it. 11 MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: It says Celsius KeyFi is supposed to 13 do it. You agree with that much at least? 14 MR. FREEDMAN: I agree with that much, but I think 15 I'm talking past you unfortunately. And I'm going to try to 16 clarify what I'm saying, because no disagreement Jason Stone 17 as Celsius KeyFi had to prepare the P&L. No disagreement. 18 But you know, there's an impossibility defense, 19 and it's not because it's complicated. It's not because the 20 transactions were complicated. Mr. Stone could create that 21 P&L because the transactions aren't all that complicated. 22 What he couldn't do is he couldn't create a P&L 23 because Celsius refused to give the information needed to create the P&L. So, it's Celsius that frustrated --24 25 THE COURT: Well --

Page 68 1 MR. FREEDMAN: -- Mr. Stone's ability to create 2 the --3 THE COURT: -- the evidence is contested -- the evidence is --4 5 MR. FREEDMAN: I understand that. I understand 6 that, Judge. THE COURT: Stop. Stop until I ask my question. 7 8 The evidence is controverted as to who was responsible for 9 hedging, Celsius or Celsius KeyFi. Do you agree with that? 10 It's -- your position is it was Celsius. Their position is 11 it was Celsius KeyFi, correct. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: I do, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 14 MR. FREEDMAN: But my point is, and I don't 15 dispute that it's disputed, it is disputed, right, Judge? 16 That's not in dispute. But what is in dispute is we, 17 Celsius KeyFi, had to prepare the P&L. And what we're 18 saying is we could not produce that P&L because we did not 19 have the inputs we needed to create that P&L, i.e. the cost 20 of hedging. And so there was no ability to create the P&L. 21 Then --22 Stop for a second. Do you -- I THE COURT: Wait. 23 know you say that it was Celsius responsible for hedging. 24 They say it was Celsius KeyFi. If the trier of fact 25 concludes that it was Celsius KeyFi who was responsible for

hedging, then your argument goes away, doesn't it? Because if they were responsible for hedging and they didn't do it, you needed to know the cost of hedge in order to calculate the P&L, then it's at Mr. Stone's feet for not having done it, correct?

I know you dispute who had the responsibility but

I'm saying the trier of fact concludes that it was Celsius

KeyFi that was responsible for hedging, it's back in Mr.

Stone's corner for not having a P&L.

MR. FREEDMAN: To some extent that's true, Judge.

I would dispute that any trier of fact could come to that

position now with an expedited discovery schedule and based

on limited evidence we have, but -- to some extent. But I

also think that even if Mr. Stone failed to deliver the P&L

while he was CEO of Celsius KeyFi, even if that's true that

he failed and it's his problem and he was wrong for not

producing that P&L, that doesn't know how Celsius to say too

bad, so sad, you're not owed any money for seven months of

work.

THE COURT: Okay. That's what's called a breach of contract lawsuit, and what I'm saying is I've seen no -- nothing in any written agreement that would authorize Stone to just take it with self-help --

MR. FREEDMAN: So, I think the authorization Stone being able to take it comes either through Mr. Mashinsky's

Page 70 1 oral authorization, I'd like to get to why I believe in a --2 in a review of the evidence and credibility it appears Mr. 3 Mashinsky authorized it, or at least it is a significantly 4 disputed issue of whether or not he authorized it, or that 5 Mr. Stone had the ability to make these transfers as CEO of 6 Celsius KeyFi, and I'll get to that in a minute. 7 So, I agree with you, Judge. But I -- what I --8 what my point is that --9 THE COURT: He didn't make the transfers from 10 Celsius KeyFi. He made the transfers from Celsius. It was 11 MR. FREEDMAN: No, Judge, he made --12 13 THE COURT: -- coming out of Celsius' wallets that 14 he had -- he had the private keys for. 15 MR. FREEDMAN: Well, I mean, Judge, I think that 16 that's not how I understand what happened. What I 17 understand the evidence shows is that Celsius funded --18 THE COURT: Point me to the specific evidence. 19 Point me to the specific evidence then, because I --20 MR. FREEDMAN: So, Judge --21 THE COURT: My understanding of the evidence was 22 we're talking about transfers that came from Celsius, and he 23 was not the CEO of Celsius. 24 MR. FREEDMAN: So, what happens, Judge, is Celsius 25 transfers money into the OXB-1 wallet. You'll recall that's

the wallet that -- okay. That wallet, the OXB-1 wallet, is a wallet that Celsius created and then handed to Celsius KeyFi for use as its custody.

So, digital assets that went into the OXB-1 wallet became within the custody, control, and -- custody and control of the OXB-1 wallet, which was a Celsius KeyFi wallet, and the transfers were made from that OXB-1 wallet into other wallets. And as the CEO of Celsius KeyFi, we maintain Mr. Stone had the authority to make these transfers. That's argument two on -- in the authorization prong.

So, Judge, I mean, but let's get back for a minute to the Mashinsky authorization, right? And it's important to understand the mindset of the individuals, because what we're trying to figure out is was Mr. Mashinsky in a position where he said to Mr. Stone absolutely, go ahead and take some profit share? And to do that, you need to put yourself into the mindset of these individuals.

Mr. Mashinsky testified yesterday that he authorized transfers from Celsius to Celsius KeyFi because he thought Celsius KeyFi was profitably deploying assets.

Mr. Mashinsky testified that he praised Mr. Stone, that he told Celsius employees that KeyFi -- Celsius KeyFi was profitable, and that he thought Celsius KeyFi was at the forefront of decentralized finance. Clearly, he thinks this

man, meaning Mr. Stone, is successfully implementing wallets and making a significant profit.

Mr. Nolan testified that the belief at Celsius was that KeyFi was profitable, that Celsius CFO Ms. Harumi Thompson, told him that KeyFi was profitable in 2020 and 2021, that Alex Mashinsky told Mr. Nolan that KeyFi was profitable in 2020 and 2021, and shared details of how the profitability was working with him, that Mr. Stone told Mr. Nolan that KeyFi -- Celsius KeyFi was profitable, and that Mr. Nolan had personally formed the belief that KeyFi -- Celsius KeyFi was highly profitable. All right?

And Mr. Nolan also provided good insight into Mr. Mashinsky's state of mind. He said Mr. Mashinsky was pretty forceful, and that's a quote, in demanding Mr. Nolan deploy more assets with KeyFi because it was profitable. He was impeached from his deposition, which showed that Mr. Mashinsky pressured him to work with KeyFi, and on the stand, he said that pressure lasted up until the day KeyFi resigned.

Mr. Mashinsky was pushing for deployment with

Celsius KeyFi until the very, very end. Mr. Nolan testified

Mr. Mashinsky would praise Mr. Stone, and Mr. Mashinsky

would badmouth other employees to prop up Mr. Stone. And

so, we see a company that believes Mr. Stone and Celsius

KeyFi, regardless of this Court will eventually -- or jury

or -- will have to determine whether or not it actually was profitable at some point, but at the time -- we're looking at was the authorization. At the time, everyone thought this was profitable, highly profitable. So did Mr. Stone.

Mr. Nolan told him that at the time, Mr. Stone said he was entitled to profits, discussed that, the amounts of those profits, and discussed it openly with Mr. Nolan.

And Mr. Mashinsky, we saw an e-mail in DX 41 -- can you bring that up, Mr. Stone? We saw an e-mail from Mr.

Mashinsky in DX 41 where he admitted that Mr. Stone had made gains. It's in writing.

Mr. Stone, can we -- there we go, right? And this is an e-mail on January 18th from Alex Mashinsky to do a coin count and audit to make sure all client assets are returned and book the gains Jason created.

So, we already have -- you're looking at Mr.

Mashinsky's state of mind on January 18th. He's right -everybody knows Mr. Stone is creating gains and profits.

And then we saw -- thank you, Mr. Stone. You can take that down.

We saw that Celsius' behavior over a seven-month period -- we looked at the general ledger and this behavior is the behavior of a company that believes its strategy is working. They send 22 million in August, 54 million in September, 81 million in October, 108 million in November,

36 million in December.

So, Judge, the evidence gets us to a place where in January 2021, KeyFi has deployed hundreds of millions of dollars in assets for Celsius. KeyFi has performed DeFi -- Celsius KeyFi has performed DeFi services for over six months for Celsius.

Celsius, the company's actions, their writings and their statements all confirmed that KeyFi is profitable and everyone is operating under the theory that KeyFi is profitable. The APA clearly contemplates that KeyFi is entitled, not Celsius KeyFi but KeyFi, is entitled to a percent of those profits and contemplated payments to be made on December 31, 2020.

But come January and Celsius hasn't made a single profit-sharing payment to KeyFi despite the fact that Celsius KeyFi has been profitably managing these services for a very long time.

THE COURT: Show me the P&L that it was profitable.

MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, there isn't a P&L. We know that, but what I'm telling you is the question today for this Court is were the transactions authorized, and I'm telling you those transactions were authorized because Mr. Mashinsky thought this was the most profitable deployment that he had, and he was sure Mr. Stone was generating

profits. And so, when Mr. Stone came to him and said, hey, we don't have this P&L yet, but I'm clearly extraordinarily profitable, can I take some money out, Mr. Mashinsky said yes, because everyone was like this guy is really in money.

THE COURT: He says Mashinsky says yes, and Mashinsky says no.

MR. FREEDMAN: Well, but Judge, to be fair, Mr.

Mashinsky said no to a lot of things that I don't think this

Court was believing yesterday either. I mean, we can go

through those, but at some point yesterday, the judge told

me I was beating a dead horse.

I mean, Mr. Mashinsky lied to the public on multiple occasions about Celsius' strength. He lied to the public about the -- getting a thumbs up from the regulators. He literally -- two days before he froze withdrawals he looked at the public in the eye and was like we've got no problems. We've got billions of liquidity. This is not a trustworthy individual.

THE COURT: You know, Mr. Freedman, I don't think either of you want to be resting this case on the credibility of either Mashinsky or Stone, but go ahead.

MR. FREEDMAN: Well, Judge, I understand that although I would submit to you that if it is a question of the credibility of Mr. Mashinsky and Mr. Stone, Mr. Stone is going to win that fight, although --

Page 76 1 THE COURT: You think so. You think so? 2 MR. FREEDMAN: That's what I think the -- and Judge, it's not just -- but the important thing is, I mean, 3 I do. Mr. Mashinsky was the CEO of a massive company that 4 defrauded thousand -- millions of investors, hundreds of 5 6 thousands of investors. Mr. Stone didn't do that. We --7 THE COURT: And Mr. Stone, you know, is alleged to have taken \$10 million dollars that wasn't authorized. 8 9 MR. FREEDMAN: He's alleged to have taken it that 10 wasn't authorized, and we've shown Your Honor under 11 expedited discovery schedule numerous pieces of evidence 12 that show that everyone at this company believed that this 13 was profitable and that these transactions were authorized. 14 And Judge, there's another thing. You got to see 15 yesterday Mr. Mashinsky on the stand and you got to see 16 yesterday Mr. Stone on the stand. All right? Mr. Mashinsky 17 on the stand yesterday was evasive. He pretended not to understand simple questions. He claimed he'd never seen the 18 19 general ledger of the company he was the CEO of. He claimed 20 not to be able to click a few buttons on Etherscan to see 21 where the NFTs were being purchased. 22 Judge, I'd tell you to compare that to the

23

24

for numerous depositions, answered every single question.

He hasn't hidden transactions. He gave direct answers to
the questions Mr. Hurley was asking for him yesterday, and
while he hasn't yet been able to locate written
communications he thinks exist, quite frankly discovery has
just begun.

And I take issue with the idea that under an expedited discovery schedule it's tough to produce an entire e-mail inbox. It's actually the easiest thing to do. You just take the e-mail inbox and you give it to us.

We didn't get it. We have some of those e-mails, yes, not all of them. But Judge, it's not -- this is not an exercise of is Mr. Mashinsky the truth teller or Mr. Stone the truth teller. That's not what this is, because there's much more than that. The allegation that KeyFi was stealing NFTs, it doesn't add up. It doesn't make common sense.

The facts show that everyone thought KeyFi was wildly profitable, owed money, and had not been paid. OXB-1's purchases of NFTs, Celsius KeyFi's purchases of NFTs were made on a public blockchain. The facts show that a few clicks -- Mr. Stone showed the court Etherscan. A few clicks on the screen will show you that these NFT purchases, you literally enter the address into Etherscan, click ERC-721 tokens, and the purchases come up in a long list on their dates.

KeyFi -- also Mr. Stone, he wasn't secretive about these purchases. He opened a highly visible Twitter account and tweeted about these purchases. The OXB-1 Twitter account was one of the largest twitter followings in DeFi activities at the time when it was opened. It had tens of thousands of followers.

And if you're tweeting about the -- and not only was he tweeting about these purchases that they're claiming were theft, he tweeted about them five days after Mr.

Mashinsky scolded him for tweeting. I mean, that's not the behavior of a thief. That's not the behavior of somebody who thinks he's not authorized to take these -- to take the -- make these transfers. You don't go around broadcasting it on Twitter. You don't go around making these public purchases.

And Mr. Nolan made the same testimony. His testimony also demonstrates that Mr. Stone was not acting like a thief. Mr. Nolan testified that he knew about the Twitter account, too, and that it was a popular Twitter account. He talked about the Twitter account with both Mr. Stone and with Celsius' CFO and that he knew Stone had purchased NFTs prior to his departure and that contemporaneous --

THE COURT: Look, Mr. Stone's self-promotion on
Twitter is not going to carry this case for him.

MR. FREEDMAN: But Judge, my point is it shows the state of mind were the transactions authorized or not. Court has to make a determination of likelihood of success on the merits. Were these transactions authorized? And I would submit to you that Mr. Stone may be a lot of things. He's not an idiot, and only an idiot would steal NFTs and tweet about them to thousands of followers when your boss has just told you to stop tweeting and when you've got literally Mr. -- your boss is following your Twitter account. I mean, that's just not the behavior of a thief. So, when this Court has to say were these transactions authorized, I think you have to say it's -- Mr. Stone's either a complete idiot or these transactions were authorized. And I know Mr. Stone, and I think you saw Mr. Stone on the stand. He's not an idiot. So, finally, Judge, we know that there's another piece to this puzzle. Mr. Mashinsky has authorized payment in the form of NFTs before. He had Celsius pay his wife two NFTs for work. This is not like it's never happened before. This has happened before. So, Judge, when you are asked to look at the global picture of were these transactions authorized by Mr. Mashinsky, I'd submit to you and I understand the Court pushed back, that if you're weighing the credibility of these two witnesses, Mr. Stone wins.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But I'm not asking you to just do that. I'm asking you to look at the totality of the circumstances and I'm telling you it doesn't make sense that Mr. Stone is a thief. The facts don't line up. He was open and transparent about what he was doing. That's not what a thief does. When you embezzle money from a company, you don't do it in broad daylight and tell everybody you're doing it, and if you do, the company slams you, cuts you off, fires you, gets you indicted. None of that happened. THE COURT: Well, he may have believed --MR. FREEDMAN: It wasn't until months later that Mr. Hurley walks in and --THE COURT: Stop. He may have believed that he was entitled to money, but that doesn't entitle him to selfhelp. MR. FREEDMAN: Well, but Judge, the self-help is what happened after the authorization ended, and we've conceded that there --THE COURT: There was no -- wait a second. There's disputed issue of fact whether there was authorization, okay? Even if I credit Mr. Stone that he was authorized to do it, it's one thing to do it while you're -while he's still with the company and another thing after, and that's why I asked for a breakdown of transfers before

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and after that.

I know you've argued that it wasn't a firm resignation as of the date of the letter, that it was a transition period. I'll have to consider that. You gave a breakdown for transfers as of particular dates, March 26th \$530,000 after that date, and you argued the number goes down from there depending on what data you use, and I'm going to require additional submissions where you make that point quite clear. That -- I didn't see that breakdown in the brief that was filed on behalf of Mr. Stone (indiscernible). Go ahead.

MR. FREEDMAN: Understood, Judge, and I'm happy to include that. I want to address the DAI transfer, Judge, because there's \$1.4 million worth of DAI that's transferred afterwards, and I said earlier that I was excluding that from my calculations, and I excluded it for a reason.

I think the Court understands what happened here, which is there was a malicious attack and as a result of that malicious attack, there was a liquidation on the compound protocol, which resulted in certain ETH being forcibly sold at certain prices.

Mr. Stone's testimony yesterday, and it's undisputed, is that actually didn't result in a loss for Celsius because while the --

THE COURT: Well, that was not -- it was -- it

definitely was disputed yesterday.

MR. FREEDMAN: I'm not -- well, the --

THE COURT: Mr. Hurley presented evidence from Stone himself about people having suffered loss. So, don't tell me it was undisputed that there was no loss.

MR. FREEDMAN: Well, it was my understanding that Mr. Stone testified that because the ETH was purposed back that day or shortly thereafter at a much less expensive price, there actually wasn't a loss to Celsius.

That's how I recall the evidence, Judge. I don't have a transcript in front of me. You're the fact-finder.

If you remember it differently, you remember it differently.

But what I will say is under that understanding of the evidence, even if there was some small loss to Celsius, that \$1.4 million worth of DAI was not Celsius assets. That \$1.4 million worth of DAI was literally dropped into a wallet by the compound protocol. And the reason it was dropped into that wallet was the result of months-long lobbying effort by Mr. Stone to get that accomplished.

And so, he lobbied the compound community to make that airdrop. They literally just dropped it in. That happened to be the address they dropped it into because that's the address he was using as his identity to lobby for it. And so, then he did --

THE COURT: Where did the value go?

MR. FREEDMAN: And so, then he did -- he took those -- Mr. Stone took them and distributed I think to some of his friends, who as you said, one of them purchased a house -- down payment on a house and he took some of it himself. But my point is it's different in character and in kind, because it's not -- in our view it's not a Celsius It was airdropped by the compound protocol. THE COURT: I don't understand that. That I do not understand at all. MR. FREEDMAN: Well, Judge, let's say you -- you know, me and Mr. Roche started a business together and we use a bank account and both of our names are on that bank account, and then I go out and lobby for there to be some sort of payment to me for -- and I spend months doing that and then they say, you know what, fine, and they dropped the money into -- they transfer the -- wire transfer the money That's into our joint account and then I take those funds. our --THE COURT: Why wasn't it Celsius' property? Why shouldn't it have been dropped into a Celsius wallet? MR. FREEDMAN: Well, it was dropped into this wallet that would belong to Celsius KeyFi, and that -because Mr. Stone has since left the company, but at the same time it was the result of his efforts and the fruits of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 84 1 his labor. It wasn't Celsius (indiscernible) --2 THE COURT: And he didn't have to ask -- he didn't 3 have to ask Celsius, oh, it's okay if I keep it and if I 4 give half of it to my co-founder or anything like that, 5 right? 6 MR. FREEDMAN: I mean, would that have been a best 7 practice? THE COURT: That's your position? That's your 8 9 position. 10 MR. FREEDMAN: No, no, no, I -- that -- our 11 position is as a legal matter he didn't have to. Now, to be 12 clear, if the judge -- if the judge or a jury or whoever 13 ends up finding that he wasn't entitled to take it, he'll 14 return it. But my point is, it's not an unauthorized 15 transfer. 16 THE COURT: Where is the money now? Where is the 17 money now? Where's the value --MR. FREEDMAN: I think Mr. Stone testified to that 18 on the stand yesterday, but I don't have the transcript in 19 20 front of me, Judge. 21 THE COURT: What did he -- what's your 22 recollection of what he said? MR. FREEDMAN: My recollection is that half of it 23 went to -- or a third of it went to his co-founders who one 24 25 of them got a car, one of them put a down payment on a

Page 85 1 house, and that he --2 THE COURT: And he didn't remember what he did with his share? 3 MR. FREEDMAN: Honestly, Judge, I don't remember 4 5 what he said on the stand yesterday. If that's your 6 recollection, I'm not going to dispute it. 7 THE COURT: You seem to remember everything else about the transcript except what Mr. Stone did with the 8 9 assets that he received. 10 MR. FREEDMAN: I spent a lot of -- Judge, I spent 11 a lot of time yesterday --12 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) look, you're showing a 13 very clear recall of facts other than what Mr. Stone got and 14 what he did with hit. 15 MR. FREEDMAN: I'm not sure what the Court's 16 insinuating, but I -- Judge, I -- the facts I recall are the 17 facts that I recall as a result of my efforts with my team 18 yesterday from last night. I can assure you there's nothing 19 going on here. I'm not hiding anything from the Court. 20 There's a transcript. If the Court wants post-hearing submissions, we will (indiscernible) that transcript. 21 22 THE COURT: Tell me -- I want to know -- it may be unflattering to your client. What's your recollection of 23 24 what the testimony in the record is with respect to what Mr.

Stone did with that -- the value of those assets that came

Page 86 1 back into the wallet. 2 MR. FREEDMAN: Which assets? THE COURT: Yes, what did he do with it? 3 MR. FREEDMAN: Which specific --4 5 THE COURT: What's your recollection of the 6 testimony? You have a very clear recollection of things 7 that you like but somehow you don't really remember things 8 that may not be very flattering for Mr. Stone. 9 MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, which specific assets are we 10 talking about? The DAI? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: Again, Judge, I think I told you 13 that half -- I think a third of it or some portion went to 14 his co-founders and he doesn't know where that is, and then 15 he kept --16 THE COURT: And what happened to the portion that 17 came to him? 18 MR. FREEDMAN: Can I have a minute, Judge? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, now I -- what I recall now 21 and having conferred is he said some of it got transferred 22 into his bank account and co-mingled with his other funds 23 and he can't tell -- he can't tell what happened to it. THE COURT: Go ahead. 24 25 MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. So, Judge, I want to -- I

want to pivot from here, from the -- under the authorization argument, and Mr. Mashinsky authorized it. I want to pivot to -- it was authorized because Mr. Stone was the CEO of Celsius KeyFi.

Before I do that, though, I want to point out that this Court is sitting in a preliminary injunction posture.

I know the Court's familiar with the standard of a preliminary injunction, but I would like to point out one portion, because I think Mr. Hurley misstated the exact standard.

Under the 2nd Circuit, you have to show likelihood of success on the merits or you have to show sufficiently serious questions have been raised and -- and this is the part that was missing from the presentation -- and that the balance of hardships tips decidedly in their favor.

So, if you go -- if you go under the likelihood of success prong, you don't need to do that necessarily, but if you go under the sufficiently serious questions, you need to show the hardships, and I'm going to return to the hardships in a minute, because Judge, the hardships aren't anywhere close here. But let me transition -- under our authorization argument, let me transition from Mr. Mashinsky authorized it to Mr. Stone had the authority.

So, we went through the structure of how this company works and how KeyFi became -- you know, KeyFI got

Page 88 1 bought out for a percentage of profits. Mr. Stone became 2 the CEO of Celsius KeyFi and was providing services under 3 those agreements. 4 So, I'd like to, Judge, pick up the asset purchase 5 agreement. Mr. Stone, could you share the relevant portion? 6 This is DX 40, Judge, and I'm on the first page of that --7 of that exhibit. 8 THE COURT: I have it open in front of me. 9 Thank you. Judge, I need it just MR. FREEDMAN: 10 to see it if you don't mind. I'm sorry. 11 THE COURT: That's fine. Put it up on the screen. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: That's tough to see, but okay. 13 know what? I have a little bit of it here. All right. 14 So, Judge, under the asset purchase agreement, the 15 KeyFi, meaning the company Stone owns, majority, is the 16 seller because it's selling its assets. Celsius KeyFi, the 17 wholly owned subsidiary of Celsius, is the buyer, and 18 Celsius Network Limited is the parent. So, now if we go -- and that that definition is 19 20 important to keep in mind. Let's jump to Section 3 of that 21 asset purchase agreement. Mr. Stone, can you bring up that 22 portion? 23 And so, now I'm at DX 40. I'm at Page 7 of DX 40, 24 Judge. 25 THE COURT: Okay, I'm there.

Pg 89 of 193 Page 89 1 MR. FREEDMAN: PX 40. PX 40. I'm sorry. 2 THE COURT: I'm there. MR. FREEDMAN: All right. So, under Section 3 of 3 the asset purchase agreement, Celsius KeyFi is the party 4 responsible for paying KeyFi. So, let's go through this. 5 6 As the sole and exclusive consideration for the seller, 7 that's KeyFi, Stone's company, commitments under this 8 agreement, the buyer, that's Celsius KeyFi, the company Stone is the CEO of, shall pay or cause to be paid to the 9 10 seller, to KeyFi, Stone's company, in immediately available 11 funds by wire transfer any earn-out payment. 12 So, under the asset purchase agreement, the 13 company that Mr. Stone is the CEO of is responsible for 14 paying Mr. Stone's company, and it's this -- this is the 15 power in the clear black and white terms of the contract 16 that authorized Mr. Stone, while he was the CEO of Celsius 17 KeyFi -- in fact, he was obligated as the CEO of Celsius 18 KeyFi a portion of the earn-out payment. 19 And Judge, the services agreement, that confirms 20 this relationship as well. So, Mr. Stone, can you pull up -21 - is it DX or PX 41? PX -- PX 41, Judge. Can we pull up 22 the services agreement in PX 41? 23 So, here -- is that -- yeah, PX 41. Here, Judge,

relationship because the service agreement refers to Celsius

the services agreement confirms the same -- the same

24

KeyFi, the entity that Stone was the CEO of, as KeyFi, confusingly, and Celsius Network Limited as Celsius.

And under Schedule A of the services agreement titled Material Service and Relationship Terms, it was Celsius KeyFi that -- and I'm going to quote, Mr. Hurley showed you this, too, KeyFi, which means Celsius KeyFi, the company that Stone was the CEO of, shall retain control of its internal budget and profit and loss determination in good faith.

So, KeyFi had to make a good faith profit and loss determination and KeyFi, Celsius KeyFi, the company Stone was the chief executive officer of, had to determine profit and had to pay profit.

Now, the Court has said, well, where's the profit and loss statement --

THE COURT: And you agree -- just a second, Mr.

Freedman. You agree, and I think we sort of fenced about
this point before, that Celsius KeyFi never produced a
profit and loss statement that would be used for determining
what distribution is required, correct?

MR. FREEDMAN: I do agree with that, Judge. But I will tell the Court that there is no requirement to produce a profit and loss statement in the contract. The contract says KeyFi shall retain control of its internal budget and profit and loss determination, and I --

Page 91 1 THE COURT: So, where -- show me a piece of paper. 2 MR. FREEDMAN: Well, but Judge, I have to --3 THE COURT: Wait, stop. Let me ask my question. MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. 5 THE COURT: Show me a piece of paper that has that 6 profit and loss determination, because I don't think there 7 is one. Is there? 8 MR. FREEDMAN: As far as I know, Judge, there's 9 one document that showed one -- Judge, can I have one 10 moment? 11 THE COURT: Yes, you can. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, a couple things. There is 13 one document we're going to pull up on the screen for you 14 now showing a small percentage of profit that was in the 15 general ledger from KeyFi. 16 However, I think, Judge, that it's fair to say 17 that what was going on was Stone was having conversations 18 with Mashinsky and others about profitability and KeyFi was 19 providing not a full comprehensive review but screenshots of 20 this company -- you heard a lot about this website called 21 De-Bank which showed profitability and was showing these two 22 people and then in good faith made a determination that he 23 was -- he had earned so much profit, he was able to take some, the final amount to be determined when a full profit 24 25 and loss statement had been created.

But again, Judge, there doesn't need to be a written P&L. It's a little short, Judge, but if you go to DX 34 -- Mr. Stone, what's the line -- what's that line? This is the huge spreadsheet, Judge. We'll find the line for you, but if you look at the bottom one, it says 10 percent accrual for KeyFi revenue share and it shows a \$1.2 million number.

So, this is in the general ledger of Celsius. So, you do see a written confirmation from Celsius that some profit share was owed. Again, Judge, stepping back into our argument here, Celsius KeyFi, who Mr. Stone was the CEO of, had the ability and in fact indeed the obligation to pay KeyFi, the company Stone was the owner of, a profit share and one that he had to determine in good faith, not one that required a full-blown written profit and loss statement.

And Judge, this is why the audit right exists, right, in the asset purchase agreement which allows KeyFi, Stone's company, to invoke the audit right against Celsius KeyFi, the company that Stone was the CEO of, the one that was obligated to make the earn-out payment, right? This all lines up. The agreements all line up.

So, Mr. Stone, can you pull up PX 40 at 16, the audit right? And I'm at Page 16 of that -- of that document, Judge.

THE COURT: I see it.

MR. FREEDMAN: You see it? So, it says within the later to occur, 14 calendar days -- I'm in Romanette I, right, after any payment by buyer, okay, that is -- buyer is Celsius KeyFi, the company Stone is the CEO of, due under this agreement or 30 calendar days after such agreement, if seller, that's KeyFi, the defendant, if seller is dissatisfied with the payment or if non-payment occurs, nonpayment occurred, seller, that's defendant, may invoke an audit of buyer, that Celsius KeyFi, plaintiff, relevant records using seller's chosen auditor who shall be nationally licensed CPA. So, Judge, all of these agreements line up. Celsius KeyFi, the company Stone was the CEO of, had a contractual obligation to pay the defendant a profit share, and Celsius KeyFi, the company that Stone was the CEO of, had the right to determine profits in good faith, not subject to the creation of a formal P&L. Now, should a formal P&L be created? Yes. And when no payment occurred from the plaintiff to the defendant, the defendant invoked the audit right and the plaintiff ignored it. MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, I started out with Mr. Hurley by saying that I think that you have presented a good faith, colorable claim for breach of contract and that Stone was

entitled the audit. Where I part company is that there is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

no justification for self-help, okay? It may well be that you had a good claim that they -- that Celsius breached the contract. You sought to trigger the audit provisions; they didn't respond and that would justify, you know, when you filed a state court action, for example, that KeyFi was entitled to an audit -- to trigger the audit and may well have been entitled to a profit share. Okay?

I separate that out, and in my mind that's quite different from saying the heck with you, I'll just take the money. You come and get me.

MR. FREEDMAN: I -- Judge, I don't disagree.

Here's -- we don't disagree, and I -- Judge, I opened this

this argument saying that there is certain transfers that

are -- were unauthorized. I conceded that off the bat. I

got up here and that's the first thing I said.

I said it matters the date, and now you know,

Judge, after I've walked you through this argument why the

date matters, because so long as Stone was CEO of the

company that was required to make the payment to the

defendant, he was authorized to make those transfers.

THE COURT: Yeah, we -- I -- well, look, I -- the fact that he was CEO would not authorize him -- would not in my view, unless you've got some authority for this, that he unilaterally could decide I'm just going to take the \$10 million. The heck with them. They either will do the

Page 95 1 accounting or -- you can't because you're CEO, you just 2 can't decide the heck with you, I'm just going to take it. 3 MR. FREEDMAN: But I don't -- Judge, I don't think 4 that's what happened. He had -- he had to make a good faith determination. So, if we go to PX 41 --5 6 THE COURT: There's not a single document -- I --7 look, you're pointing to DX 34 and the line that shows 10 8 percent accrual of KeyFi revenue share you say is --9 MR. FREEDMAN: Which is Line 67. 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 11 MR. FREEDMAN: It's Line 67, Judge. I promised 12 you I'd give you that number. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- I'm making a note of that. Okay. And that to me is far from an authorization to 14 15 show an authorization to just do it. 16 MR. FREEDMAN: But Judge, he was the chief 17 executive officer -- chief executive officer of the company 18 and pursuant to the contract --19 THE COURT: You think a chief executive officer 20 can just without any authority from anybody else, without 21 board authority, no matter what the dollar amount is, they 22 can just do it? Take it for themselves? I don't know of 23 any law that says that. 24 MR. FREEDMAN: No, Judge, he's acting pursuant --25 he's acting pursuant to --

Page 96 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) prosecuted all the time for just taking the money and it's not a defense to say oh, I'm the CEO. I can take what I wanted. That's not the law. MR. FREEDMAN: But Judge, this isn't a situation where Mr. Stone just walked in as CEO of the company and just took money, right? I mean the company that he's the CEO of had a contractual obligation to pay the defendant. THE COURT: And if they didn't do it, he's got a breach of contract, and you just can't do it yourself. MR. FREEDMAN: No, no, no. But Judge, he was the CEO of the company. THE COURT: Let me stop. Stop, stop. You're going to have to brief why his being CEO would give him the authority to just take \$10 million. I'm using that number. That may not be the right number, okay? MR. FREEDMAN: I understand. THE COURT: That he can just do it without -- it's an affiliate. It -- you know, I have never -- I have never -- look, I've never seen a case that says, you know, unless there are board resolutions and all sorts of authority, CEO just can't decide to take \$10 million and say, well, of course, I'm the CEO. I can do what I want. That's nonsense. If you've got authority that says he can, I want

to see it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FREEDMAN: And judge, I don't think -- I think -- we're not disagreeing. I'm not saying the CEO can just transfer willy nilly whatever he wants, and we will brief this, but my point is that Jason Stone was the CEO of a company that had a contractual obligation to make that \$10 million payment. And we'll brief it. It's in the -- it's in the contracts. And my statement to you is he was well within his authority as CEO of fulfilling his company's contractual obligations. We will brief it, Judge. But I'm telling you it's there in the contracts. We walked through some of it now. We'll put it very clearly, but that company had a contractual obligation to pay it and he was well within his authority as CEO of the company to fulfill that contractual obligation. Now, it's \$10 million. THE COURT: That's where I disagree. That's where you've got to persuade me on that and Mr. Hurley's --MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. THE COURT: -- going to get a chance to respond to that. MR. FREEDMAN: We will do our best, Judge. understand. So, Judge, you know, I think that's the two prongs of the authorization argument, right? So, these transfers, I think you and I, Judge, have talked about this and I think we've agreed, not that it matters what I agreed to, but we've agreed nonetheless that there's a certain

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- point in time at which that the transactions, the transfers, were without authorization. And the question is, what is that date?
- And so, now I'm talking about, well, when was that authorization -- when do you find that line, and what was the authority to transfer? And prong one is, well, Mr.

 Mashinsky approved them and we've gone through that.

THE COURT: Look, I'm not sure that -- you know, what is it that you think gives Mr. Mashinsky without, you know, a board resolution to just do what he wants, say go ahead, take the \$10 million? And I'm using that number as a hypothetical. I'm just -- you know?

MR. FREEDMAN: I mean, Judge, I don't --

THE COURT: I don't think he would have the authority to do that either.

MR. FREEDMAN: I'd have --

THE COURT: Without a piece of paper that

documents that it was done, without a board resolution that

says go ahead and do it, that -- you know, without a scrap

of paper -- I understand (indiscernible) early stages. The

fact of the matter is Mr. Stone took a back-up of his e-mail

files. He hasn't produced an e-mail. He hasn't produced a

WhatsApp from his phone or a text message from his phone.

There's no document that supports his having the authority

to -- having been given the authority.

Even -- you know, if there was a text message from Mr. Mashinsky who said go ahead and do it, I'm not -- at least he would have an argument perhaps that, well, I acted in good faith on the statement from the CEO of the parent company.

He doesn't -- he doesn't have that, okay? I don't -- I don't know what the bylaws of Celsius, whether they -- you know, what was the extent of the authority, and the CEO just said go ahead and take it, go ahead and take the million dollars.

MR. FREEDMAN: I mean, Judge, neither do I, and neither did Mr. Stone. And I don't think that's his obligation. If the CEO of a company tells you you are permitted to take this, you can rely on that in good faith.

I mean, that -- I think that's non-controversial.

THE COURT: You think so? You really think --

MR. FREEDMAN: I think so. If you -- if you have a contract with a company, a company that's managing assets over \$20 billion, to which you've deployed \$1.4 billion worth of assets to under the authority of Mr. Mashinsky, and you say to him, hey, I've made all this profit. Can I take, you know, X amount of dollars, and he says yes, I mean, that's a -- look, \$10 million is a lot of money, Judge, but for Celsius at the time it was nothing. It was nothing, and probably the board wouldn't have wanted to be even bothered

Page 100 with it. I don't know. We'd have to check that the documents, but I don't think it'd be fair to fault Mr. Stone for relying on the CEO's authorization. Also Judge, you know, there's one more thing. This is a preliminary injunction. We're not here on the merits, right? Like every conversion claim -- every conversion claim doesn't get accompanied with a preliminary injunction. Like, maybe they have a good claim. THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, my concern is I was -just put it this way. I was distressed to hear that \$100,000 or so was transferred out yesterday or the day before. MR. FREEDMAN: Well, let --THE COURT: Okay? One thing --MR. FREEDMAN: -- I've been waiting to address that. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm going to say it now, okay? And Mr. Hurley -- you and Mr. Hurley can work on the terms of the written order, but I'm so ordering the transcript that Stone and KeyFi are not authorized absent further court approval to make any further transfers, okay? I don't want to find out, but oh, Judge, you know,

there was nothing in place while you were considering it.

We're doing some further briefing. I don't want to find out

that he's transferred beyond the reach of the Court because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it can't be traced, however much money, okay?

I believe -- I'm not deciding the -- call it a

TRO, okay? I believe that at this stage pending a decision
on the preliminary injunction that the plaintiffs have shown
a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the merits and are
entitled to a temporary restraining order restraining the
defendants from transferring any other assets away.

I don't want to find out, you know, your -- I'm going to ask you -- both of you when you're going to submit your additional briefs, fine, and I'll allow you (indiscernible) agree on that. Okay? These are important issues, but I don't want to find out that, you know, more money was siphoned away while you were all doing your briefing.

So, I'm making it crystal clear now what I want you and Mr. Hurley to do is agree on the terms of the written order. It's a temporary restraining order. It will remain in place until the Court has decided the preliminary injunction motion, and it's to prevent exactly what I just said. I don't want -- maybe you're ultimately going to -- you'll prevail in the preliminary injunction, but I don't want to find out that while I'm waiting for these additional briefs, Mr. Stone has, you know, transferred additional funds away. It's just -- I don't want that to happen.

MR. FREEDMAN: So, understood, Judge. A couple of

- things. To the extent it's required, I don't have the law on my fingertips. Obviously, the order is the order and we will abide by it, but we object to it. But I understand your order, and we will abide by it.
- THE COURT: Well, fine, you can object to it. You

 can -- if you think you can appeal, you can do what you

 want. But I don't --
 - MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, I don't --
 - THE COURT: -- want to see more money bleeding away while you get to submit additional briefs, okay?

 That's what I'm making crystal clear.
 - MR. FREEDMAN: I understand, Judge. I don't know what our rights are. I just don't ever want to be accused of waiving it by not saying something, and that's why I'm just preserving.
 - Judge, I do want to say that it was -- it is very clear Mr. Hurley admitted there was no such restriction, there was no order violated, and to be very clear, and I just want to say, Judge, to be very clear, my law firm has custody of that -- of those tokens, the stable tokens, and we have not touched them pending a decision on this motion, and if the Court orders then returned, they will be returned immediately.
- 24 THE COURT: I'm not --
- 25 MR. FREEDMAN: So, I don't want -- that shouldn't

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 103 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Hurley very upfront that there was no restriction in place. I understand that, but I don't 3 want to find out --4 5 MR. FREEDMAN: Understood. 6 THE COURT: -- while I'm deciding this, a 7 substantial amount of value funds have been bled away and 8 can't be recovered. I just -- that's not going to happen. 9 MR. FREEDMAN: Understood, Judge. So, we will 10 work with Mr. Hurley to get that in place. 11 Judge, with that, I would like to turn to the 12 balance of the hardships because they need to -- they need 13 to show the balance of the hardships. 14 So, look, I think we've covered that we don't 15 believe they've fulfilled their burden, although I would not 16 contest that they have raised sufficiently serious questions 17 going to the merits to make them a fair ground for 18 litigation. 19 I think we concede they've made that showing, 20 Judge, but that's not enough. To get the injunction, they also have to show that the balance of hardships falls 21 22 decidedly in their favor, not in their favor, decidedly in 23 the favor. 24 Judge, they cannot do that. Celsius has no 25 argument that absent an injunction it's going to suffer any

significant hardship. It can afford to pursue its claims.

The estate is sitting on billions of dollars in assets. If

it prevails, it --

THE COURT: How about to the unsecured creditors?

MR. FREEDMAN: Okay, Judge, I know that Celsius
has already paid Mr. Hurley's firm over \$1.5 million worth
of legal fees, so clearly they're not having an issue
affording this case. So -- and if it does prevail, it can
enforce the judgment against defendants like all plaintiffs
can enforce it against defendants.

So, defendants have to show that there's a real reason to suspect that there will be some kind of dissipation of assets or that the assets will be dissipated beyond the expenditure of attorney fees. We'll discuss with the Court. And quite frankly, Judge, that claim is absurd.

First of all, to be clear, the addresses and assets that Celsius is desperately seeking to enjoin are a few million dollars in assets with certain tokens and NFTs. To preserve a few million dollars' worth of assets, Celsius has expended likely more than those assets are even worth, because there's -- \$1.5 million has been spent on this litigation before the preliminary injunction has been filed by Mr. Hurley's firm, the expedited discovery was undertaken, this hearing was prepared for and attended.

You've got to imagine if they're at 1.5 then, they're way

over that now.

Celsius could have preserved these assets by simply agreeing to some kind of limited injunction. The assets haven't moved from that account for 10 months with the exception of this \$100,000 in attorney's fees. Mr. -- the defendant has cooperated with an accounting and prepared an audit and a spreadsheet and sat for deposition. This is insanity, right? Like, in terms of a -- the benefit of the hardships -- the balance of the hardships here, Celsius is sitting on an insane amount of money and expending an absurd amount of money more than the assets are worth to enjoin them.

It's -- it doesn't -- we haven't even gotten to the merits. So, in terms of the balance of hardships, this is -- this is the 2,000 pound gorilla. This is the true David and Goliath, right? I mean, there's no -- there's no comparison, and defendants will face substantial harm if this injunction is entered. The Court's TRO is already going to create substantial harm because these are the sole assets of the defendant KeyFi.

And in its opening -- in his opening -- and sorry, and an injunction will prevent KeyFi from defending itself while Celsius continues to exert the full force of a veritable army of lawyers. That's not fair, right?

The defendant will not be able to defend himself

- and the plaintiffs have a nearly unlimited source of resources to burn an insane amount disproportionate to what's at stake in the case.
- Now, Judge, in opening Mr. Hurley stated that he's aware of no case where a defendant accused of wrongfully taking assets can use those assets to defend himself. We found them.
 - Adelphia Communications Corp. v. Rigas. The Court noted in passing that the TRO previously entered contained an exclusion for legal fees, 2003 W.L. 21297258.
- THE COURT: Yeah, but that was by agreement.
- MR. FREEDMAN: I'd have to look it up, Judge. In
 Trepel v. Dippold, the Court directed the parties to craft
 an order that would restrain assets other than those
 necessary for legal fees, 2006 W.L. 3054336.
 - You know, we did this research quickly, but we're happy to do more for post --
 - THE COURT: (Indiscernible) context often. Yes,

 Mr. Hurley is correct. In some of the cases, Courts have

 ruled no, no money for the defense, and in other cases there

 have been agreements worked out, carve-outs, dollar limits,

 et cetera. I am familiar with that.
 - MR. FREEDMAN: Okay. So, Judge, the other thing is that in addition to the fact that enjoining these assets will prevent the defendant from defending himself, and it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pg 107 of 193 Page 107 1 truly disproportionate what would then happen, there's also 2 the fact that these assets haven't moved for 10 months 3 within this wallet and they are profitably deployed, meaning 4 Mr. Stone is managing these funds within this wallet, 5 earning profit every single day on that wallet. 6 That income on those assets will stop if this 7 Court enjoins all those assets and that's not fair to the 8 defendants either. 9 THE COURT: Which wallet is it in? 10 MR. FREEDMAN: And to be --11 THE COURT: What's the --12 MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, one second. I don't 13 remember. It's the wallet ending in OX-50, Judge --14 beginning in OX-50 rather. 15 So, Judge, given that Celsius faces almost no 16 hardship from the lack of an injunction than any other 17 litigant would face, the fact that they can't really show 18 irreparable harm -- I'm going to get to that in a minute, 19 which is because they can't show an intent to dissipate the 20 assets, and that the defendant and the rest at issue would 21 both be harmed by the injunction, the factor of the balance

And Judge, that brings me to the last prong, which is irreparable harm. The undisputed testimony is that these

of hardships tips decidedly in the defendant's favor,

decidedly.

22

23

24

assets have been primarily segregated by defendants into the OX-50 wallet. It's in my notes, Judge. I should have seen it, and related addresses to OX-50. There might be a few. We've identified them to Mr. Hurley where they continue to be put at work within the cryptocurrency sphere generating income. Indeed --THE COURT: Are you -- let me ask this. Are you able to give me the approximate current value, you know, within the last week or something like that of the assets that were in the wallet? MR. FREEDMAN: Can I have one moment, Judge? THE COURT: Please. Go ahead. MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, I'm told that it's about \$3.5 million, and then there's one particular NFT that is very difficult to determine its value but may be very valuable. It's unclear what that value is. It could be millions. It could be hundreds of thousands, and so I don't want to try to put a value on it. THE COURT: And those are the only places where the -- where assets are found now is the OX-50 wallet and this one FT? MR. FREEDMAN: One second, Judge. Judge, obviously we've talked about the fact that my law firm has custody over that \$100,000 and my firm also has custody over that NFT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, when I say the OX-50 wallet, I think we had discussions about like, you know, parent wallets or I forgot exactly what they're called and related wallets, so there are related wallets to the OX-50 wallet but they've been identified to Mr. Hurley, and I think the total -- it's about \$3-and-a-half million in those assets plus the NFT.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, the plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate any evidence of a dissipation of assets from those addresses over the last 10 months, and the only testimony for Mr. Stone was that the first time he sent assets out of that account was recently to pay for counsel fees.

I think we've addressed that, Judge. We're holding it. We'll return it if the Court orders it, although again, it will put the defendants at a major disadvantage.

Now, Judge, defendant -- I want to -- I want to keep going on this irreparable harm point. The defendants voluntarily provided a substantial accounting of these assets and their originating transactions, all while preparing for this hearing. It would make no sense for the defendants to engage in all of this accounting work and to

do so much of the plaintiff's job for them if defendants intended to abscond with these assets, all of which can be seen on the blockchain.

And while there's talk about Tornado Cash, Judge, obviously that has not been touched since OFAC sanctioned it, but even before then you have to understand that, you know, it's very easy to say oh, Tornado Cash, it's nefarious because a lot of bad people use it, but there's a perfectly legitimate purpose to using Tornado Cash.

When you're in a highly visible wallet like the OXB-1 wallet where everybody was watching, they track all transfers out of there, and as the Court knows, the blockchain is fully public. So, if you're using cryptocurrency the way Mr. Stone does because he lives in this world, that's kind of like your bank account in some ways, and if they can trace those funds, they're going to know what you're doing with them.

The only way to get privacy back is to go through something like Tornado Cash. So, you know, Mr. Hurley screams up one side and down the other Tornado Cash, Tornado Cash, Tornado Cash, OFAC sanction, well, that doesn't mean it was used for an improper purpose.

You know, there's a lot of things that can be used for good and bad, and so the fact that Tornado Cash was utilized isn't really a problem, but to be clear, it hasn't

been used in, I don't know, more than 10 months because nothing has been dissipated.

So, Judge, moreover, the defendants have indicated that they -- the sole use they plan to use these assets for during the next few months is to defend themselves, and plaintiffs who have spent millions of dollars and likely more than those assets are worth pursuing these claims can't be heard to complain that the defendants also want to spend some assets that the estate might have a claim to, to defend themselves when it's in their property.

And finally, Judge, I want to address something.

You said yesterday you rejected the idea that the injunction was brought too late. I understand as a legal matter, no problem, that is not going to be the basis to deny it. But it is telling, right? It's telling as to Celsius' true belief are these assets really at issue of fleeing the jurisdiction, because they waited a year and a half to bring this injunction, and also Judge, if that's the case, you know, if they believe that this actor is so bad, then an injunction is not going to matter anyways, right?

Like, obviously this is an actor who's going to respect the authority of the Court, has respected the authority of the Court, has been up in that stand answering questions truthfully about his conduct, has not dissipated assets for 10 months, has cooperated in tracing all the

assets so that a Court can adjudicate who gets to keep them.

This is not someone who's going to dissipate assets.

And they need to show irreparable harm, right?

This is a preliminary injunction. They don't get to throw a lot of, you know, stuff at the wall, say Mr. Stone's a bad person, and then enjoin his assets. That's not how preliminary injunctions work in this country. This is not the United Kingdom. We don't believe in Mareva injunctions. We don't allow pre-judgment attachments, and you have to make a very significant showing to freeze assets, and they haven't done it. They can't show an irreparable harm.

And Judge, I want to close on one thing. The fact is we -- the defendants would not have a problem consenting to an order that while the defendant can manage the funds within the OX-50-related wallets to deploy them profitably and continue earning yield, they -- and use those funds to pay for defense counsel, and we can talk to the Court and defense counsel about, you know, how much those fees are.

Obviously the more that -- the more that the plaintiffs push, the more defendants have to defend, but we would not have a problem -- defendants don't have a problem consenting to an order that while the defendants are allowed to manage the funds within the OX-50 wallet and pay for counsel fees with it, they won't otherwise transfer the assets out of the OX-50-related wallet, so they will keep them in that

universe until the Court resolves this dispute. We just want to be able to continue earning -- putting those funds to good use while it's happening and to pay counsel fees from them.

And otherwise, look, I think the Court was able to observe Mr. Stone yesterday at one point, you know, told Mr. Hurley no, he's answering your questions. He is here, he is present, he is cooperating with this process. He's being transparent and open about it. He's not fleeing this jurisdiction. He's not utilizing Tornado Cash. He's not dissipating assets and he's happy to consent to that sort of an injunction, which says keep all the assets here. You can manage them so you can move them into different protocols and try to earn yield on them, but you can't take them out of your wallet, out of your control and authority of your wallet, except to pay for counsel.

And so, Judge, on that I would conclude that they can't show likelihood of success on the merits because Mr.

Mashinsky approved it, or alternate -- at least they can't show a sufficient -- sorry, they can't show a likelihood of success on the merits because there's a real disputed issue over whether Mr. Mashinsky approved it.

They can't show likelihood of success on the merits because, as I just -- we will brief this, Judge. The contracts vested Mr. Stone with the obligation to make the

payments that he actually made.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And Judge, when you look at the fact that yes, they did raise sufficiently serious questions, the balance of hardships tips decidedly in our favor. Quite frankly, this frolic is insanity. I mean, the assets of the estate are being squandered to go after a tiny amount of money. It's absurd, and frankly, Judge, irreparable harm can't be shown because there's been no indication to abscond with the assets. There's been no indication to dissipate the assets. And in fact, the defendants were sitting here offering to enter into an injunction voluntarily that keeps the assets within those wallets and allows them to pay for attorneys' fees and otherwise deploy capital.

So, with that, Judge, we'd ask that that you enter that form of order that we can work with Mr. Hurley on and otherwise deny the preliminary injunction order.

THE COURT: Mr. Freedman, I've got so many documents sitting in front of me. Where is that audit provision? Which agreement is the audit provision in?

MR. FREEDMAN: The audit provision is --

THE COURT: The APA or the (indiscernible)

agreement?

MR. FREEDMAN: It's in the APA, Judge.

THE COURT: What section?

MR. FREEDMAN: And it is -- it's PX 40, Judge, at

Page 115 1 Page 16. 2 THE COURT: Yeah, I have PX 40 open. 3 paragraph is that in? MR. FREEDMAN: It's Page 16. I've got the 4 5 Romanette I, but is it -- do you have it in front of you, 6 Mr. Stone? It's like -- if I remember, Judge, it's like the 7 bottom of the page. 8 THE COURT: I got it. It is Page 16. It's 9 Romanette 5, 7.4, Romanette 5. 10 MR. FREEDMAN: Sorry, Judge. 11 THE COURT: 7.4B, Romanette 5 on Page 16. Just 12 bear -- I just want to look at it again. 13 It's actually -- it's 7.4B. It covers more than 14 just Romanette 5, 7 point -- Pages 15 and 16. 15 MR. FREEDMAN: Yeah, I think the specific portion 16 we point to, Judge, is the one that says within the later to 17 occur of 14 calendar days --THE COURT: Yeah, that's Romanette I, 7.4 18 19 (indiscernible). 20 MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, I don't have the agreement 21 in front of me. I'm being told it's obviously much more 22 detailed than that and it goes -- it goes on, and you have 23 it in front of you. 24 THE COURT: Yeah, it goes on. There's five 25 Romanettes under 7.4B.

Page 116 1 All right, thank you, Mr. Freedman. 2 MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Hurley? MR. HURLEY: Again for the record, Your Honor, 4 5 Mitch Hurley with Akin Gump Strauss Hauer and Feld on behalf of the plaintiffs. I'm not going to spend a lot of time 7 here, Your Honor. I know there's going to be some 8 additional briefing. I just want to make sure I go through 9 and correct some of the comments that you heard just a 10 moment ago. 11 So, I'm going to start with -- and I'm sure this 12 is clear to Your Honor, but just because Mr. Freedman 13 misstated what I said, I want to make it crystal clear 14 again. He said Mr. Hurley claims the authorization ended on 15 March 9th. The plaintiff's argument is there never was any 16 authorization. I want to make that crystal clear for the 17 record. 18 He pointed Your Honor to a letter that I sent to 19 former counsel for Mr. Stone, and in fact early in the case 20 Mr. Stone had identified -- he had claimed that he had 21 terminated his employment in March. We asked for any 22 evidence of that, and at first they didn't provide us with 23 that March 9th e-mail. They later did. 24 In Mr. Stone's affidavit in this case, Your Honor, 25 he identifies March as the time when he indicated he was

Page 117 1 going to resign. He didn't say anything about a twilight 2 period in the affidavit. 3 Throughout this case, his position has always been 4 that he resigned on March 9th. He specifically argued he 5 wasn't required to follow board resolutions because he 6 resigned on March 9th, but you don't have to take my word 7 for it, Your Honor. I want to direct you to the PTO, pre-8 trial order. Can you pull that up, please? 9 MAN 2: Sure. Deanna, could you put Frank as a 10 co-host, please? 11 MR. HURLEY: And this is from the stipulated facts 12 that the parties agreed to in advance of this case, Your 13 Honor. 14 CLERK: Sorry, what's the name of the person that 15 needs co-host? 16 MAN 2: Frank (indiscernible). 17 MR. HURLEY: Just give me the PTO. I'll just read 18 it. Put it on her computer. This is from Paragraph 34 of 19 the stipulated facts. 20 CLERK: All right. He's co-host. 21 THE COURT: He's now the co-host so he can put it 22 up there if he wants, but go ahead, Mr. Hurley. 23 MR. HURLEY: Stipulated facts, Your Honor, 24 Paragraph 34. By e-mail dated March 9, 2021, Stone notified 25 Celsius that he was planning to start his own investment

Page 118 1 management company, which he said he had named OX 2 Management. Stone contends that the March 9th e-mail constituted notice to Celsius of his resignation of CEO of 3 4 Celsius KeyFi. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. HURLEY: You'll recall I showed Mr. Stone that 7 letter and he acknowledged it as his -- the letter that he 8 was referring to in his affidavit and he --9 THE COURT: Take down the share screen so I can 10 see Mr. Hurley. 11 MR. HURLEY: And I believe the transfer was going 12 to -- is going to show that he continually acknowledged it 13 and recognized March 9th as his resignation date, just as he 14 contends -- it says he contends in the agreed, stipulated 15 facts in this case. Okay. 16 THE COURT: Well, let me just -- I'm going to stop 17 you there while you're looking for your next point. I want 18 -- and I know you say all of the transfers were unauthorized 19 but I want in a supplement filing a breakdown of the pre-20 March 9, post March 9 transfers, okay? 21 MR. HURLEY: We'll provide details. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. MR. HURLEY: I mean, I should say we'll provide 23 the details we can based on the information that's been 24 25 provided to us by the defendants. Okay. There was a

question about the OXB-1 wallet, Your Honor, and Mr.

Freedman said that's a Celsius KeyFi wallet.

Your Honor, Celsius KeyFi was formed pursuant to a document that was signed by Mr. Stone himself on January 11, 2021. Mr. Stone testified that Celsius gave him access to the OXB-1 wallet I think he said the day after he started, which would have been August 18, 2020. The OXB-1 wallet, sir, was created by Celsius. Celsius had the pass keys. It was a Celsius wallet and we know that because Celsius KeyFi didn't even exist when that wallet was created.

THE COURT: (Indiscernible) to me is an important thing. I'm going back -- I'm looking at my notes from Mr. Freedman's presentation. (Indiscernible). What is the evidence that shows the OXB-1 wallet was a Celsius wallet and not a Celsius KeyFi wallet?

MR. HURLEY: Piece of evidence number one, Your

Honor, is that it was created and it's undisputed it was

created before Celsius KeyFi existed. It was created by the

Celsius -- by Celsius Network.

In Mr. Stone's sworn affidavit, he has an initial cap defined terms Celsius Wallets, and I asked him what he meant by that, and in his deposition he testified, and I believe we did this actually at the trial yesterday as well, that he was referring to wallets created by Celsius using the OXB-1 seed and a 43D seed. So, wallets created by

Celsius. He himself defines the OXB-1 wallet as a Celsius wallet.

THE COURT: Put that in a -- in a post-hearing -MR. HURLEY: Absolutely, Your Honor. Okay. And
that brings me to this claim that's being made about the
airdrop in the OXB-1 wallet in September of 2021. You were
correct in your recollection of the testimony yesterday,
Your Honor. In fact, Mr. Stone testified at his deposition
and again we refreshed him yesterday very clearly that it
was the OXB-1 wallet that suffered harm as a result of the
hack that occurred in November of 2020.

He then claims that he did some work to try to get compensation for that hack, which he says was deposited in the OXB-1 wallet, and he then went in and took the compensation that was being provided, and this was very clear in his deposition, we'll definitely cite this in our post-trial briefing, Your Honor, he was very clear in his deposition that that was meant to compensate OXB-1 for the harm that it suffered and that he went in and took it.

I just want to very quickly -- it's a small point but in terms of turning over the e-mails to Mr. Stone, what we said to them was even if we had all the time in the world, you don't just turn over an entire e-mail file. We'd have to do a review for privilege, other things, and you know, so -- and we said we'll do that in plenary discovery.

Page 121 We just can't do it in a week, because they didn't ask us for that until after our expedited discovery process was far underway. You heard some comments about -- well, you know what? I'm going to skip that. THE COURT: I do want you to --MR. HURLEY: I want to come right to the APA. THE COURT: Hold on. I want you to address the point that Mr. Freedman made that general ledger DX 34, Line 67, which showed the 10 percent accrual for KeyFi revenue share. Could you address that? MR. HURLEY: I can, and the main way I'm going to address it, Your Honor, is that no foundation was ever laid for that document. No witness that was on the stand today -THE COURT: It's in evidence. It's in evidence. I overruled your objection. MR. HURLEY: It is in evidence. It's in evidence. I'm not arguing it's not, but no witness that was on the stand was able to even identify it as the general ledger. And I told you honest -- you asked me if it is. I don't know whether it's the general ledger, and the defendants had that document through the expedited discovery since December 15th or whatever, and they had time to say hey, we need a custodian of records because this is a really important

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 122 1 document and we need to find out what it is so we can have a 2 competent witness tell the judge what it is. But they 3 didn't do that. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 5 MR. HURLEY: Instead, they put it in front of two 6 witnesses that said I don't know what this document is. 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let me talk about the APA for 9 a minute, because you heard a lot from Mr. Freedman about the APA and I want to make sure that we're understanding 10 11 what that document actually says and doesn't say. 12 So, first he pointed you to Section 3.1 of the 13 APA, and I want to look at that again with Your Honor, and 14 he pointed you specifically --15 THE COURT: Right, I have it open in front of me. 16 Go ahead. 17 MR. HURLEY: Sure. 18 THE COURT: The paragraph on purchase price and 19 payment. 20 MR. HURLEY: Correct, 3.1C. 21 THE COURT: Right. 22 MR. HURLEY: And he refers to the earn-out 23 There's a few things you should be aware of about 24 this provision, Your Honor. First of all, earn-out payment 25 is initial caps, looks like it's a defined term. Do you see

Pg 123 of 193 Page 123 1 that? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. HURLEY: But that term is not actually defined anywhere in the document, and it's not defined anywhere in 4 the services agreement. There is a reference to the service 5 6 agreement with respect to how a profit share would be 7 calculated. Of course, we acknowledge that. But as I said 8 before, Your Honor, just because a document contemplates 9 that there could be a profit payment doesn't mean there will 10 be. You actually have to demonstrate that profits were 11 earned. 12 And if you can demonstrate that profits were 13 earned then you have a calculation, and that never happened. 14 I mean, that's undisputed. There was never a P&L that was 15 created, and --16 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Do you agree 17 that Mr. Stone had the right under the APA to demand an 18 accounting? 19 MR. HURLEY: The APA provides that KeyFi can

demand an accounting, but I want to make really clear exactly what it provides, and if I could just start with a couple other provisions? I think we'll lead straight to

So, first, you heard Mr. Freedman saying that Jason Stone had the right as the CEO of Celsius KeyFi to

that point, Your Honor.

20

21

22

23

24

Pg 124 of 193 Page 124 just start paying himself money if he wanted to. Your Honor's right. There's absolutely zero support for that anywhere in the law, but it's also contrary to what the contract actually says. So, if you'd look at the services agreement -- I got it. If you look at the services agreement, and that is plaintiff's Exhibit 41. THE COURT: I have it open in front of me. It's 41. (Indiscernible). MR. HURLEY: So, I want to direct your attention to Paragraphs 3 and 4, which are actually about services and compensation for services. THE COURT: Yes, I'm there. MR. HURLEY: So -- and again, it's really important to remember that here, KeyFi means Celsius KeyFi and Celsius is Celsius Network. Okay. So, in Paragraph 3, this provides very clearly with respect to such services, all coins deployed by KeyFi, Celsius KeyFi, and revenues generated and/or received from the third parties shall be owned by and paid to Celsius or an affiliate of Celsius as determined by Celsius excluding KeyFi. Okay. And then under compensation, it provides as

a sole and exclusive compensation for the services, Celsius

not the company his client was the CEO of but Celsius

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Network, shall pay Celsius KeyFi the consideration set forth in Schedule B. Celsius KeyFi didn't even have the right to pay the compensation, much less the CEO acting on his own.

 Okay. Concerning the audit provisions in the APA, and this I think is important.
 - THE COURT: That's an important point. I want you to make sure that you include it in the supplemental filing, okay?
- MR. HURLEY: I will, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: It doesn't have to be lengthy, but I -
 - MR. HURLEY: So, let's look at 7.4B, the audit provision again, and just remembering, Your Honor, as I know you do, that the service agreement required Mr. Stone as CEO of Celsius KeyFi to do the P&L and I argued, yeah, that makes sense because who else is going to do the P&L? He's the one who's doing the investing.

If you look at 7.4 -- it's B and then there's a second Romanette I, right, and it provides this audit obligation, and it says that if seller is dissatisfied with the payment or if non-payment occurs, seller may invoke the audit.

And you'll see that the party that's supposed to respond to the audit is buyer. Buyer is Celsius KeyFi.

That's the company that Mr. Stone was the CEO of. And

again, it makes sense under the circumstances that if there was going to be an audit, it would be carried out by the parties that actually were doing the investing, which was Mr. Stone as the CEO of Celsius KeyFi.

So, for him to come to us after he's walked away, and we're saying, hey, we don't have enough information to know what you did, you never delivered the wormhole that we needed as you admit to build the P&L. We need information from you to understand what you did, and his response to us is we want an audit from you. It doesn't make any sense, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't -- the obligation would survive his resignment as chair -- CEO of Celsius KeyFi.

MR. HURLEY: Well, Celsius KeyFi effectively was

Jason Stone and the five or six people that he split that

money up with in September. So, as a practical matter for

him to tell us that he wants an audit done of the activities

of the company he ran with respect to a P&L that he never

created with respect to activities, by the way, that he

undertook while he was there, it's -- I don't -- how are we

supposed to do that?

And Your Honor, I would also add that the audit request --

THE COURT: Look, I'm sorry, Mr. Hurley. Mr.

Hurley, if the contract included a profit share, you can't tell me that that right went away because he resigned.

MR. HURLEY: I'm not saying that. I'm absolutely to saying that.

THE COURT: He was still owed it by Celsius, and if he wanted to demand it -- an accounting, there should have been an accounting. Why didn't -- why wasn't that contract provision breached when he asked for an accounting and none was done?

MR. HURLEY: We're absolutely not suggesting that if there were profits, they would be shared. We are suggesting there absolutely were not profit from the massive losses. And to answer your question, they asked --

THE COURT: Well, but nobody -- you -- Mr. Stone's lawyers put on evidence yesterday without ever quantifying what the profits were that Mashinsky believed and others at the company believed there were profits, okay? And you take the position that there never were profits. I don't know whether there were or there weren't. If there were and the contract said there was a right to an accounting and it's not been provided, why hasn't there been a breach? Why shouldn't there be -- I mean, I raised this question at a -- you know, one of the conferences we had. Have you tried to agree on an accountant to do an accounting, figure out whether --

Page 128 1 MR. HURLEY: So --2 THE COURT: You say it's hard. Figure out whether 3 there were profits or not. 4 MR. HURLEY: Let me unpack that one thing at a 5 time. 6 THE COURT: I feel strongly he had no right to 7 self-help, but that's a separate issue. 8 MR. HURLEY: Right. Yeah. Let me unpack that one 9 thing at a time. First of all, to be fair, what the 10 testimony was is that Mashinsky believed at a period of time 11 that there were profits, and I think it came out very 12 clearly that all of that was based on exactly what you're 13 asking to be -- to believe now, which is Mr. Stone claiming 14 there were profits. No proof was ever provided, P&L never 15 produced. Okay. 16 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Nolan thought there were 17 profits, too. Never quantified it. 18 MR. HURLEY: He said -- Mr. Nolan was crystal 19 clear that his only basis for ever believing that was Mr. 20 Stone, and he was crystal clear he never got any evidence 21 for Mr. Stone that it was true, so. 22 THE COURT: So, you're saying Mashinsky acted improperly in transferring hundreds of millions of dollars, 23 24 maybe a billion dollars, in assets to Celsius KeyFi to 25 deploy without knowing whether they were making any money or

not?

MR. HURLEY: Look, I'm sure I think in hindsight no one would deny that it turned out not to be a good idea to give Mr. Stone the assets that he was given. That's certainly true. About --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know whether that's true or not. Until somebody has actually shown me were there profits, were there not profits. I don't know.

MR. HURLEY: Sure. Yeah. But about your specific audit question and why it's not a breach, I mean, the defendants took the position in their papers, and I will definitely point this out to you in our briefing, Your Honor, that they made their first request for an audit in September of 2021.

The audit was supposed to be requested I think within 14 days of the date that they claim the profit was due. They say the profit was due on December 20, 2021. So, I mean, technically I don't actually think it's a breach of contract, but I will tell you when they said we want to do an audit, our response effectively was we need you to do the audit. You're the ones that were doing the investing.

Let's try and share some information. It never -- it was not a successful approach, because they kept just saying the same thing back to us.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. HURLEY: But again, I do think and I want to come back to this, because I think it's really important. I know Your Honor knows it, so if I'm trying your patience, I apologize, but at the end of the day whether or not they were -- whether or not they made a profit, and we think we're going to be able to prove there was absolutely a massive loss, but set that aside, they can't just go in and take the money, and that's what they did.

And so, what we're saying is freeze it until Your Honor has time to consider all these arguments that you've heard. If they have merit, okay, all that's happened is for a period of time they weren't able to keep spending the money on who knows what? Mr. Stone does not know what he spent the money on for, you know, many of these transactions. So, that's really all that we're asking for, Your Honor, and we think it is critical that that relief be provided.

Okay. I think there were -- there was really one other primary -- oh, I wanted to come back because you had asked a specific question about transfers under \$50,000 and my team took a look at that.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HURLEY: And so, my understanding is that the under \$50,000 individual transactions for the period January 11, '21 to March 9th, which is the period that the asset

purchase agreement was in effect, sums on a dollar value basis, and again you have to remember dollar value is not necessarily the relevant value, but on a dollar value basis sums to \$390,000 total if you use the exchange rates that were in effect as of the time of the actual transfers.

And oh, I also wanted to point one other thing out, is that even those \$50,000 transfers, those are actually -- it's clearer in the APA -- sorry, in the service agreement itself that those are only paid by -- they are deductions from profit sharing, so they actually get paid by KeyFi ultimately if there's profit. If there's no profit, then they're really not entitled to it at all.

Okay, and then finally on the TRO, we appreciate that Your Honor brought this forward yourself. We certainly were going to raise it. If there's going to be briefing, we're going to make sure that the assets all stay in place during the time of the briefing, and I'm sure we'll be able to agree on some kind of reasonable briefing schedule.

But I just want to make crystal clear that I'm understanding. The TRO was going to apply to transfers -- we'll address the property in a second but transfers for all purposes, right? Because it seemed like I heard Mr.

Freedman sort of suggesting maybe they wanted to carve out to pay their fees during the meantime. But --

THE COURT: No, it applies to all transfers.

MR. HURLEY: Applies to everything, okay.

THE COURT: And this is not going to be -- let me make clear, this is only for the period it's going to take me to get -- for me to decide, so both sides are going to submit additional briefs. I don't -- it doesn't resolve the issue, but I don't believe that the defendant's lawyers should have to work without any expectation of being paid.

I'm very sensitive to -- and --

MR. HURLEY: Okay.

THE COURT: And I know -- I was getting some feedback. I'm not sure where it's coming from. I know even in the criminal context, you know, I've seen some of the orders that -- years ago, I had seen some of the orders that had been crafted in criminal cases where there was a budget allowed for defense counsel, et cetera. So, I --

MR. HURLEY: Well, I think in criminal cases it's more likely to be allowed. In civil cases it's less likely.

THE COURT: I understand (indiscernible). Yeah. Okay.

MR. HURLEY: Right. And I would just point one other thing out, Your Honor. I mean, there was evidence Mr. Stone says he made 500,000 to a million-and-a-half dollars on trading activities. There's no evidence that Mr. Stone doesn't have money to pay his fees. He hasn't put any evidence forward that he can't personally afford to do that.

Pg 133 of 193 Page 133 1 The only claim was made with respect to KeyFi, Inc., which I 2 quess is sort of a shell company, but it's his company. 3 there's no evidence that he can't pay for it or that Celsius' assets which we claim, and if we win we'll prove 4 5 were stolen, that he gets to use them to pay his fees, so. 6 But we'll So save that for the briefing, I suppose. 7 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. 8 MR. HURLEY: Mm-hmm. 9 THE COURT: It's -- one of the -- one of the 10 questions that's mulling around in my mind is what coins did 11 Celsius give to Stone and what coins did Stone return 12 Celsius? 13 I think I've seen somewhere that Celsius cited 14 that they've given them about \$2 billion and that 1.3 15 billion were returned. I think that comes from the 16 defendant's brief, which suggested there was no profit. I 17 gave them 2 billion and I got 1.3 billion back. There's 18 \$700 million dollars that evaporated. 19 And I don't remember testimony during the hearing 20 that anybody put in that's dealt with that. MR. HURLEY: So, we can -- we can work on 21 22 providing you our best understanding of coins out and coins 23 I do think that characterizing it as dollars is actually not the right way to characterize it, because in 24

our view, as I said, even if they returned dollar -- coins

Page 134 1 worth less, they still could have earned a profit provided 2 that their investment activities were generating more coins. THE COURT: So, look, you --3 MR. HURLEY: Because --4 5 THE COURT: -- you and Mr. Freedman obviously 6 disagreed today about whether Stone would get a profit share 7 based on appreciation and value of cryptocurrency. You say 8 They say yes. 9 MR. HURLEY: That is true, and we'll make our 10 contractual arguments on that point. Your Honor, in terms 11 of the property that's going to be subject to this temporary 12 order, I mean, from our perspective, it has to include at 13 least the property that's identified in the defendant's 14 spreadsheets. And again, it's temporary, but I don't think 15 it should be limited. I think --16 THE COURT: Isn't it property in the OXB-1 walled? 17 MR. HURLEY: I'm sorry, can you ask that again? 18 THE COURT: Is that property in the OXB-1 wallet? 19 MR. HURLEY: No, no, it's -- the OXB-1 wallet is a 20 Celsius wallet. 21 THE COURT: Okay, I'm sorry. The OX-50 wallet, 22 OX-50 wallet. MR. HURLEY: Based on their spreadsheets, there 23 are several defendant wallets that have received transfers. 24 25 There's some question about where they went. What we're

asking is that the injunction cover at least all the property that they identify as being transferred from Celsius wallets temporarily.

Now, there may be some of that property that they say, well, we don't have it anymore. If that's the case, Your Honor, then there is no risk that they will be violating the TRO because they can't transfer it any further.

So, what we're looking for really is entry of the order that we submitted, which is categorical, which at least includes what they've identified. Now, it's possible -- I'm not accusing them this -- of this necessarily, but it's certainly possible that there's property that's within the category that hasn't yet been identified, and we submit that that property should also be subject to the order temporarily until we can get through the briefing and get a final decision from Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Try and -- I'm going to want you to try and settle an order within the next few days that deals with this period. I just -- look, I made it clear I'm not accusing the defendants of doing this or their counsel of doing this. I think Mr. Freedman was very clear in -- you know, he said there's approximately \$3-and-a-half million in the OX-50 wallet and there's one FT which is difficult to value, 100,000.

The -- his firm has custody of the NFT and the \$100,000 that he received in fees. So, I don't know whether -- let me put it colloquially. I don't want to have egg on my face because between today and when there's a decision millions of dollars somehow disappeared. MR. HURLEY: And my concern, Your Honor, with all due respect to the defendants, is about confining the scope of the injunction just to what they say they still have when there's a lot of work that needs to be done to figure out exactly what they took and exactly where it is. And so, that's why I'm suggesting that for the temporary injunction, at least, we ought to have the categorical definition, because only they really know, and it includes at least the material in the spreadsheet that they've identified in the spreadsheet. MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, may I be heard on this issue? THE COURT: You will in a minute after he's finished. MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HURLEY: Oh, actually that raises one other So, Mr. Freedman said that there was no evidence of any transfers in the past 10 months by Mr. Stone, and actually the Stone spreadsheet includes at least one transfer that was made according to the spreadsheet in 2022.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 There was some testimony about it. I think Mr. Stone looked 2 at it, and he wasn't quite sure whether it was a transfer that he had made from the Celsius wallet to himself or if it 3 was some other kind of transfer, but there certainly was a 4 5 transfer on their spreadsheets, not just in the last 10 6 months but I think in the last two or three months. I can't 7 remember if it was September of December, but it was 8 definitely late 2022. 9 Anyway, with that, Your Honor, I will yield the 10 podium unless you have any questions. 11 THE COURT: Let me -- give me a second. Hold on. 12 Stay up there. 13 MR. HURLEY: Sure. Sure. I think I tried to make this clear 14 THE COURT: 15 that I need the coin-by-coin information rather than value 16 because of the fluctuations in value, price fluctuations at 17 the time of various valuations. 18 I really want to know how many -- which coins were 19 given, what dates, et cetera, what did you get back? MR. HURLEY: Yeah, we can do that. Well, there's 20 21 a bit of a staffing shortage at Celsius these days, but we 22 will be able to put -- bring some information forward, Your 23 Honor, and we'll do our best to make it as complete as we 24 can. 25 Okay. I think you -- so, I did have THE COURT:

questions on the DAI -- you know, on the DAI. I think you've addressed that.

MR. HURLEY: And so, just on the -- I'm looking at the ETH transfer spreadsheet for instance, and it actually looks like there are one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight transfers that were made in August of 2022, one made in September of -- and two made in September of 2022, so within that 10-month time period that Mr. Freedman had indicated he didn't think there were any transfers. Yeah, that was ETH transfers. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. I think that's all I have for now.

MR. HURLEY: Did you have other questions, Your Honor?

THE COURT: No, I don't think so.

MR. HURLEY: Okay, thank you very much.

MR. FREEDMAN: Your Honor, we will look into -we'll include the date of the last transfer from the OBX-1
wallet. My understanding is there was nothing in the last
10 months. We just looked at it now. There were some
transfers it appears for like \$100 or something like that or
\$200. I don't know sitting here today who they are. I
think the evidence came in as it came in. We will address
that. I just don't want to make any misrepresentations to
the Court. If I'm wrong, I was wrong, but certainly nothing

1 substantial has left the accounts.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FREEDMAN: That being said, Judge, there's just two points I really want to make, which is Mr. Hurley has spent an incredible amount of time on the likelihood of success on the merits and serious questions prongs of the preliminary injunction standard, and those are important portions but that is not all that is required.

I came up here. I said the --

THE COURT: (Indiscernible) argument about that while there's serious questions, the balance of hardships is critical.

MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, what I would point out is I came up here and told Mr. Hurley and told you in front of Mr. Hurley that he could not show irreparable harm, and he could not show the balance of hardship tips in his favor, both of which are required elements of preliminary injunction, and on rebuttal he came back up and said nothing about it.

So, Judge, while we're here, I would say show irreparable harm. He's still here. Give him an opportunity. Argue irreparable harm. Argue the balance of hardships because I heard nothing on it, and quite frankly I don't think we'll hear anything persuasive on it.

He's a good lawyer. I know he's going to come up

Page 140 1 and say something, but I know --2 THE COURT: If he -- do you agree that if the Court concludes that he has shown a substantial likelihood 3 4 of success on the merits, by your own argument if Stone --5 if the money, if it's the OXB-1 wallet or the OX-50 wallet, 6 if that's all he's got, if it's gone, they can't collect? 7 MR. FREEDMAN: First of all, Judge, we just heard 8 them say that's not all he's got, and they said maybe there 9 are other assets. THE COURT: They said they didn't know what he's 10 11 got. 12 MR. FREEDMAN: Right, so then how are they saying 13 that's all he's got? It's their burden. They got to prove 14 it. But more importantly, Judge, much more importantly, 15 even if this Court were to conclude that they have a 16 likelihood of success on the merits, okay, and I don't think 17 it should, but if it does they still have to show 18 irreparable harm. This is not a common law jurisdiction 19 from the United Kingdom. They need to show irreparable 20 harm. We don't give Mareva injunctions. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. I understand your argument on 22 that. 23 MR. FREEDMAN: And they can't do it, Judge. 24 can't show irreparable harm.

I understand your argument on that

THE COURT:

point.

MR. FREEDMAN: And the other point being, Judge, it is unclear to me why there would be any prejudice to anyone if the order that we offered to voluntarily enter into would be entered where the assets would be frozen within -- not frozen but rather confined within the OX-50 wallet and cannot leave that wallet absent court order, I see no -- then there's zero risk of dissipation of assets. And then Mr. Stone can continue to --

THE COURT: That's the only place where assets are, you know?

MR. FREEDMAN: That's what it appears to be, and that -- but I don't know. Based on the -- based on my review of the evidence, that's the way I understand it, although obviously some was expended. I know Mr. Stone talked about that. I don't think those still exist, but I don't really know.

But my point being, Judge, they haven't shown that there are no other assets. And in fact, even if these are the only assets, they haven't shown irreparable harm. And to the extent the Court is at all concerned, we will voluntarily enter into an order which makes it -- there's a zero percent chance of dissipation of assets and that should end this inquiry without further expenditure to the estate.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. All right. When --

look, file a letter on the docket by 3:00 tomorrow with an agreed schedule for submitting additional submissions from each side, okay?

MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I want -- I want to make clear I'm so ordering the record subject to any written order that gets entered is there better not be -- you know, Mr.

Freedman, it's a disaster for your clients if I find out later that money flowed out while I was deciding this matter.

MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, I think it's crystal clear on that. And my only comment on that, and I'm not my client, Judge, but I will tell you on the record that we will tell him not to move any assets out of those wallets.

My concern is Mr. Hurley is up here asking you for this blanket injunction to stop all transfers of all assets, and Mr. Stone has already talked about the fact that there may have been commingling of funds at some point like 12 months ago. Does that mean Mr. Stone can't buy himself a sandwich for lunch because the TRO will enjoin him from expenditure of any assets?

And so, Judge, I will talk to Mr. Hurley. But my point is you've got 3-and-a-half million or so of assets within that OX-50 wallet. We've told you we have that NFT and that \$100,000. Happy to include that subject to TRO.

Pg 143 of 193 Page 143 1 Happy to enter into that. 2 Any broader than that and how's my client going to 3 live? Like, there's been no showing of other assets. So, we will -- we will work it out with Mr. Hurley, Judge. 4 5 THE COURT: All right, we are adjourned for the 6 Thank you very much, everybody. day. 7 MR. HURLEY: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Can I just --8 I need to respond to that briefly. I apologize, but we're 9 talking about a situation where we have to depend on the 10 person that's accused of this theft identifying the assets 11 in question, and I don't want to sit down after Mr. Freedman 12 just said that and have him say oh, you heard me just say 13 it's only those two wallets that matter. 14 THE COURT: Look. Insist on part of an agreement that you have a declaration under oath from Mr. Stone that 15 16 that's -- those are the only assets, okay? And then if it 17 turns out that that wasn't true, we'll -- somebody will have 18 to deal with that after. We're adjourned. 19 MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, those are the only --20 THE COURT: I think you can work this out. MR. FREEDMAN: Judge, those are the only assets 21 22 that what, that he has to his name or that he believes trace 23 to Celsius? I --

THE COURT: Try and work this out. We're

adjourned.

24

Page 145 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Soneya M. deslarske Hydl 7 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Veritext Legal Solutions 20 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: January 16, 2023

[& - 3] Page 1

&	111:1,25	115:14 145:25	129:17
& 3:6 4:3 6:5	121:10 136:23	16th 51:1	2022 29:6,7,13
6:16	137:5 138:8,20	17 36:25 56:14	29:18 30:3
0	100 12:21 41:8	57:7	36:17 136:25
_	138:21	17th 61:2	137:8 138:6,7
01/11/2023	100,000 48:10	18 119:7	2023 1:22
3:12	49:6 100:11	18th 73:13,17	145:25
01/12/2023	105:5 108:24	1979 51:16	2052637 29:6,9
3:13	135:25 136:2	1993 50:8	21 130:25
1	142:25	1997 50:9	21297258
1 16:19 29:13	10004 1:20	2	106:10
29:21,23 70:25	10016 4:17	2 29:10 59:15	22 73:24
71:1,4,6,7 78:3	10036 4:7	117:9,16	22-01139 1:4
110:11 119:1,6	108 73:25	133:14,17	3:1
119:7,14,25	10:15 53:13	2,000 105:15	22-10964 1:3
120:1,6,10,14	11 12:22 14:4	20 3:5 16:15	238,000 61:8
120:18 134:16	38:18 119:4	19:22 20:22,24	61:13
134:18,19	130:25	21:12,21 40:6	25 3:5
138:18 140:5	11501 145:23	40:22 99:19	26 36:20
1's 77:19	11th 9:17	129:17	260 4:16
1.2 92:6	39:13	200 138:22	26th 8:9 22:9
1.3 133:14,17	12 1:22 142:18	2002 29:10	37:4 39:5
1.4 37:16 65:6	12038955	2003 106:10	60:24 61:1
65:12 81:14	28:24	2006 106:15	81:5
82:15,15 99:19	12151 145:7	2007 49:21	27 3:5 36:17
1.5 104:6,21,25	13 36:17	2013 28:24	28 29:20
1/11/22 3:6	1353 3:11	2020 36:17	280 29:13,21
1/12/22 3:7	1358 3:12	72:5,7 74:13	2805345 30:4
10 26:17,19	1361 3:12	119:7 120:11	287 50:8
27:3 31:8	139,000 61:4	2021 12:22	29 3:5
32:24 35:23	61:13	14:4 36:20	29th 35:13
53:12 76:8	14 93:2 115:17	37:17 45:4	2nd 87:11
92:5 94:24	129:16	48:4 50:12	3
95:7 96:15,22	15 27:3 115:14	56:14,20 57:8	3 23:7 88:20
97:5,14 98:11	15th 121:24	72:6,7 74:3	89:3 109:7
99:23 105:4	16 92:22,23	117:24 119:5	124:11,17
107:2 109:12	115:1,4,8,11	120:6 129:14	135:23 142:23

[3.1 - access] Page 2

2.1 122.12	41 6 16.22	67 05.0 11	22.4 10 11 17
3.1 122:12	41-6 16:23	67 95:9,11	22:4,10,11,17
3.1c. 122:20	41-7 43:8	121:10	22:19 23:9
3.5 108:14	42 3:5 55:22,24	7	36:16,24 37:1
30 93:5	56:2	7 43:12,22	39:6 57:7
300 22:2	43d 119:25	88:23 115:14	116:15,23
145:22	45 3:5	7.4 115:9,18	117:4,6 118:2
300,000 59:14	5	125:18	118:13 130:25
3054336	5 26:19 27:4	7.4b 115:11	a
106:15	115:9,9,11,14	125:12	abide 102:3,4
31 56:20 74:13	5.25 21:23 37:2	7.4b. 115:13,25	ability 68:1,20
31st 61:5,7	50 107:13,14	700 133:18	70:5 92:12
32 3:5	108:2,3,20	700,000 38:6	able 23:7 25:10
330 145:21	109:2,5 112:15	50:11	26:16,20 28:7
34 3:5 92:3	112:23,25	7065 3:4,10	46:11 51:23
95:7 117:18,24	134:21,22	71 36:16	69:25 76:20
121:9	135:24 140:5	721 77:24	77:4 91:23
35 65:10	141:6 142:24	725 18:25	105:25 108:8
350 50:19	50,000 8:25	726 50:9	113:2,5 121:20
36 74:1	9:12 10:2,4,8	7th 14:6 39:11	130:6,12
37 56:11	130:20,24	50:8	131:17 137:22
376 49:20	131:7	8	abscond 110:2
390 49:20	500 28:13	_	114:8
390,000 131:4	500,000 132:22	81 73:25	absence 39:2
3:00 142:1	51 3:5	8154531 48:4	52:23
4	53 3:5 29:22	88 37:6	absent 47:20
4 31:8 32:23	530,000 61:2	8805345 29:10	48:2,7 100:20
124:11	61:13 81:6	8:58 1:23	103:25 141:7
40 65:11 88:6	5345 29:23	8th 4:16	absolutely
88:23,23 89:1	54 73:24	9	40:24 46:7
89:1 92:22	57 3:5	9 3:6,7 43:12	71:16 120:4
114:25 115:2	58 3:5	117:24 118:20	124:2 127:3,10
41 16:20 17:3,4		118:20	127:12 130:6
42:18 43:4	6	954 50:8	absurd 104:15
73:8,10 89:21	6 17:5 22:17	997 50:7	105:10 114:7
89:21,22,23	23:9 50:11	9:00 3:12,13	access 31:15
95:5 124:7,9	60,000 59:14	9th 7:10 8:5,6	33:6 119:5
75.5 124.7,7		21:21,24 22:1	33.0 117.3
		rol Solutions	

accompanied	acting 56:16	129:7,18 131:8	126:8
100:7	78:17 95:24,25	131:10 133:24	admitted 35:3
accomplished	125:3	136:21,24	46:9,17 47:6
82:19	action 6:22	138:4	73:10 102:17
account 13:13	38:8 55:4,9	add 36:3 77:16	admitting 22:9
13:14 78:2,4	94:5	126:23	adv 1:4
78:19,20,20	actions 50:4	addition	advance 7:22
79:10 83:13,14	65:19 74:7	106:24	12:8 31:10
83:18 86:22	activities 15:8	additional	32:25 45:3
105:4 109:14	16:2 17:22	27:15 43:25	117:12
110:15	41:18,23,25	81:8 101:10,22	adversary 3:1
accountant	42:25 43:14,18	101:23 102:10	advocate 11:6
127:24	45:9,10 58:25	116:8 132:5	affected 64:17
accounting	59:3 60:9,12	142:2	affidavit 10:11
11:14,16,19	78:5 126:18,20	address 54:7	116:24 117:2
15:6,8 19:4	132:23 134:2	55:20 77:23	118:8 119:20
63:10,11 64:12	activity 43:1	81:13 82:22,23	affiliate 96:19
64:13 66:6,7,8	43:16,17,17	100:15 111:11	124:21
95:1 105:6	actor 111:19	121:8,11,13	affirmative
109:22,25	111:21	131:21 138:23	7:13 31:5
123:18,20	actual 36:7	addressed 64:9	afford 104:1
127:6,7,8,20	54:6 66:4	64:10,16	132:25
127:24	131:5	109:16 138:2	affording
accounts 139:1	actually 7:14	addresses	104:8
accrual 92:6	9:9 13:6 15:19	104:16 108:3	ago 35:13
95:8 121:10	17:24 20:15,19	109:12	50:11 116:10
accurate 145:4	20:19 27:6	adduced 19:1	132:13 142:19
accused 102:13	31:14,16 33:4	adelphia 106:8	agree 7:22,24
106:5 143:10	34:17 40:8	adequate	8:17 9:11
accusing	42:3,14 43:11	27:12	67:13,14 68:9
135:12,21	45:22 73:1	adjourned	70:7 90:16,17
acknowledge	77:9 81:23	143:5,18,25	90:21 101:11
123:7	82:9 114:1	adjudicate	101:16 123:16
acknowledged	115:13 119:23	112:1	127:24 131:18
34:25 118:7,12	122:11 123:3	admit 6:20	140:2
acted 99:3	123:10 124:4	19:5 33:25	agreed 34:6
128:22	124:11 126:3	39:13 45:6,7	97:24,24,25

	-		
117:12 118:14	ahead 5:4 6:2	allows 37:21	apa 17:23 18:4
142:2	6:13 9:10	92:17 114:12	74:10 114:21
agreeing 105:3	12:14 18:8,17	alternate	114:23 121:7
agreement	18:19 20:18	113:19	122:8,10,13
8:24 9:7,16	21:10 23:17	ambiguous	123:17,19
10:2 11:9 12:1	27:4 31:2	10:19	125:4 131:8
12:21 13:9	44:22 49:3	america 4:6	apart 45:14
14:6,7,14,15	55:19 64:4,20	amount 12:16	61:19
15:22,23,24	68:13 71:16	20:22 23:25	apologize
16:9 17:8 18:3	75:21 81:11	54:19 61:12,13	25:17 27:20
39:11,12 41:13	86:24 98:11,19	63:15 91:24	32:7 130:4
41:15 42:4,7,8	99:2,9,9	95:21 99:22	143:8
42:9,12,17	108:12 117:22	103:7 105:10	appeal 102:6
44:5 45:22	118:22 122:4,7	105:11 106:2	appear 37:13
46:2,22 48:22	122:16 125:11	114:6 139:5	appearances
56:21 58:15,16	129:25	amounted	5:25 6:2
58:21,21 59:11	airdrop 61:18	35:23	appearing 5:14
59:12,17 60:10	82:21 120:6	amounts 14:22	appears 16:22
60:11 62:24	airdropped	39:14,14 50:16	51:5 70:2
63:1,2,7,7 64:6	83:8	65:21 73:6	138:21 141:12
64:23 66:11	akin 4:3 6:4,16	analyze 62:13	applications
67:5 69:22	57:6 116:5	anonymity	35:2
88:5,14,21	al 1:12,15 3:2,2	48:1	applies 131:25
89:4,8,12,19	alex 7:17 13:18	answer 24:17	132:1
89:22,24,25	32:20 57:25	24:19,19 41:15	apply 131:20
90:3 92:17	58:5 72:6	64:1 127:13	appreciate
93:5,5 106:11	73:13	answered 77:1	18:9 42:1
114:19,22	allegation 23:8	answering	131:13
115:20 123:5,6	77:15	111:23 113:7	appreciated
124:5,6 125:14	alleged 8:19	answers 77:2	5:10
131:1,9 143:14	12:7 33:5	anybody 9:25	appreciation
agreements	49:17 76:7,9	95:20 133:20	39:24 134:7
14:17,20 39:9	allow 14:18	anymore 135:5	approach
40:5,11 44:14	39:18 101:10	anyway 34:1	129:23
64:3 88:3	112:9	137:9	approval 14:25
92:21 93:12	allowed 112:22	anyways	62:17 100:21
106:21	132:15,17	111:20	

approved 58:1	36:25 56:18	65:7,12,17,21	attack 81:18
62:21,21 98:7	63:10 79:21	71:4,21 72:15	81:19
113:19,22	80:25 116:21	73:14 74:4	attended
approximate	119:21 121:21	82:15 85:9,25	104:24
108:8	127:8,13	86:2,9 88:16	attention 27:21
approximately	130:20	99:18,20 101:7	124:10
22:17 135:23	asking 6:19	104:2,13,13,17	attorney
argue 8:13	24:10,11,20	104:18,19,20	104:14
22:8 38:24	25:20 26:10,15	105:2,4,11,20	attorney's
44:11 49:1,1	27:21 77:3	106:6,6,14,24	105:5
49:11 51:14	80:1,2 128:13	107:2,6,7,20	attorneys 4:4
55:12 139:22	130:15 135:1	108:1,9,20	4:15 114:12
139:22	142:15	109:7,11,14,23	attributable
argued 81:2,6	asserted 31:5	110:2 111:4,7	63:19
117:4 125:15	assertion 8:10	111:9,16,25	audit 17:24,25
arguing 121:19	19:14,19 49:15	112:1,2,6,10	18:2 54:18
argument	asset 15:21	112:24 113:11	73:14 92:16,18
11:11,25 31:3	47:24 58:15,20	113:12 114:5,9	92:23 93:9,20
32:9 45:13	59:11,11 62:24	114:9,11	93:25 94:3,6,6
49:14 53:17	63:1,2,6 64:6	128:24 129:4	105:7 114:18
54:6 57:25	64:23 83:8	131:16 133:4	114:19,20
58:2,3 69:1	88:4,14,21	140:9 141:5,8	125:4,12,19,22
71:10 87:2,22	89:4,12 92:17	141:10,19,20	125:24 126:2
92:11 94:13,17	130:25	141:23 142:14	126:10,18,23
97:22 99:3	assets 6:20,23	142:16,21,23	129:10,13,15
103:25 116:15	7:2,3 19:7 25:1	143:3,10,16,21	129:20,21
139:10 140:4	25:2,2,4 26:20	associated	auditor 93:10
140:21,25	27:15 28:15	37:14	august 73:24
arguments	29:2 36:22	assume 30:8	119:7 138:6
30:19 57:25	39:19 47:15,17	assuming	authority 8:24
130:10 134:10	47:20 48:2,6	57:16	8:25 9:12,13
army 105:24	49:12,25 50:1	assure 85:18	9:18 10:3
aside 130:7	50:2 54:19,21	astrove 29:10	13:12 14:23
asked 12:18	54:24 55:5	29:18	25:3,21 28:21
19:25 31:12	57:18 59:10	attachments	57:11,17,17
33:2,13 34:4	60:23 61:17	112:9	62:11 71:9
35:5,11 36:14	62:4,6 64:13		87:23 94:23

95:20,21 96:15	76:8,10,13	badmouth	beating 75:11
96:21,24 97:8	78:12 79:2,4	72:23	beginning 44:9
97:13 98:6,15	79:12,14,17,22	bailiff 5:2	107:14
98:24,25 99:8	80:23 87:2,3	31:21	begins 42:23
99:20 111:22	87:23 89:16	balance 30:23	begun 77:6
111:23 113:15	94:20 100:20	51:21 52:2,25	behalf 6:5
authorization	automated	53:6 87:15	45:22 81:10
8:19 9:13 10:4	41:17,19	103:12,13,21	116:5
11:4 12:7,9	available 6:23	105:9,14	behavior 65:18
19:9,11,17	89:10	107:21 114:3	73:21,22,23
31:5 32:18	avenue 4:16	139:11,16,22	76:23 78:11,11
36:5 45:5	avoid 25:7	bank 4:6 13:13	79:10
54:13,22 55:18	aware 106:5	83:13,13 86:22	belief 72:3,10
55:23 56:1	122:23	91:21 110:15	111:16
60:17,19 62:17	b	bankruptcy	believe 24:16
69:24 70:1	b 2:1 42:5,6,11	1:1,18 2:3 3:4	37:11 43:5
71:10,13 73:3	125:2,18	3:11 49:21	50:1 53:19
80:18,22 87:1	b.r. 49:20	55:7,15	54:23,24 55:25
87:22 95:14,15	back 13:2 18:5	banned 28:19	64:1 65:19
97:22 98:2,5	19:24 22:6	based 27:9	70:1 101:2,3
100:3 116:14	23:15 28:7	38:14 41:22	103:15 111:19
116:16	31:4 32:17	50:1 63:9,11	112:8 118:11
authorize	34:2 39:9	64:12,13 69:12	119:23 128:13
13:16,20,21	52:17 54:7	118:24 128:12	132:6
14:24 62:10	58:22 61:9	134:7,23	believed 9:5
69:22 94:22	69:8 71:12	141:13,13	34:23 62:22
authorized	79:24 82:7	basically 12:10	76:12 80:11,14
7:14,21 8:9 9:7	86:1 92:10	42:23	127:16,17
9:8 13:19	98:21 110:18	basis 33:17	128:10
19:11,22 22:11	119:12 129:24	34:10,11 57:21	believes 72:24
22:14 31:9	130:2,19	111:14 128:19	73:23 143:22
32:20,25 35:22	130.2,19	131:2,3	believing 75:9
36:5,18 38:25	139:18	bat 94:14	128:19
39:2,7 45:2	bad 69:18	bates 16:24	belong 83:23
58:4,5,6,10	110:8,24	43:6,6,8,8	benefit 51:4
62:20 70:3,4	111:19 112:5	bear 115:12	52:18 105:8
71:20 74:22,23	111.17 114.3		
		ral Solutions	

[best - case] Page 7

best 84:6 97:20	bought 88:1	brings 107:24	calculation
133:22 137:23	bowling 1:19	120:5	40:7,23 41:4
better 57:12	breach 11:19	broad 30:24	42:24 64:17
142:7	55:4,9 69:20	51:11 80:8	123:13
beyond 58:7	93:24 96:10	broadcasting	calculations
100:25 104:14	127:21 129:10	78:13	43:14 81:16
billion 65:6,12	129:18	broader 143:2	calendar 93:2
99:19,19	breached 94:2	broken 11:3	93:5 115:17
128:24 133:14	127:8	brought 51:3	california 29:6
133:15,17,17	break 53:10,12	111:13 131:14	call 59:7 101:2
billions 75:17	breakdown	bryant 4:5	called 21:12
104:2	80:25 81:5,9	budget 17:13	25:25 34:11
bit 23:15 24:18	118:19	90:8,24 132:14	58:24 59:6
25:24 88:13	bremont 50:8	build 126:8	69:20 91:20
137:21	brief 49:14	bullet 17:7,12	109:4
bitcoin 40:5,22	64:9,10 81:10	burden 15:17	calls 13:9
black 66:8	96:14 97:3,6,9	46:4 103:15	calpine 52:3
89:15	113:24 133:16	140:13	cap 119:21
blanket 142:16	briefing 42:14	burn 106:2	capacity 56:16
bled 103:7	44:8 64:22	business 83:12	capital 114:13
bleeding 102:9	100:24 101:14	buttons 76:20	caps 122:25
blockchain	116:8 120:17	buy 37:6 50:20	capture 30:24
77:20 110:3,13	129:12 131:15	59:10 142:19	car 84:25
blown 92:15	131:17,18	buyer 88:17	careful 21:18
bluster 62:15	133:6 135:16	89:8 93:3,3,9	carried 126:2
board 14:25	briefly 18:12	125:24,24	carry 78:25
36:21 60:22	143:8	bylaws 99:7	carve 106:21
95:21 96:21	briefs 101:10	c	131:23
98:10,18 99:25	101:23 102:10	c 4:1 5:1 145:1	case 1:3,4 5:18
117:5	132:5	145:1	18:23 23:13
bonus 13:10	brilliant 32:15	calculate 40:13	28:12 29:10
book 73:15	32:16	69:3	33:7 36:7
boss 79:7,9	bring 38:7	calculated	47:13 48:4
bothered 99:25	50:25 56:11	15:17 40:14	49:15,24 50:6
bottom 17:4	59:1 73:9	123:7	51:12,14,22,22
56:8 92:5	88:21 111:17	calculates	51:24 52:3,4
115:7	137:22	15:16	52:24 53:2,3,7
		ral Calutions	

[case - certainly] Page 8

			,
55:2,15 64:5	8:1,16 9:14	67:12,17,23,24	124:24,25
75:20 78:25	10:13 11:12,17	68:9,9,10,11	125:1,2,15,24
96:20 104:8	11:20 12:2	68:17,23,24,25	126:4,13,15
106:3,5 111:18	13:24,24 14:8	69:7,15,17	127:5 128:24
116:19,24	14:12 15:7,13	70:6,10,10,13	133:4,11,12,13
117:3,12	17:8,9,9,12	70:17,22,23,24	134:20 135:3
118:15 135:5	18:21,22,24	71:2,2,6,8,20	137:3,21
cases 15:2	19:2,5,23 20:2	71:20,21,23,23	143:23
27:22 29:2	21:4,14 25:3	71:24 72:3,4,9	central 35:10
49:22,23	28:12 31:15,17	72:11,21,24	ceo 14:23 15:2
106:19,20	33:9,11 34:18	73:21 74:4,5,6	15:25 17:10
132:14,16,17	35:15 36:10,20	74:7,11,14,16	19:22 56:5,7
cash 14:9	36:24,25 37:4	75:13 77:19	56:15,24 57:10
37:18,20,20,22	37:5,7,16 39:8	78:21 79:18	58:10 60:15
37:23,24 39:15	39:12,19,24	81:24 82:9,14	61:7 62:11
47:23 50:12,20	45:7,8,14,15	82:15 83:7,20	66:22 69:15
110:4,7,9,19	45:23,23 46:8	83:21,23 84:1	70:5,23 71:8
110:20,21,21	46:9,11,14,18	84:3 87:4 88:2	76:4,19 87:3
110:24 113:10	46:22,24 47:3	88:16,17,18	88:2 89:9,13
categorical	47:8,19 49:12	89:4,8,16,17	89:16,17 90:1
24:21 25:6,7	50:17,23 53:1	89:25 90:2,2,5	90:7 92:11,19
25:25 27:20,24	54:13,18,18	90:6,11,18	93:4,13,15
28:22 135:10	55:7 56:5,7,15	92:8,9,11,18	94:18,22 95:1
136:13	56:19,21,22,24	93:4,9,13,15	96:3,6,8,12,14
categorically	56:25 57:2,10	94:2 99:7,24	96:21,23 97:2
27:18	57:18,18 58:10	103:24 104:5	97:4,8,13 99:4
categories 21:2	59:1,2,3,4,6,7	104:17,19	99:8,13 118:3
24:23	59:8,9,10,12	105:2,9,23	123:25 124:25
category 9:5	59:12,17,18,19	107:15 111:15	125:3,14,25
9:20 10:15	59:22 60:3,7,9	117:25 118:3,4	126:4,13
21:11,13 24:23	60:10,11,13,15	119:2,3,5,8,8,9	ceo's 100:3
24:24 135:14	60:21,23 61:7	119:9,14,15,18	certain 13:10
cause 50:1 89:9	61:16,19,24	119:19,19,21	81:20,21 94:13
cease 48:22	62:11,22 63:22	119:24 120:1,1	97:25 104:18
celsius 1:8,12	65:3,5,7,20,21	123:25 124:15	certainly 7:15
3:1 4:4 6:17,18	65:24 66:1,11	124:16,16,19	7:23 10:5
6:20 7:2,4,8	66:20,20 67:10	124:20,21,21	11:15,23 12:15

·	•		
14:17 28:17	circle 61:9	38:10,11 55:16	clicks 77:21,22
30:22 35:9	circuit 50:8	55:16 61:23	client 73:14
48:25 49:22	87:11	62:14 104:1	85:23 124:25
53:3 64:15	circumstances	111:7 116:14	142:13 143:2
129:5 131:14	24:9 27:24	120:12	clients 142:8
135:13 137:4	35:17 80:2	clarify 67:16	close 87:21
138:25	126:1	clauses 14:15	112:12
certified 145:3	citation 27:22	clear 20:1,10	closed 9:24
cetera 10:8	citations 20:12	25:22 26:4,5	closing 7:2
106:22 132:15	cite 28:23 29:3	30:3 46:21	11:25
137:19	29:7,18 41:9	64:15,24 66:7	code 37:21
cfo 13:8,11	49:15,20 51:15	81:9 84:12	coin 21:25
72:4 78:21	52:3 120:16	85:13 86:6	43:24 63:9,11
chair 126:13	cited 47:13	89:15 101:15	73:14 137:15
chairman 47:8	52:4 133:13	102:11,17,18	137:15
challenged	cites 50:7	102:19 104:16	coins 19:7
7:23 50:5	civil 49:22 50:4	110:25 116:12	36:11 37:6
chance 8:13	132:17	116:13,16	39:24 40:7
23:22 97:18	claim 9:7,19,22	120:16,17	41:5,21,21,25
141:23	12:2 18:25	123:20 128:19	43:1,16,17,17
change 22:2	19:15,21,22	128:20 131:19	43:20,25 47:22
64:3	22:10,13 32:19	132:3 135:21	63:20,24 64:18
chapman 4:10	35:12 39:1	135:22 137:14	65:16 124:18
6:6	44:25 55:14	142:5,11	133:10,11,22
character 83:6	57:2 60:21	clearer 131:8	133:22,25
characterizat	93:24 94:2	clearest 55:8	134:2 137:18
57:24	100:6,7,8	clearly 52:3	collapsed
characterize	104:15 111:9	71:25 74:10	45:15
133:24	120:5 129:16	75:2 97:11	collect 140:6
characterizing	133:1,4	104:7 120:9	collection
133:23	claimed 65:9	124:18 128:12	33:18
check 100:1	76:18,19	clerk 5:4,24	collectively
chief 56:23	116:20	53:23 117:14	38:14
90:12 95:16,17	claiming 45:2	117:20	colloquially
95:19	78:8 128:13	click 76:20	136:3
chosen 93:10	claims 18:22	77:23	colorable 11:8
	19:2,16 36:9		93:24

-	01		
column 10:19	35:7 77:5	36:18 120:13	concerned 26:7
columns 21:7	106:8	120:15 124:12	141:21
come 7:16 13:2	community	124:23,24	concerning
13:18 19:24	82:20	125:3	125:4
22:6 25:13	companies	competent	conclude 12:9
31:7 32:17,22	59:2 60:6	122:2	113:17 140:15
34:22 35:6	company 15:25	complain	concluded
39:9 44:3,20	17:10 56:21	111:8	144:2
57:6 69:11	58:24 59:8,10	complained	concludes
74:14 77:24	59:13 60:7,8	31:14 33:4	68:25 69:7
94:10 121:7	65:18 66:21	complete 27:13	140:3
126:5 130:2,19	67:6 72:24	79:13 137:23	conclusion
139:25	73:23 76:4,12	completely	52:6
comes 24:23	76:19 80:7,9	32:3 61:15	conduct 52:13
69:25 133:15	80:24 83:24	complex 42:6	111:24
comfort 53:10	87:25 88:15	42:13,22 44:4	conducted
coming 31:4	89:7,8,10,13	compliance	33:19
52:17 70:13	89:14 90:7,11	50:25	conferences
132:11	91:20 92:13,18	complicated	127:23
comment	92:19 93:4,13	63:12,14 67:6	conferred
142:12	93:15,25 94:19	67:19,20,21	86:21
comments	95:17 96:6,7	compound	confess 44:2
116:9 121:4	96:12 97:5,11	81:20 82:17,20	confident 24:3
commingling	97:13 99:5,13	83:8	confined 141:6
142:18	99:18,18 118:1	comprehensive	confining
commission	124:25 125:25	27:13 91:19	27:11 136:7
28:13	126:19 127:17	computer	confirm 22:22
commitments	133:2,2	117:18	22:25
89:7	company's	conceal 47:23	confirmation
common 41:7	74:7 97:8	concede 54:11	92:9
41:10 77:16	compare 76:22	103:19	confirmed 74:8
140:18	comparison	conceded	confirms 89:19
communicati	105:17	80:19 94:14	89:24
35:14	compensate	concern 27:8	confronted
communicati	120:18	27:14 30:23	30:15 31:1
31:9,11 32:24	compensation	100:9 136:6	confusing 37:8
33:2 34:24	14:7,10 15:23	142:15	
	T7 '4 4 T		

[comasingly cour	•		Č
confusingly	continually	controverted	54:9,14 57:24
90:2	118:12	68:8	66:12,17,18,23
connor 19:16	continue 8:7	conversation	68:11 69:5
34:5	108:4 112:16	25:18 34:3	90:20 106:19
consent 113:11	113:2 141:9	61:23	116:9 120:7
consenting	continued	conversations	122:20
112:13,21	65:16,25	91:17	cost 43:16 60:4
consider 35:19	continues	conversion	64:2 68:19
40:18,19 81:4	105:23	19:4 100:6,7	69:3
130:10	contours 28:1	converted	costs 43:1
consideration	contract 11:19	37:17 43:20	counsel 5:17
89:6 125:1	12:5 13:16,21	conveyance	6:2,6,9 30:18
considered	13:22 15:15	38:8	109:14 112:17
65:24	44:12 55:4,9	convince 23:10	112:18,23
considering	59:5 63:9,11	61:24 62:6,7	113:3,16
38:9 100:23	63:14 69:21	convincing	116:19 132:15
constituted	89:15 90:23,23	19:8	135:22
118:3	93:24 94:3	cooperated	count 73:14
contained	95:18 96:10	105:6 111:25	country 112:7
106:9	99:18 124:4	cooperating	145:21
contemplated	127:1,8,20	113:8	couple 12:17
74:12	129:19	copied 35:7	15:6 54:5
contemplates	contracts 7:15	copy 35:21,24	91:12 101:25
12:22 74:10	13:19,21 54:15	corner 17:4	123:22
123:8	97:7,10 113:25	69:9	course 12:25
contemporan	contractual	corners 25:14	13:21 16:1
78:23	66:7,9 93:14	25:23	17:14,19 33:13
contempt 26:3	96:8 97:5,9,12	corp 106:8	34:12 40:10
26:4	97:14 134:10	corporate	96:23 123:7
contend 20:1	contrary 14:20	13:13 14:22	court 1:1,18
25:2	46:17 48:24	corporation	5:3,5,9 6:1,7
contends 118:2	124:3	13:8 59:1	6:11,13,25
118:14,14	control 17:13	correct 8:22	7:18,19 8:12
contest 103:16	71:5,6 90:7,24	9:1 17:19	9:11,23 10:10
contested 68:3	113:15	22:20 40:8	10:17 11:2,15
context 106:18	controversial	43:15 46:24,24	14:21 15:2,15
132:12	99:15	47:4,6,9,10	16:3,6,10,14

[]			8
16:17,21,24	63:23 64:4,8	110:12 111:22	courts 50:6
17:2,6,11,16	64:15 66:3,9	111:23 112:1	106:19
17:18 18:7,14	66:14,17,21	112:17 113:1,5	cover 11:20,21
18:17,19 19:24	67:1,4,8,12,25	114:17,21,24	27:17 30:12,12
20:6,10,16,18	68:3,7,13,22	115:2,8,11,18	58:6,8 135:1
20:23 21:6,9	69:20 70:9,13	115:24 116:3	covered 39:16
21:16,18 22:15	70:18,21 72:25	117:21 118:5,9	44:24 103:14
22:21,24 23:2	74:18,22 75:5	118:16,22	covers 28:1
23:4,17 24:10	75:9,19 76:1,7	119:11 120:3	115:13
24:14 25:12,18	77:21 78:24	121:6,8,16	cpa 93:11
25:22 26:14	79:3,11,23	122:4,7,15,18	craft 106:13
28:8,10,25,25	80:11,14,20	122:21 123:2	crafted 132:14
29:7,14,16,19	81:17,25 82:3	123:16 124:8	create 41:24
29:24 30:2,6	82:25 83:9,20	124:13 125:6	45:7 59:6
30:16 31:2,23	84:2,8,16,21	125:10 126:12	67:20,22,24
31:25 32:2,10	85:2,7,12,19	126:25 127:5	68:1,19,20
32:13,15 33:8	85:20,22 86:3	127:14 128:2,6	105:19
33:21 34:20	86:5,11,16,19	128:16,22	created 39:18
35:18 37:8	86:24 87:6	129:6,25	59:23,24 60:3
38:3,7 39:25	88:8,11,25	130:22 131:25	71:2 73:15
40:3,11,15,18	89:2 90:14,16	132:2,10,18	91:25 93:18
40:21 41:2,4,9	90:22 91:1,3,5	133:7,9 134:3	119:8,10,17,18
41:12 42:2,8	91:11 92:25	134:5,16,18,21	119:18,24,25
42:10,17,20	94:5,21 95:6	135:18 136:18	123:15 126:20
43:2,4,6,9,13	95:10,13,19	137:11,14,25	creating 73:18
43:22 44:13,20	96:1,9,13,18	138:11,15,25	76:24
45:12 46:1,4	97:15,18 98:8	139:2,10 140:2	creation 93:17
46:13,20 47:1	98:14,17 99:16	140:3,10,15,21	credibility
47:3,5,7,11	100:9,14,17,21	140:25 141:7	8:11 12:8 22:7
48:8,14,17,23	100:25 101:18	141:10,21,25	22:13 39:1
49:3,25 50:24	102:5,9,22,24	142:5 143:5,14	70:2 75:21,24
51:17,20 52:13	103:2,6 104:4	143:20,24	79:24
53:8,12,16,22	104:15 106:8	court's 47:17	credit 39:24
54:1,14 55:3	106:11,13,18	48:3 51:3	80:22
57:14,21 58:12	107:7,9,11	85:15 87:7	creditors 5:14
58:17 59:21,25	108:7,12,19	105:18	51:4 52:18,22
60:16 63:10,18	109:1,9,17		104:4

	I		
criminal 49:23	dash 43:12	debtor 1:10	93:20 94:20
49:25 50:3	data 81:7	december	96:8 105:6,20
132:12,14,16	date 55:23 57:4	50:25 74:1,13	105:25 106:5
critical 130:16	61:2,5 81:3,6	121:23 129:17	106:25 107:20
139:12	94:16,18 98:3	137:7	109:20 112:14
cross 7:24	118:13 129:16	decentralized	134:24
crypto 28:15	138:18 145:25	58:25 59:3	defendant's
29:2,9 48:1	dated 56:13,20	60:9 71:25	10:13 15:8
64:18	117:24	decide 15:2	21:2,4 30:17
cryptocurrency	dates 10:17	94:24 95:2	49:25 107:22
52:11 108:5	77:25 81:5	96:22 132:4	132:6 133:16
110:14 134:7	137:19	decided 26:24	134:13
crystal 101:15	david 105:16	101:18	defendants
102:11 116:13	day 5:9,11	decidedly	1:16 5:8 6:12
116:16 128:18	48:10 49:5,8	87:15 103:22	6:20 7:12,25
128:20 131:19	53:1 72:18	103:22 107:22	15:10 17:24
142:11	82:8 100:11	107:23 114:4	19:5 23:5,20
cucchiaro 8:23	107:5 119:6	deciding 55:2	24:7 25:8,23
current 108:8	130:4 143:6	101:2 103:6	26:11,18 27:12
custodian	daylight 80:8	142:9	33:9 38:15
121:25	days 27:7	decision 101:3	43:21 45:7
custody 71:3,5	75:15 78:9	102:21 135:17	47:19 50:10,25
71:5 102:20	93:2,5 115:17	136:4	52:13 53:17
108:24,24	129:16 135:19	declaration	54:4,11 101:7
136:1	137:21	143:15	104:9,10,11
cut 5:20	de 91:21	decline 40:25	105:17 107:8
cuts 80:9	dead 75:11	63:24	108:1 109:18
d	deadline 18:2	declined 40:22	109:21,25
d 5:1	deal 8:14 45:18	deducting	110:1 111:3,8
dai 37:16 61:15	60:14 63:21	21:22	112:13,20,21
81:13,14 82:15	143:18	deductions	112:22 114:10
82:16 86:10	deals 135:20	131:10	118:25 121:22
	dealt 133:20	defend 105:25	129:11 135:21
138:1,1	dean 4:10 6:6	106:6 111:5,9	136:7
dairy 51:15 damages 51:15	deanna 53:19	112:20	defending
51:17,20	117:9	defendant 50:3	105:22 106:25
31.17,20		93:6,8,14,20	

defense 7:13	demanding	deposition	devil's 11:6
19:8 31:5	72:14	31:14 33:4	di 21:13
54:24 55:3	demonstrate	72:16 105:7	difference
67:18 96:2	109:11 123:10	119:22 120:8	61:21
106:20 112:17	123:12	120:16,18	different 15:20
112:18 132:15	demonstrated	depositions	24:18 61:15
defi 74:4,5	19:5	77:1	83:6 94:9
78:4	demonstrates	describe 12:1	113:13
define 42:25	78:17	described	differently
defined 17:8,9	denied 61:3	15:12 24:25	82:12,12
27:11 63:4	denies 56:14	52:11	difficult
119:21 122:25	deny 19:15	describing	108:15 135:25
123:3,4	49:12 111:14	10:11 27:6	digest 42:15
defines 63:15	114:16 129:3	desk 35:25	digital 19:7
120:1	denying 57:8	desperately	36:21 65:7,8
definitely 82:1	deo 45:23	104:17	71:4
120:16 129:12	departure	despite 39:5	diminish 63:24
137:8	78:22	63:5 74:15	dippold 106:13
definition 43:9	depend 46:9	destination	direct 77:2
63:16 88:19	143:9	47:23	117:7 124:10
136:13	depending	detailed 76:25	directed
definitions	81:7	115:22	106:13
43:16	depends 19:8	details 72:7	direction 36:8
defrauded	deploy 72:14	118:21,24	directly 21:14
76:5	112:15 114:13	determination	23:8
delay 62:1	128:25	17:14 79:3	disadvantage
deliver 46:10	deployed 74:3	90:8,11,25	109:19
69:14	99:19 107:3	91:6,22 95:5	disagree 61:10
delivered	124:18	determine	66:14,15,16
15:11,13 126:7	deploying	58:11 73:1	94:11,12 97:15
demand 8:9	65:16 71:21	90:12 92:14	disagreed
22:9 123:17,20	deployment	93:16 108:15	134:6
127:6	66:1 72:20	determined	disagreeing
demanded	74:24	91:24 124:21	97:2
11:16 36:11	deposited	determining	disagreement
66:5,6	120:13	90:19	67:16,17

	•		
disappeared	disputes 11:12	122:11 123:4,8	don't 112:21
136:5	dissatisfied	documentary	downloaded
disaster 142:8	93:7 125:20	36:7	31:17 34:18
discomfort	dissipate 47:20	documents	36:1
26:24	48:6 107:19	98:18 100:2	dozens 76:24
disconnected	112:2 114:9	114:18	drawer 35:25
32:3	dissipated	doe 29:5,10	drawn 11:4
discover 27:14	26:18 28:6	doing 15:11	dropped 82:16
discovery	47:15 50:10	21:4 25:24	82:17,21,22
22:25 23:4	104:13 111:2	26:11,12 27:1	83:16,21,22
24:6,15 26:8	111:24	41:21 46:8	due 39:14 93:4
26:16 27:2	dissipating	58:25 60:8,13	129:17,17
33:7,13,18,24	113:11	80:5,8 83:15	136:7
33:24 34:3	dissipation	100:24 101:13	dug 10:22
35:11 69:12	104:13 109:11	110:17 125:17	dx 65:10,11
76:11 77:5,8	141:8,23	126:3 129:21	73:8,10 88:6
104:23 120:25	distinction	135:21,22	88:23,23 89:21
121:2,23	21:15	dollar 13:10	92:3 95:7
discretion 52:9	distressed	38:18 41:1	121:9
discuss 104:14	100:10	95:21 106:21	e
discussed 73:6	distributed	131:1,2,3	e 2:1,1 4:1,1
73:7	13:25 83:2	133:25	5:1,1 31:11,12
discussion 32:5	distribution	dollars 13:13	31:15,17 33:2
discussions	90:20	15:3 19:7 22:3	33:3,5,6,10,14
109:3	distributions	39:7 43:18,20	33:18 34:4,9
disproportio	58:12	61:12 63:17	34:13,18,22
106:2 107:1	district 1:2	64:12,12 65:4	35:14,18,24
dispute 12:5	29:1,6,11 30:5	65:5 74:4 76:8	36:2 56:20
13:1 26:1	48:4	99:10,22 104:2	65:10 73:8,9
55:10 68:15,16	doc 3:4,11	104:18,19	73:13 77:9,10
68:16 69:6,11	docket 142:1	111:6 128:23	77:11 98:21,22
85:6 113:1	document 33:9	128:24 132:22	116:23 117:24
disputed 7:20	33:12 35:20,21	133:18,23	118:2 120:21
68:15,15 70:4	91:9,13 92:24	136:5	120:23 145:1
80:21 82:1	95:6 98:24	dom 18:23	earlier 28:12
113:21	119:4 121:14	47:14	81:15
	121:23 122:1,6		

[early - evaluate]

Page 16

70.20 30.13	103.11 112.0	J 1 .23 J0.11	
either 33:5 48:20 50:19	enjoin 104:17 105:11 112:6	14:10 39:6 54:23 58:11	evaluate 7:19
eight 138:6	english 28:13	entitled 12:5	76:20 77:21,23
egg 136:3	engaging 17:21	80:15	etherscan
83:25 85:17	engaged 7:8	entitle 39:19	20:24
efforts 5:11	109:25	entirety 33:14	ethereum
effort 82:18	engage 17:22	120:23	ether 40:5,22
efficient 18:18	26:2	57:25 77:8	138:4,10
126:15 129:20	enforceable	entire 33:18	81:20 82:7
13:14 37:21	30:20 104:9,10	enters 60:10	41:16,18 50:19
effectively	enforce 26:3	52:7	37:17 41:1,8
effective 56:5	60:19,20 84:13	entering 25:7	22:2,4 36:16
131:1,5	ends 55:23	142:7	eth 21:3,5,24
effect 40:23	80:18 116:14	106:9 141:5	132:15 137:19
ecro 2:5	ended 56:1	64:6 105:18	10:8 106:22
eatery 18:25	116:21	53:21 63:1	et 1:12,15 3:2,2
110:7	13:9 56:18	51:25 52:14	estimate 20:7
easy 42:15 48:2	employment	48:19,25 51:8	141:24
eastern 29:5	71:23 72:23	12:22 30:9,20	111:9 114:5
easiest 77:9	employees	entered 6:24	estate 104:2
23:10	56:19	141:4,22 143:1	52:14
easier 21:1	employed	114:11,14	established
113:2	19:15	52:9 77:23	establish 7:12
107:5 112:16	emphatically	enter 27:24	40:12
earning 41:21	52:24	51:2	essentially
134:1	emboldened	ensure 27:12	especially 27:7
123:11,13	embezzle 80:7	19:4	77:23
40:24 91:23	else's 34:13	enrichment	21:12,21 36:25
earned 13:6	elizabeth 4:11	50:10	erc 20:22,24
122:22,24	eleven 37:3	enormous 28:5	entry 135:9
92:20 113:14	139:17	enjoy 50:6	entries 10:19
earn 89:11,18	elements 52:7	enjoins 107:7	entity 90:1
116:19	107:8	106:24	131:12
60:21 98:20	94:25 98:15	enjoining	94:6,7 101:6
34:3 45:4	75:21 79:13	48:1 52:19	84:13 93:25
24:15 26:8	69:25 75:9,20	enjoined 24:25	74:11,11 80:15
early 8:20	61:13 64:16	142:20	63:19,25 73:6

	I		
evaporated	132:23,25	excuse 55:5	expenditure
133:18	133:3 136:22	executed 56:22	104:14 141:24
evasive 76:17	138:23 141:14	executive 15:4	142:21
event 6:24	evidentiary 3:2	56:23 90:12	expense 10:23
50:24	3:6 5:16	95:17,17,19	expenses 8:25
eventual 62:7	exact 12:16	exercise 13:7	9:12 10:1,3,22
eventually	27:5 35:9 36:8	55:17 77:13	expensive 82:8
61:24 72:25	87:9	exert 105:23	expert 33:25
everybody	exactly 9:22	exhibit 16:14	explain 57:22
34:13 73:18	26:10 33:25	16:18,20 17:1	57:23
80:8 110:11	40:9 44:16	17:4 42:5,6,18	explaining
143:6	47:10 51:12	43:4 88:7	43:23
everybody's	101:19 109:4	124:7	explanation
5:10	123:21 128:12	exhibits 16:10	42:22
evidence 5:12	136:10,10	53:20	expressly
7:13,15,19,19	examination	exist 35:19	56:22 58:5
7:20,25 8:5,17	7:24	77:5 119:10	extend 57:17
9:23,23 10:7	example 40:5	141:16	extent 11:7
10:10 11:10,12	41:17 49:24	existed 9:18	52:18 69:10,13
11:16 14:18	94:5	33:11 35:20	99:8 102:1
19:12,21 22:12	examples	119:18	141:21
26:5 31:6,8	47:24	exists 47:18	extraordinarily
32:19,23 36:4	except 53:18	92:16	75:2
39:3,3 47:7,25	85:8 113:16	expectation	extraordinary
53:21 56:13	exception	132:7	19:21
62:14,25 63:1	105:5	expecting	eye 65:21
64:5 65:3,19	excerpt 56:2	64:25	75:16
65:23 68:3,4,8	exchange	expedited 24:5	f
69:13 70:2,17	131:4	33:13,17,24	f 2:1 50:8
70:18,19,21	excluded 81:16	34:3,10 35:11	145:1
74:2 76:11	excluding	69:12 76:11	f.2d 50:8
82:3,10,14	81:15 124:22	77:8 104:23	face 22:12 39:2
109:11 116:22	exclusion	121:2,23	52:16 105:17
119:14,16	106:10	expended	107:17 136:4
121:16,16,18	exclusive 89:6	104:20 141:15	faces 51:23
121:18 127:15	124:24	expending	
128:20 132:21		105:10	107:15
		ral Calutions	

fact 27:23 56:6	fallen 45:14	fight 75:25	finish 11:22
57:8 61:24	falls 103:21	figure 71:15	44:21
62:7 63:5	familiar 87:7	127:24 128:2	finished 5:12
68:24 69:7,11	106:22	136:9	136:19
74:15 80:21	far 8:4 9:8 91:8	figures 21:9	finishing 44:21
82:11 89:17	95:14 121:2	file 120:23	fires 80:9
92:12 94:22	farming 41:16	142:1	firm 18:1
98:21 106:24	fate 23:25	filed 81:10	48:11 54:3
107:2,17	fault 29:17	94:5 104:22	81:2 102:19
108:23 110:24	100:2	files 98:22	104:6,23
112:12 114:2	favor 52:2	filing 118:19	108:23,24
114:10 116:19	87:15 103:22	125:7	136:1
120:8 141:19	103:22,23	fill 27:25	first 6:2 8:14
142:17	107:22 114:4	final 47:15	12:25 15:7
factor 107:21	139:16	91:24 135:17	17:25 24:17,18
factors 18:11	february 45:4	finally 56:17	24:19,19 36:10
facts 18:14	federal 3:4,11	79:16 111:11	42:16 58:5
19:1 38:12	fee 41:19	131:13	88:6 94:15
77:17,20 80:4	feedback	finance 58:25	104:16 109:13
85:13,16,17	132:11	59:3 60:9	116:22 122:12
117:11,19,23	feel 128:6	71:25	122:24 123:24
118:15	fees 48:7 49:19	find 27:2,20	128:9 129:13
failed 69:14,16	50:7 104:7,14	30:19 35:14	140:7
109:10	105:5 106:10	36:4,14 92:4	five 36:19
fair 18:23	106:15 109:15	98:5 100:22,24	53:10 55:21
41:11,14 66:19	112:18,23	101:8,12,22	78:9 115:24
66:20 75:7	113:3 114:13	103:4 122:1	126:16 138:5
91:16 100:2	131:24 132:24	142:8	flattering 86:8
103:17 105:24	133:5 136:2	finder 61:25	fleeing 111:16
107:7 128:9	feet 69:4	62:7 82:11	113:9
faith 17:14	feld 4:3 6:5,16	finding 20:21	floor 4:16
90:9,10 91:22	116:5	84:13	florida 29:1,11
92:14 93:16,23	fell 10:2	fine 83:16	30:5 48:4
95:4 99:4,14	fenced 90:17	88:11 101:10	flowed 142:9
fall 9:5,19	fi 58:11	102:5	fluctuations
10:15 43:24	fifty 37:7	fingertips	137:16,16
64:18		12:16 102:2	

[focused - fully] Page 19

focused 44:17	found 10:23	79:1 80:12,17	142:8,11
51:10	27:22 31:16	81:12 82:2,6	143:11,19,21
folks 37:14	33:7 34:17	83:1,11,22	144:1
follow 15:10	106:7 108:20	84:6,10,18,23	freedman's
117:5	foundation	85:4,10,15	119:13
followers 78:6	121:13	86:2,4,9,12,18	freeze 24:11
79:7	founder 37:10	86:20,25 88:9	112:10 130:9
following 79:9	38:8 84:4	88:12 89:1,3	freezing 29:2
followings 78:4	founders 38:1	90:17,21 91:2	49:25 51:13
follows 56:23	84:24 86:14	91:4,8,12 93:1	friedland 54:3
force 105:23	four 25:14,22	93:22 94:11	friends 83:3
forceful 72:14	55:21 138:5	95:3,9,11,16	frolic 114:5
forcibly 81:21	frames 14:3	95:24 96:5,11	front 42:18
forefront	frank 117:9,16	96:17 97:1,17	62:3 82:11
71:25	frankly 77:5	97:20 98:13,16	84:20 88:8
foregoing	104:15 114:4,7	99:11,17 100:9	114:18 115:5
145:3	139:23	100:13,15	115:21,23
forever 28:6	fraudulent	101:25 102:8	122:5,15 124:8
forget 51:17	38:7	102:12,25	139:14
forgot 109:4	free 65:1	103:5,9 104:5	froze 32:3
form 79:18	freedman 4:20	106:12,23	75:15
114:15	5:8 6:8,9,12	107:10,12	frozen 24:16
formal 93:17	11:24 53:9,14	108:11,13,22	141:5,6
93:18	53:16,18,24	109:2,10	fruits 83:25
formed 72:10	54:2,3,3 55:3	114:17,20,23	frustrated
119:3	55:20 57:20,22	114:25 115:4	67:24
former 116:19	58:19 59:21,23	115:10,15,20	ft 108:21
formulas 42:25	60:2 63:21	116:1,2,12	135:24
forth 54:7	64:1,5,11,21	119:2 121:9	ftc 28:24
125:1	66:5,13,15,18	122:9 123:24	fulfill 97:13
forty 16:19,21	66:24 67:2,7	131:23 134:5	fulfilled 103:15
56:12	67:11,14 68:1	135:22 136:16	fulfilling 97:8
forward 3:12	68:5,12,14	136:20,22	full 91:19,24
31:7 32:22	69:10,24 70:12	138:8,17 139:3	92:15 105:23
34:22 35:6	70:15,20,24	139:13 140:7	fully 27:21
131:14 132:25	74:20 75:7,19	140:12,23	110:13
137:22	75:22 76:2,9	141:2,12 142:4	

funded 70:17	132:10	82:25 83:14	103:8,17,25
funds 49:17,18	give 8:12 19:11	86:24 87:16,16	105:19 107:18
50:4,6,10	20:7 28:23	87:18 88:19	109:21 110:16
83:18 86:22	29:3,7,16 30:3	89:5 92:2 95:5	111:14,20,21
89:11 101:24	33:16,21 34:9	98:10,19 99:2	112:2 116:6,7
103:7 107:4	34:12 36:15	99:9,9 108:12	116:11 117:1
110:16 112:14	38:16 41:7	110:18 114:6	118:11,12,16
112:16,23	43:6 67:23	116:8 117:22	119:12 121:5
113:2 142:18	77:10 84:4	118:22 122:4,7	121:12 125:16
further 8:25	95:12 96:14	122:16 125:11	126:2 130:6
9:13,13 10:3	108:8 117:17	129:25 130:7	131:15,15,16
10:14,23 26:14	129:4 133:11	goes 25:21 58:7	131:20 132:2,3
26:15 50:23	137:11 139:21	69:1 81:6	132:4 134:11
52:1,24 100:21	140:20	115:22,22,24	135:18 139:25
100:21,24	given 14:22	going 3:12 9:6	143:2
135:8 141:24	60:15 98:25	9:21 11:24	goliath 105:16
future 27:15	107:15 129:4	14:9 15:3,8,12	good 6:8 17:14
49:1	133:14 137:19	16:24 18:11,12	54:2 66:3
g	gives 98:9	18:22 20:8,11	72:12 90:9,10
g 5:1	glad 5:11	22:23,24 28:6	91:22 92:14
gains 73:11,15	glance 42:16	28:7 30:9	93:16,23 94:2
73:18	glenn 2:2	33:15 35:10	95:4 99:4,14
gap 31:19	global 79:22	36:14 37:22	100:8 110:24
garden 11:18	go 5:4 6:2,13	38:7,22 46:11	113:3 129:3
general 65:9	9:9 12:14	48:6 49:20	139:25
73:22 76:19	13:11 18:5,8	50:23 51:7,9	gorilla 105:15
91:15 92:8	18:11,17,19	52:24 58:12,23	gotten 23:20
121:9,20,22	20:18 21:10	59:4,6,10,20	105:13
generate 12:25	23:17 27:4	60:8,16 61:24	governance
generated 13:1	28:9 30:9 31:2	64:19 67:15	14:22 41:22
43:19,25	37:22 43:11	75:25 78:25	government
124:19	44:3,22 49:3	81:8 85:6,19	3:9
generating	55:19 56:11	87:19 90:5	governs 64:13
74:25 108:5	57:1 64:4,8,20	91:13,17 94:24	grant 26:9,24
134:2	68:13 71:16	95:2 96:14	26:25 32:5
getting 23:13	73:12 75:9,21	97:18 100:17	granted 6:18
53:5 75:14	78:13,14 81:11	101:9,9,20	47:16
	Veritevt Lea	1 0 1	

[great - honor] Page 21

-			
great 8:3,4	happening	48:15 57:6	126:6
green 1:19	113:3	100:10 139:24	hidden 77:2
gross 43:17,18	happens 27:16	heard 11:10,16	hiding 85:19
ground 103:17	45:17 54:15	14:12 15:9	higher 19:2
grounds 18:23	70:24	19:15 32:4	highlighted
group 33:15	happy 44:7,18	35:8 39:13	21:22
59:2	81:12 106:17	46:7 49:4	highly 72:11
guess 8:18	113:11 142:25	55:21 63:12	73:4 78:2
10:10 22:6	143:1	65:12,14 91:20	110:10
42:12 48:5	hard 24:3	111:8 116:9	hindsight
133:2	65:25 128:2	121:4 122:9	129:2
gump 4:3 6:4	hardship 104:1	123:24 130:11	hinge 41:5
6:16 57:6	107:16 139:16	131:22 136:16	hinges 57:25
116:5	hardships	139:23 140:7	hired 41:24
guy 75:4	87:15,19,19,20	143:12	hit 85:14
h	103:12,13,21	hearing 3:1,2,6	hitting 13:3
ha 45:18,18,18	105:9,9,14	3:9,9 5:18 49:5	hmm 16:22
hack 120:11,13	107:22 114:4	64:22 85:20	26:13 133:8
half 22:3 37:24	139:11,23	104:24 109:24	hold 20:19
38:1 58:2 63:7	harm 47:13,17	120:3 133:19	29:14 44:11
84:4,23 86:13	51:12 105:17	heck 94:9,25	47:1 100:17
109:7 111:17	105:19 107:18	95:2	121:8 137:11
132:22 135:23	107:25 109:21	hedge 69:3	holding 23:8
142:23	112:3,11 114:7	hedging 60:4	41:16,25 65:6
handed 71:2	120:10,19	63:22 64:2,2	109:17
hands 26:21	139:15,21,22	68:9,20,23	holds 41:8
hang 43:2	140:18,20,24	69:1,2,8	holert 15:9
happen 101:24	141:20	heisenberg	19:16,17 35:6
103:8 107:1	harmed 107:21	48:3	holistically
happened 45:5	harms 51:21	held 56:24	44:2
50:13,17 70:16	harumi 72:4	help 12:1,10,20	hon 2:2
79:19,20 80:10	hauer 4:3 6:5	13:7 44:15,24	honest 121:21
80:18 81:17	6:16 116:5	54:15 55:6,13	honestly 41:6
82:22 86:16,23	he'll 84:13	69:23 80:16,17	85:4
95:4 123:13	head 13:4	94:1 128:7	honor 5:7,8 6:8
130:11	hear 31:22,23	hey 10:15 75:1	6:15,17 7:6,11
	32:13,16 48:9	99:21 121:24	9:3 10:10 13:3

[Honor - Identified	J		1 age 22
14:4 15:7,21	137:23 138:14	24:13,17 25:17	118:10,11,21
18:5 19:9,10	138:17 142:4	25:20 26:13	118:23 119:16
19:13 20:5,17	143:7	27:5 28:23	120:4 121:7,12
22:7 23:15,22	honor's 124:2	29:1,9,15,17	121:18 122:5,8
25:17 27:6	hope 7:1 26:23	29:20,22,25	122:17,20,22
28:9 29:12,18	56:8	30:4,7,21 31:4	123:3,19
29:25 30:8,12	horse 75:11	31:22,24 32:1	124:10,14
30:22 31:20	host 53:20,23	32:8,11,14,17	125:9,12
33:20 34:2,17	117:10,15,20	33:12,23 34:21	126:15,25
36:8,14 37:15	117:21	37:11 38:4,9	127:1,3,10
38:10,22 39:22	hours 76:24	40:2,9,13,17	128:1,4,8,18
40:10 41:6	house 83:4,4	40:18,20,24	129:2,9 130:1
42:13 44:2,6	85:1	41:3,6,11,14	130:23 132:1,9
45:11,21 48:5	how's 143:2	42:5,9,11,19	132:16,20
48:13,16,25	huge 61:20	42:23 43:3,5,8	133:8,21 134:4
49:8,13,20,23	92:4	43:11,15 44:1	134:9,17,19,23
50:15 51:8,22	humor 22:15	44:16,23 45:21	136:6,21
52:4,6,9 53:2,7	hundreds	46:2,7,15,24	137:13,20
53:9,14,18,25	47:21,21 65:4	47:2,4,6,10,12	138:3,13,16
54:2 55:1 56:1	74:3 76:5	48:12,15,21,24	139:4,14,15
56:10 57:20	108:17 128:23	49:4 51:19,21	142:15,22
67:11 76:10	hurley 4:9 5:7	53:8 54:8,8	143:4,7
116:4,7,12,18	5:22 6:4,4,14	55:21,23 56:13	hurley's 57:24
116:24 117:7	6:15,16 7:1,6	56:14 61:3,6	97:16 104:6,23
117:13,23	8:3,22 9:2,15	63:6 66:10	hybrid 3:2,6
119:1,3,17	10:9,21 12:14	77:3 80:13	hyde 3:25
120:4,8,17	12:15 15:1,5	82:3 87:9 90:5	145:3,8
121:13 122:13	15:19 16:5,8	93:22 100:18	hypothetical
122:24 123:8	16:12,16,18,20	100:18 101:16	98:12
123:23 125:9	16:22 17:1,3,7	102:17 103:2	i
125:13 126:11	17:12,17,19	103:10 106:4	i.e. 68:19
126:23 129:13	18:8,9,16,18	106:19 108:4	iab 28:24
130:3,10,16	18:20 20:4,9	109:6 110:19	idea 50:13 77:7
131:14 132:21	20:15,17,19,25	113:7 114:15	111:12 129:3
134:10 135:6	21:8,11,17,20	116:3,4,5,14	identified 9:20
135:17 136:6	22:19,22 23:1	117:11,17,22	23:5 24:4,22
136:20 137:9	23:3,14,18	117:23 118:6	25:8 26:8
	Varitant Laa	101.	

[ruenemed mounity]				
27:10 28:6,14	139:7	indicated	137:22	
51:2 108:4	importantly	10:22 57:4	initial 119:20	
109:6 116:20	13:3 140:14,14	111:3 116:25	122:25	
134:13 135:11	imposed 49:9	138:9	injunction 3:3	
135:14 136:15	impossibility	indication	3:10 6:18,19	
identifies	67:18	114:8,9	10:16 18:12	
56:22 116:25	impossible	indicted 80:10	24:20 25:5,7	
identify 9:3	28:16	indirectly 23:8	25:15,23,25	
10:15 27:8	improper	indiscernible	26:2 27:9,11	
31:12 33:3	26:17 110:22	5:6,25 6:10 7:5	27:17,20,24	
121:20 135:2	improperly	11:18 14:21	28:1,22 47:20	
identifying	20:2 128:23	16:12,17 17:16	48:2,7 49:6,9	
50:14 143:10	inbox 31:17	19:4 23:17	49:13 51:8,11	
identity 82:23	34:18 36:2	25:14,18 28:10	51:13,24 52:9	
idiot 79:6,6,13	77:9,10	31:21 36:22,23	52:14,23 53:5	
79:15	include 7:9	38:3 43:7	62:8 87:6,8	
ignored 93:21	61:14 64:22	58:18 60:12	100:5,8 101:4	
illicitly 50:1	81:13 125:7	67:3 81:11	101:19,21	
imagine 104:25	134:12 138:18	84:1 85:12,21	103:20,25	
immediately	142:25	96:1 98:20	104:22 105:3	
56:5 89:10	included 24:2	101:11 106:18	105:18,22	
102:23	63:8 127:1	114:21 115:19	107:16,21	
impeached	includes	117:16 119:11	111:12,18,20	
72:16	135:11 136:14	119:13 124:9	112:4 113:12	
implementing	136:24	132:18 139:10	114:11,16	
72:1	including 19:3	individual	135:1 136:8,12	
important 14:2	48:7 52:11	75:18 130:24	139:7,18	
21:25 33:19	56:20,24	individuals	142:16	
34:1,16 40:19	income 107:6	71:14,18	injunctions	
42:14,21 44:7	108:6	infer 35:18	112:7,8 140:20	
54:20,25 56:10	incomplete	information	inputs 68:19	
58:14,22 60:5	23:21	12:15 23:19,21	inquiry 141:24	
60:5,18 71:13	increased 40:5	23:25 38:16	insane 105:10	
76:3 88:20	40:8	44:19 50:16	106:2	
101:11 119:11	incredible 8:10	60:3 67:23	insanity 105:8	
121:25 124:15	8:13 139:5	118:24 126:6,8	114:5	
125:5,6 130:2		129:22 137:15		
	1	1	1	

[insight - judge]

Page 24

insight 72:12	invoked 93:20	14:4 39:13	79:21 80:17
insinuating	involved 11:14	73:13,17 74:3	81:12,13 82:10
85:16	14:23	74:14 119:4	83:11 84:12,12
insist 143:14	irreparable	130:24 145:25	84:20 85:4,10
instance 14:4	47:12,17	jason 10:24	85:16 86:9,12
41:20 138:4	107:18,25	33:14 34:9	86:18,20,25
instructing	109:21 112:3	56:14,21,23,23	87:20 88:4,6,9
36:21	112:11 114:7	57:3 58:10	88:14,24 89:19
integrated	139:15,21,22	59:1,13 60:11	89:21,23 90:21
14:15	140:18,19,24	60:14,22 67:16	91:2,8,9,12,16
intended 110:2	141:20	73:15 97:4	92:1,2,4,10,16
intent 107:19	issue 9:24	123:25 126:16	92:24 93:12
interaction	11:19 12:8	jason's 34:13	94:11,12,17
64:2	23:12 25:13	56:17 57:1	95:3,11,16,24
interested	26:21 27:5	jen 6:1	96:5,11 97:1,9
18:10 28:21	28:18 35:10	jessica 4:12	97:20,21,23
29:4	44:7 52:1	job 36:19	98:13 99:11,23
interferes 5:19	55:18 57:23	38:21,25 110:1	100:4,22
interim 48:22	64:16 70:4	joint 83:18	101:25 102:8
intermediary	77:7 80:21	judge 2:3 5:24	102:12,16,19
59:8 60:7	104:7 107:20	54:5 55:20,25	103:9,11,20,24
internal 17:13	111:16 113:21	56:13,17 57:12	104:5,15 106:4
90:8,24	128:7 132:6	58:14,20 60:2	106:12,23
interrupt 18:7	136:17,22	60:4,18 61:1,2	107:12,13,15
introduced	issued 60:22	61:9,22,25	107:24 108:2
9:25	issues 44:17	62:5,18,25	108:11,13,22
investing	52:11 101:12	63:5,21 64:5	108:22 109:2
125:17 126:3	it'd 100:2	64:12,21,22	109:10,16,20
129:21	items 10:8	65:8,20 66:5	110:4 111:3,11
investment	i'm 85:15 89:2	66:13,16,19	111:18 112:12
17:22 41:23,25	102:24 132:11	68:6,12,15	113:17,24
117:25 134:2	j	69:10 70:7,12	114:2,7,14,23
investors 76:5	jackson 51:15	70:15,20,24	114:25 115:6
76:6	jacobo 29:5	71:12 74:2,20	115:10,16,20
invoke 92:18	52:4	75:7,10,22	116:2 122:2
93:8 125:21	january 1:22	76:3,14,22	136:16 139:3
	9:17 12:22	77:12 79:1,16	139:13,20

[judge - know] Page 25

140:7,14,23	56:5,7,15,21	119:18 121:10	28:12,17,19
141:2,18	56:22,24 57:11	123:19,25	30:18 32:10
142:11,13,22	57:18,19 58:24	124:15,15,19	34:20,20 35:17
143:4,19,21	59:2,5,7,7,8,9	124:19,22	38:4,5 42:25
144:1	59:10,12,13,18	125:1,2,15,24	44:10 45:12,16
judgment 6:23	59:19,20,22	126:4,14,15	45:20 50:19,19
51:24 104:9	60:7,10,12,13	128:24 131:11	50:21 52:16
112:9	60:15 61:7	133:1	55:9,13,15,16
jump 61:22	62:3,12,22,23	keyfi's 77:19	61:25,25 62:15
88:20	63:2,15 64:25	keys 36:22	66:10 67:18
junction 52:7	65:5,20,24	70:14 119:8	68:23 69:3,6
jurisdiction	66:1,11,20	kind 24:6	69:17 74:20
28:8 47:17	67:12,17 68:9	25:10 32:23	75:19 76:7
51:4 111:17	68:11,17,24,25	44:2 58:22	79:14,16 81:2
113:10 140:18	69:8,15 70:6	83:7 104:12	83:12,16 85:22
jury 61:25	70:10 71:3,6,8	105:3 110:15	86:14 87:7,25
72:25 84:12	71:20,21,23,23	131:18 137:4	88:13 91:8
justification	71:24 72:4,5,6	kinds 41:22	94:4,16 95:22
94:1	72:9,9,10,11	kingdom 112:8	96:19,20 97:21
justifies 51:13	72:15,17,18,21	140:19	98:8,10,12,19
justify 94:4	72:25 74:3,4,5	knew 78:18,21	99:1,7,8,22
k	74:8,9,10,11	know 8:4 9:25	100:1,4,22
karen 2:5	74:11,15,16	10:1,20 11:14	101:8,12,23
keep 39:7 84:3	77:15,17 78:1	11:15,17,18,20	102:12 104:5
88:20 109:21	83:23 87:4,25	11:23 12:4,6	106:16 108:8
112:1,25	87:25 88:2,15	13:16,17,17	109:3 110:7,17
113:12 130:12	88:16 89:4,5,7	14:18,24,25	110:19,23
keeps 114:11	89:8,10,17,18	17:23 18:7,9	111:1,19 112:5
kept 35:21	90:1,1,5,6,6,10	18:13,14 19:25	112:18 113:6
86:15 129:23	90:11,11,18,24	20:6,24 21:6	116:7 118:18
key 42:12	91:15,18 92:6	21:18,20 22:7	119:9 120:25
58:11	92:11,13,17,19	22:24 23:6,21	121:4,22 122:6
keyfi 4:15 8:16	93:4,6,9,13,15	24:5,12,14,15	125:13 126:7
13:24 14:8,10	94:5 95:8	25:5,6,8 26:3	127:18,23
17:9,9,13	100:20 105:20	26:10,11,14,16	129:6,8 130:3
39:12 45:23,23	105:22 118:4	26:23,25 27:3	130:13,14
46:22 47:3,8	119:2,3,9,15	27:7 28:3,3,10	132:10,11,12

[know - look] Page 26

135:23 136:2	lawyer 139:25	likelihood 62:8	live 143:3
136:13 137:18	lawyers 5:17	79:3 87:11,16	lives 110:14
138:1,22	49:7,12 50:3	101:5 113:18	lizzy 6:6
139:25 140:1	105:24 127:15	113:20,23	llc 1:8
140:10 141:11	132:6	139:5 140:3,16	llp 4:3
141:13,15,17	lead 123:22	likely 49:1	loan 10:24
142:7	leave 141:7	104:20 111:6	lobbied 82:20
knowing 49:7	ledanski 3:25	132:17,17	lobby 82:23
128:25	145:3,8	limited 1:12	83:14
knows 37:22	ledger 65:9	3:2 4:4 9:18	lobbying 82:18
38:5 52:21	73:22 76:19	56:20 69:13	locate 77:4
73:18 110:12	91:15 92:8	88:18 90:2	long 5:9,11 7:9
130:3,13	121:9,20,22	105:3 134:15	18:2 28:14
kyle 4:14,19	left 12:19	limits 106:21	36:10 74:17
6:9	31:18 34:18	line 80:4 92:3,3	77:24 82:18
1	36:2 54:17	92:4,21 93:12	94:18
labor 84:1	83:24 139:1	95:7,9,11 98:5	look 9:25 11:5
lack 107:16	legal 48:7	121:9	17:3,7 20:8
laid 121:13	49:19 50:7	lines 92:21	23:6,22 28:11
language 42:3	84:11 104:7	liquidation	30:16,16 35:5
63:8,10 66:10	106:10,15	81:19	43:23 44:1
largest 78:4	111:13 145:20	liquidity 75:17	45:11 50:18
lasted 72:18	legitimate	list 77:24	56:7 60:25
lasting 56:9	110:9	listed 36:16	62:14,14 78:24
late 45:4,4	lengthy 125:10	literally 49:5	79:21 80:2
111:13 137:8	letter 8:9 22:9	75:15 77:23	85:12 92:5
laugh 46:5	35:11 36:20	79:9 82:16,21	94:21 95:7
launch 54:6	37:4 39:5,6	litigant 107:17	96:20 98:8
law 25:12	55:22 56:3,12	litigation 18:23	99:23 103:14
48:11 49:16	56:13 60:22	103:18 104:22	106:12 113:5
51:12 95:23	61:6 81:3	little 5:13	114:2 115:12
96:4 102:1,19	116:18 118:7,7	15:20 21:1	122:13 124:5,6
108:23 124:3	142:1	23:15 24:18	125:12,18
140:18	liability 56:21	25:24 48:9	126:25 129:2
lawsuit 11:15	licensed 93:11	57:6 88:13	130:21 134:3
69:21	lied 75:12,13	92:2	135:20 138:17
07.41			142:1 143:14

L			
looked 22:9	55:10 60:14	19:11 21:1	malicious
28:11 39:5	63:3 70:10,12	24:22 30:18	81:18,19
65:9 73:22	71:7 73:10	38:22 39:21	man 16:19
75:16 137:1	74:13,14 77:20	49:14 51:1	29:13,21,23
138:20	78:16 91:22	53:20 55:8,11	65:15 72:1
looking 21:9	99:21 103:19	58:12 62:11	117:9,16
28:22 42:21	114:1 120:5	63:12 70:5,9	manage 112:14
61:1 73:2,16	121:9 129:13	71:9 73:14	112:22 113:13
118:17 119:12	130:5 132:22	77:16 78:13	managed 65:5
135:9 138:3	133:1 135:20	79:3 80:3 81:8	65:20
looks 122:25	136:25 137:3	82:20 90:10	management
138:5	138:6,7,7	92:20 94:19,20	118:1,2
lose 31:19	madison 4:16	95:4 97:5	managing
loss 17:14	mail 31:11,17	100:21 103:17	74:16 99:18
81:23 82:4,5,9	33:2,10 34:18	109:24 112:10	107:4
82:14 90:8,10	35:19 56:20	113:25 116:8	mannon 4:12
90:15,19,23,25	65:10 73:8,9	116:13,16	march 7:10 8:5
91:6,25 92:15	73:13 77:9,10	122:10 123:20	8:6,8 21:21,24
130:7	98:21,22	125:7 126:10	22:1,4,9,10,11
losses 127:13	116:23 117:24	131:16,19	22:17,19 23:9
lot 11:10 18:8	118:2 120:23	132:3 134:9	36:20,24 37:1
23:10 27:7	mailed 35:24	137:14,23	37:3 39:5,6
58:15,20 60:15	mails 31:12,16	138:24 139:4	45:4 56:20
75:8 79:5	33:3,5,6,14,18	142:5	60:23 61:1,5,6
85:10,11 91:20	34:5,9,13,22	maker 41:17	81:5 116:15,21
99:23 110:8,23	35:15 36:2	makes 16:1	116:23,25
112:5 116:6	77:11 120:21	17:19 24:8	117:4,6,24
122:9 136:9	main 121:12	45:1 48:2	118:2,13,20,20
lp 28:24	maintain 53:6	61:20 125:16	130:25
lunch 142:20	71:9	126:1 141:22	mareva 112:8
m	maintaining	making 9:22	140:20
made 8:15 11:8	16:1	41:19 44:25	market 39:24
14:13,14 22:11	major 109:18	51:10 52:1	41:17,19
23:11 30:17	majority 38:20	53:17 72:2	marketing
39:23 45:25	38:20 88:15	78:14 95:13	28:24
47:21 51:6	make 5:24	101:15 102:11	marketxt
53:2 54:12,12	11:24 18:23,24	128:25	49:20
1	1	1	1

martin 2:2	matters 94:16	merit 130:11	65:4,5 74:3
mashinsky	94:18 97:24	merits 18:20	76:5 108:17
7:17,21 8:20	mean 7:16 10:9	18:22 55:2	111:6 128:23
9:8 12:7 13:19	12:23 13:6,8	61:23 62:9,13	136:5
13:20 19:9,15	13:11 15:6,9	79:4 87:12	mind 11:20
31:9,9 32:20	20:4 22:22	100:6 101:5	30:17 72:13
32:24,24 45:2	23:6 30:7	103:17 105:14	73:17 79:2
56:4 58:1,5,8	35:19 41:6,20	113:18,21,24	88:10,20 94:8
62:9,16,20	44:8 45:2,15	139:6 140:4,16	133:10
65:1,9,11 66:1	45:17 46:7,19	message 35:19	mindset 71:14
70:3 71:13,15	67:7 70:15	98:23 99:1	71:18
71:19,22 72:6	71:12 75:9,12	messages 34:24	mineola 145:23
72:13,17,20,22	76:3 78:10	34:25 35:3,16	mingled 86:22
72:22 73:8,10	79:10 84:6	met 52:7	minute 22:16
73:13 74:24	96:7 98:13	mg 1:3,4 3:1	42:8 53:10,12
75:3,5,6,8,12	99:11,15,22	miller 47:14	58:8,13 61:20
75:21,24 76:4	105:16 110:21	million 13:10	70:6 71:12
76:15,16 77:13	114:5 118:23	13:13 19:22	86:18 87:20
78:10 79:17,23	123:9,14	21:23 22:3,17	107:18 122:9
87:2,22 91:18	127:22 129:10	23:7,9 26:17	136:18
98:7,9 99:2,20	129:18 132:21	26:19,19 27:3	misrepresent
113:19,22	134:12 142:19	27:3,4 35:23	138:24
127:16 128:10	meaning 59:12	37:2,16 38:18	missing 50:15
128:22	59:18 72:1	59:15 73:24,24	87:14
mashinsky's	88:15 107:3	73:25,25 74:1	misstated 87:9
19:17 69:25	means 25:2	76:8 81:14	116:13
72:13 73:17	44:12 90:6	82:15,16 92:7	misunderstood
massive 76:4	124:15	94:25 96:15,22	48:9,18
127:12 130:7	meant 119:22	97:6,14 98:11	mitch 4:9 6:4
material 90:4	120:18	99:10,23 104:6	6:16 116:5
136:14	meet 13:10	104:18,19,21	mm 16:22
matter 1:6	19:3	108:14 109:7	26:13 133:8
14:21 49:18	memory 22:23	132:22 133:18	modification
84:11 95:21	mentioned	135:24 142:23	14:19
98:21 111:13	49:4	millions 15:3	modifications
111:20 126:17	merely 10:12	19:6,7 39:7	14:16
142:10 143:13	51:25	47:22 61:12	

[moment - non] Page 29

moment 50:11 morning 6:8 need 5:24 65:9 76:18 79:19 90:12 70:11 71:17 77:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 17:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 17:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 127:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 127:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 127:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 127:18 123:14 126:7 126:19 127:18 123:12 12 122:11 126:8 129:10 13:18		I		
58:6 91:10 motion 3:3,9 26:10,10 49:11 96:19,19,20 108:11 116:10 18:21 35:10 44:7 49:6 62:1 71:17 87:17,18 123:14 126:7 44:10,11 51:15 101:19 102:21 88:9 92:1 126:19 127:18 51:17,20 54:19 movant 47:16 103:12,12 128:14,17,20 62:23,23 63:3 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 12:24 129:22 13:21:24 69:18,70:25 movant 47:16 103:12,12 128:14,17,20 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 move 113:13 129:20 137:15 129:20 137:15 80:7,15 83:17 moved 105:4 69:3 126:8 needed 18:21 needed 18:21 needs 30:14,25 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 movement 52:2 108:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 multiple 75:13 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 102:17 128:25 130:8,13,14 n 4:15:1 145:1 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 126:17 128:25 nail 13:4 name 53:19 negotiate 77:16,19,19 78:22 79:6,18 49:24 nonths	moment 50:11	morning 6:8	need 5:24	65:9 76:18
108:11 116:10 18:21 35:10 50:23 57:24 116:15 123:13 money 12:3,10 44:7 49:6 62:1 71:17 87:17,18 123:14 126:7 44:10,11 51:15 101:19 102:21 88:9 92:1 126:19 127:18 51:17,20 54:19 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 128:14,17,20 62:23,23 63:3 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 129:20 137:15 129:20 137:15 69:18 70:25 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 129:20 137:15 41:18 80:7,15 83:17 moved 105:4 107:2 69:3 126:8 nevertheless 79:23 101:1,13 102:9 105:10 moved 105:4 106:9 3126:8 nedd 18:21 nft 77:22 105:11 106:20 52:2 mulling 133:10 nultiple 75:13 nedds 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 nulling 133:10 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 negotiate 77:16,19,19 132:24 140:5 named 118:1 names 83:13 nature 45:9 net 21:9 63:15 nobody's 64:25 65:3,4,16,17 73:11 13:3	54:25 55:1	36:9 54:2	13:20 18:24	79:19 90:18
money 12:3,10 44:7 49:6 62:1 71:17 87:17,18 123:14 126:7 44:10,11 51:15 51:17,20 54:19 49:19 51:23,25 88:9 92:1 126:19 127:18 62:23,23 63:3 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 129:22 69:18 70:25 movant's 122:1 126:8 129:22 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 129:20 137:15 41:18 80:7,15 83:17 move 113:13 140:19 143:8 new 1:2,20 4:7 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 needed 18:21 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 multiple 75:13 multiple 75:13 mute 5:19 nearious 110:7 142:24 142:9 nonsanto 49:24 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 negotiate 30:11 79:19 104:18 86:3,4,16,17 73:21 138:8 monthy 42:24 nail 13:4 nees 53:19 117:14 143:22 neither 99:11 79:19 104:18 80:12 82:18 nearly 28:16 necessarily 3:13 4:4 13:24 necessarily 3:14:13 17:18 128:16;18 129:27 138:20 142:19 necessary <td>58:6 91:10</td> <td>motion 3:3,9</td> <td>26:10,10 49:11</td> <td>96:19,19,20</td>	58:6 91:10	motion 3:3,9	26:10,10 49:11	96:19,19,20
44:Î0,11 51:15 101:19 102:21 88:9 92:1 126:19 127:18 51:17,20 54:19 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 129:22 62:23,23 63:3 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 129:22 69:18 70:25 movant's 129:20 137:15 129:22 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 129:20 137:15 41:18 80:7,15 83:17 move 113:13 140:19 143:8 nevertheless 49:22 105:10 moved 105:4 67:23 68:19 nevertheless 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 multiple 75:13 nefarious 110:7 nfts 21:14 22:4 142:9 nultiple 75:13 nultiple 75:13 nefarious 30:11 77:16,19,19 132:24 140:5 numbt 5:19 110:7 negotiate 30:11 79:19 104:18 49:24 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 nether 99:11 79:19 104:18 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 63:16 64:24 network 1:8,12 3:14:41 3:24 72:36,9,10,12	108:11 116:10	18:21 35:10	50:23 57:24	116:15 123:13
51:17,20 54:19 movant 47:16 103:12,12 128:14,17,20 62:23,23 63:3 d9:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 129:22 nevertheless 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 move 113:13 129:20 137:15 41:18 80:7,15 83:17 move 113:13 140:19 143:8 new 1:2,20 4:7 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 needed 18:21 69:3 126:8 new 1:2,20 4:7 105:11 106:20 52:2 multiple 75:13 movement 60:19 117:15 142:24 130:8,13,14 mute 5:19 nefarious 110:7 negotiate 142:24 n 49:24 negotiate 33:11 79:19 104:18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 n 4:15:1 145:1 negotiations 34:6 neither 99:11 79:19 104:18 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 63:16 64:24 network 1:8,12 35:16 64:24 network 1:8,12 35:7 65:13,14 72:36,9,10,12 72:14,21 73:5 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 69:18 74:6 87:17 131:3 135:12 124:1	money 12:3,10	44:7 49:6 62:1	71:17 87:17,18	123:14 126:7
62:23,23 63:3 49:19 51:23,25 112:3 121:24 129:22 69:18 70:25 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 129:20 137:15 41:18 80:7,15 83:17 83:17 84:16,17 142:14 needed 18:21 4:17 94:10 96:2,7 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 needs 30:14,25 108:14,25 102:9 105:10 movement 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 60:19 117:15 142:24 114:6 124:1 multiple 75:13 neds 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 126:17 128:25 130:8,13,14 nautiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 name 53:19 110:7 7:20 76:21 142:9 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 neither 99:11 79:19 104:18 65:3,4,16,17 name 83:13 nationally 99:12 network 1:8,12 109:12 43:13 name 45:9 nearly 28:16 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 69:18 74:6 106:1 124:16 125:1 124:16 125:1 73:7 78:16,18 <	44:10,11 51:15	101:19 102:21	88:9 92:1	126:19 127:18
69:18 70:25 movant's 47:15 movant's 129:20 137:15 nevertheless 75:3,4 77:18 47:15 move 113:13 140:19 143:8 new 1:2,20 4:7 83:17 84:16,17 moved 105:4 67:23 68:19 4:17 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 105:11 106:20 52:2 60:19 117:15 109:8 136:1 114:6 124:1 mulling 133:10 neds 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 neds 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 130:8,13,14 132:24 140:5 110:7 76:20 76:21 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 name 53:19 110:7 75:16,19,19 132:24 138:8 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 nether 99:11 79:19 104:18 43:13 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 nether 99:11 99:12 nobody's 64:25 69:18 74:6 106:1 106:1 124:16 125:1 124:16 125:1 124:16 125:1 83:15 105:4 106:1 106:1 124:16 125:1 72:14,21 73:5 124:16 125:1	51:17,20 54:19	movant 47:16	103:12,12	128:14,17,20
75:3,4 77:18 47:15 129:20 137:15 41:18 80:7,15 83:17 move 113:13 140:19 143:8 new 1:2,20 4:7 94:10 96:2,7 moved 105:4 67:23 68:19 nft 77:22 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 102:9 105:10 movement 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 105:11 106:20 52:2 mulling 133:10 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 nute 5:19 110:7 50:20 76:21 monsanto 49:24 name 53:19 110:7 75:22 79:6,18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 name 53:19 30:11 78:22 79:6,18 monthy 42:24 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 nether 99:11 99:12 nobody's 64:25 43:13 nationally 93:11 nature 45:9 nework 1:8,12 31:4:413:24 17:16,18,19 49:24 nearly 28:16 106:1 17:9 88:18 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 80:12 82:18 83:15 1	62:23,23 63:3	49:19 51:23,25	112:3 121:24	129:22
80:7,15 83:17 move 113:13 140:19 143:8 needed 18:21 new 1:2,20 4:7 94:10 96:2,7 moved 105:4 67:23 68:19 108:14,25 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 102:9 105:10 movement 52:2 60:19 117:15 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 multiple 75:13 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 130:8,13,14 mute 5:19 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 negotiate 77:16,19,19 30:11 78:22 79:6,18 117:14 143:22 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 132:21 138:8 namonthly 42:24 names 83:13 nationally 34:6 night 10:8 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 106:1 31:4:4 13:24 17:9 88:18 19:16,18 34:5 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 necessarily 15:11,31 7:18 106:11 15:11,31 7:18 108:14,25 136:9 notody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 nolan 15:9 </td <td>69:18 70:25</td> <td>movant's</td> <td>122:1 126:8</td> <td>nevertheless</td>	69:18 70:25	movant's	122:1 126:8	nevertheless
83:17 84:16,17 94:10 96:2,7 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 moved 105:4 102:9 105:10 105:11 106:20 15:12 105:11 106:20 105:11 106:20 1126:17 128:25 136:13,14 65:22 monthly 42:24 43:13 months 27:16 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 142:14 moved 105:4 67:23 68:19 net 67:23 68:19 net 31:26:8 nowement needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 142:24 109:8 136:1 142:24 net 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 142:24 net 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 142:24 net 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 142:24 nfts 21:14 22:4 nfts 21:14 22:14 nfts 21:1	75:3,4 77:18	47:15	129:20 137:15	41:18
94:10 96:2,7 moved 105:4 67:23 68:19 nft 77:22 99:23 101:1,13 107:2 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 102:9 105:10 movement needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 60:19 117:15 142:24 114:6 124:1 multiple 75:13 needs 30:14,25 nfts 21:14 22:4 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 n 10:7 negotiate 77:16,19,19 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 30:11 78:22 79:6,18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 30:11 79:19 104:18 monthly 42:24 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 netther 99:11 99:12 monthly 42:24 nationally 93:11 network 1:8,12 19:16,18 34:5 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 106:1 17:9 88:18 19:16,18 34:5 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 106:1 124:16 125:1 128:16,18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 135:12 28:7 45:15,16 47:14,16 49:19	80:7,15 83:17	move 113:13	140:19 143:8	new 1:2,20 4:7
99:23 101:1,13 107:2 movement 69:3 126:8 108:14,25 102:9 105:10 52:2 60:19 117:15 109:8 136:1 114:6 124:1 mulling 133:10 136:9 nfts 21:14 22:4 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 nute 5:19 110:7 negotiate 37:4,6 38:13 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 negotiate 77:16,19,19 78:22 79:6,18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 neither 99:11 99:12 neither 99:11 79:19 104:18 monthly 42:24 43:13 nationally 93:11 network 1:8,12 35:14:4 13:24 nobody's 64:25 69:18 74:6 106:1 necessarily 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 80:12 82:18 135:12 necessary 15:12 50:6 15:17,52:17 47:14,16 49:19 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	83:17 84:16,17	142:14	needed 18:21	4:17
102:9 105:10 movement needs 30:14,25 109:8 136:1 105:11 106:20 52:2 60:19 117:15 142:24 114:6 124:1 mulling 133:10 nfts 21:14 22:4 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 nute 5:19 110:7 50:20 76:21 132:24 140:5 n 110:7 negotiate 77:16,19,19 142:9 nail 13:4 negotiations 34:6 neither 99:11 78:22 79:6,18 79:19 104:18 night 10:8 27:22 85:18 nilly 97:3 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:10:18 15:11:11:11 15:11:13:17:18 124:16:125:1 73:7 78:16;18 106:1 necessarily 15:12:50:6 15:17:52:17 15:11:13:17:18 100:13:17:11 15:11:13:17:18 128:16;18 100:13:17:11 15:11:13:17:18 100:13:17:11 15:11:13:17:18 100:13:17:11 15:11:13:17:18 100:13:17:11 15:11:13:17:18 15:12:1	94:10 96:2,7	moved 105:4	67:23 68:19	nft 77:22
105:11 106:20 52:2 60:19 117:15 142:24 114:6 124:1 mulling 133:10 nfts 21:14 22:4 130:8,13,14 mute 5:19 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 132:24 140:5 n negotiate 37:16,19,19 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 negotiate 77:16,19,19 monsanto 49:24 name 53:19 negotiations 34:6 neither 99:11 negotiations 34:6 neither 99:11 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 monthly 42:24 nationally 93:11 nature 45:9 network 1:8,12 35:16,64:24 nolan 15:9 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 35:13,14 65:22 35:14:413:24 17:9 88:18 19:16,18 34:5 16:13,14 72:3,6,9,10,12 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 73:7 78:16,18 73:17 78:16,18 73:1	99:23 101:1,13	107:2	69:3 126:8	108:14,25
114:6 124:1 mulling 133:10 136:9 nfts 21:14 22:4 126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 mute 5:19 10:7 7:16,19,19 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 negotiate 30:11 78:22 79:6,18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 73:21 138:8 name 53:19 117:14 143:22 neither 99:11 99:12 neither 99:11 99:12 nobody's 64:25 monthly 42:24 43:13 nationally 93:11 31:4:4 13:24 17:9 88:18 19:16,18 34:5 35:13,14 65:22 69:18 74:6 106:1 31:4:4 13:24 17:9 88:18 79:19 104:18 80:12 82:18 nature 45:9 124:16 125:1 72:14,21 73:5 35:7 65:13,14 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 106:1 124:16 125:1 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 135:12 124:16 125:1 124:16 125:1 73:7 78:16,18	102:9 105:10	movement	needs 30:14,25	109:8 136:1
126:17 128:25 multiple 75:13 nefarious 37:4,6 38:13 130:8,13,14 mute 5:19 10:7 negotiate 77:16,19,19 142:9 n 4:1 5:1 145:1 negotiatons 30:11 78:22 79:6,18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 73:21 138:8 nemothly 42:24 17:14 143:22 nether 99:11 99:12 nilly 97:3 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 33:14:4 13:24 network 1:8,12 19:16,18 34:5 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 106:1 17:9 88:18 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 135:12 necessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 never 11:11,17 15:11,13 17:18 non 33:17 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	105:11 106:20	52:2	60:19 117:15	142:24
130:8,13,14 mute 5:19 110:7 50:20 76:21 77:16,19,19 78:22 79:6,18 77:16,19,19 78:22 79:6,18 79:19 104:18 79:19 104:18 79:19 104:18 79:19 104:18 night 10:8 79:19 104:18 night 10:8 79:22 85:18 nilly 97:3 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 19:16,18 34:5 17:19 88:18 79:19 104:18 nilly 97:3 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 19:16,18 34:5 nolan 15:9 19:16,18 34:5 19:	114:6 124:1	mulling 133:10	136:9	nfts 21:14 22:4
132:24 140:5 n negotiate 77:16,19,19 78:22 79:6,18 monsanto 49:24 n ail 13:4 name 53:19 neither 99:11 79:19 104:18 night 10:8 27:22 85:18 nilly 97:3 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 nolan 15:9 19:16,18 34:5 <th< td=""><td>126:17 128:25</td><td>multiple 75:13</td><td>nefarious</td><td>37:4,6 38:13</td></th<>	126:17 128:25	multiple 75:13	nefarious	37:4,6 38:13
142:9 monsanto 49:24 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 117:14 143:22 73:21 138:8 named 118:1 names 83:13 nationally 93:11 35:13,14 65:22 nationally 69:18 74:6 106:1 80:12 82:18 necessarily 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 11:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 30:11 negotiations 34:6 neither 99:11 99:12 neither 99:11 99:12 network 1:8,12 31:1 4:4 13:24 nobody's 64:25 106:1 17:9 88:18 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 106:1 124:16 125:1 124:16 125:1 128:16,18 128:16,18 128:16,18 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 138:20 142:19 15:17,52:17 138:20 142:19 15:17,52:17	130:8,13,14	mute 5:19	110:7	50:20 76:21
monsanto 49:24 nail 13:4 name 53:19 negotiations 79:19 104:18 month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 name 53:19 neither 99:11 night 10:8 27:22 85:18 night 10:8 27:22 85:18 nilly 97:3 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 19:16,18 34:5	132:24 140:5	n	negotiate	77:16,19,19
monsanto 49:24 nail 13:4 negotiations 79:19 104:18 month 56:8 name 53:19 neither 99:11 nilly 97:3 monthly 42:24 names 83:13 net 21:9 63:15 nobody's 64:25 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 nature 45:9 nearly 28:16 35:14:4 13:24 17:9 88:18 90:2 119:19 19:16,18 34:5 80:12 82:18 nocessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 necessarily 124:16 125:1 non 33:17 11:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	142:9	n 4·1 5·1 145·1	30:11	78:22 79:6,18
49:24 name 53:19 34:6 night 10:8 65:3,4,16,17 73:21 138:8 named 118:1 99:12 nilly 97:3 monthly 42:24 names 83:13 nationally 93:11 nature 45:9 network 1:8,12 19:16,18 34:5 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 15:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 106:1 17:9 88:18 72:3,6,9,10,12 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 73:7 78:16,18 124:16 125:1 73:7 78:16,18 128:16,18 128:16,18 128:16,18 15:11,13 17:18 15:11,13 17:18 15:11,13 17:18 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	monsanto		negotiations	79:19 104:18
month 56:8 65:3,4,16,17 named 118:1 73:21 138:8 named 118:1 monthly 42:24 43:13 nationally 93:11 nature 45:9 106:1 necessarily 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 117:14 143:22 neither 99:11 99:12 nilly 97:3 nobody's 64:25 nolan 15:9 19:16,18 34:5 35:7 65:13,14 17:9 88:18 72:3,6,9,10,12 124:16 125:1 72:14,21 73:5 135:12 15:11,13 17:18 136:23 137:6,6 28:7 45:15,16 138:20 142:19 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 90:15 125:21	49:24		34:6	night 10:8
65:3,4,16,17 73:21 138:8 named 118:1 names 83:13 net 21:9 63:15 nobody's 64:25 monthly 42:24 43:13 nationally 93:11 network 1:8,12 19:16,18 34:5 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 35:14:4 13:24 17:9 88:18 72:3,6,9,10,12 69:18 74:6 106:1 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 80:12 82:18 necessarily 73:7 78:16,18 107:2 109:12 11:1,5,25 135:12 135:12 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 51:7 52:17 90:15 125:21	month 56:8		neither 99:11	27:22 85:18
73:21 138:8 monthly 42:24 43:13 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 69:18 74:6 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 names 83:13 nationally 93:11 nature 45:9 nearly 28:16 106:1 necessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 necessary 15:12 50:6 net 21:9 63:15 63:16 64:24 network 1:8,12 3:1 4:4 13:24 17:9 88:18 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 newer 11:11,17 15:11,13 17:18 128:16,18 non 33:17 47:14,16 49:19 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	65:3,4,16,17		99:12	nilly 97:3
monthly 42:24 nationally 93:11 network 1:8,12 19:16,18 34:5 months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 17:9 88:18 35:7 65:13,14 72:3,6,9,10,12 69:18 74:6 106:1 necessarily 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 83:15 105:4 necessarily 87:17 131:3 15:11,13 17:18 non 33:17 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	73:21 138:8		net 21:9 63:15	nobody's 64:25
43:13 93:11 nature 45:9 19:16,18 34:5 35:13,14 65:22 nearly 28:16 17:9 88:18 72:3,6,9,10,12 69:18 74:6 106:1 90:2 119:19 72:14,21 73:5 83:15 105:4 necessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 15:11,13 17:18 non 33:17 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 51:7 52:17 99:15 125:21	monthly 42:24		63:16 64:24	nolan 15:9
months 27:16 35:13,14 65:22 nature 45:9 69:18 74:6 nearly 28:16 106:1 106:1 necessarily 87:17 131:3 107:2 109:12 135:12 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 3:1 4:4 13:24 35:7 65:13,14 72:3,6,9,10,12 72:14,21 73:5 73:7 78:16,18 128:16,18 15:11,13 17:18 non 28:7 45:15,16 47:14,16 49:19 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 51:7 52:17 99:15 125:21	43:13		network 1:8,12	19:16,18 34:5
35:13,14 65:22 69:18 74:6 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 nearly 28:16 106:1 necessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 necessary 15:12 50:6 17:9 88:18 90:2 119:19 124:16 125:1 never 11:11,17 15:11,13 17:18 28:7 45:15,16 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7	months 27:16		3:1 4:4 13:24	35:7 65:13,14
69:18 74:6 80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 106:1 necessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 necessary 15:12 50:6 106:1 106:1 106:1 106:1 124:16 125:1 128:16,18 128:16,				72:3,6,9,10,12
80:12 82:18 83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 necessarily 87:17 131:3 135:12 necessary 15:12 50:6 124:16 125:1 never 11:11,17 15:11,13 17:18 28:7 45:15,16 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21				-
83:15 105:4 107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 87:17 131:3 135:12 never 11:11,17 15:11,13 17:18 non 33:17 47:14,16 49:19 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	80:12 82:18		124:16 125:1	73:7 78:16,18
107:2 109:12 111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 135:12 50:6 135:12 50:6 15:11,13 17:18 non 33:17 47:14,16 49:19 51:25 93:7,7			-	-
111:1,5,25 136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 28:7 45:15,16 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7 99:15 125:21	107:2 109:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	non 33:17
136:23 137:6,6 138:20 142:19 15:12 50:6 46:11 47:1,5 51:25 93:7,7				-
138.90.149.10 $51.7.59.17$ $99.15.195.91$	ĺ		46:11 47:1,5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	129.20 1/2.10		51:7 52:17	99:15 125:21

noncomplian			
noncompnan	obligated	141:4	80:22 84:3
26:5	59:13 89:17	offering	86:25 88:12,25
nonsense 96:24	92:20	114:10	91:4 93:3,22
normand 54:3	obligation	officer 56:23	94:1,7 95:13
note 49:13 63:5	17:20 92:12	90:12 95:17,17	95:14 96:16
95:13	93:14 96:8	95:19	97:17 99:6
noted 106:9	97:5,12,14	officers 56:22	100:14,18,21
notes 108:2	99:13 113:25	oh 7:18 16:16	101:1,3,11
119:12	125:20 126:12	29:11 32:8	102:10 104:5
notice 35:9	obligations	43:11 67:5	106:23 109:1,9
118:3	97:9	84:3 96:3	118:5,15,20,22
notified 117:24	observe 113:6	100:22 110:7	118:25 120:4
notwithstand	obtained 25:4	130:19 131:6	122:4,8 124:17
40:25	50:2	136:21 143:12	124:23 125:4,8
november	obviously 18:1	okay 5:9 6:7,13	127:17 128:15
35:13 73:25	21:24 30:13	8:9,12,14	129:25 130:11
120:11	61:20 64:25	12:19,20 14:1	130:18 131:13
number 5:13	102:2 108:23	16:21,23 17:6	132:1,9,19
16:24 17:1	110:5 111:21	18:4,11,16,19	134:21 137:25
22:18 27:3	112:19 115:21	18:20 20:13,20	138:11,16
37:1,12 40:7	134:5 141:15	21:5,10,17	139:2 140:16
81:6 92:7	obx 138:18	22:15,21 23:3	141:25 142:3
95:12 96:15,16	occasions	25:19,24 26:19	143:16
98:11 119:16	47:23 75:13	26:22 29:15,25	old 145:21
numbers 7:9	occur 93:2	30:6 31:4,25	ones 129:21
22:16 36:12,13	115:17	32:8,8,14,17	open 11:6
numerous 54:9	occurred 36:10	37:22 38:1,13	42:18 80:4
76:11 77:1	54:21 60:25	39:9,16 40:2	88:8 113:9
ny 1:20 4:7,17	93:8,19 120:11	40:17,17,20	115:2 122:15
145:23	occurs 93:7	42:10,17 43:9	124:8
0	125:21	43:13 44:23,23	opened 78:2,5
o 2:1 5:1 145:1	october 14:5	44:25 46:1	94:12
oath 19:18	39:11 45:4	47:12 48:23	opening
143:15	73:25	49:3 51:19,21	105:21,21
object 102:3,5	ofac 110:5,21	55:6 57:21	106:4
objection	offered 8:18	63:3 68:13	openly 73:7
121:17	19:13,18 32:19	69:20 71:1	

operating 74:9	ought 136:12	119:25 120:1,6	papers 17:24
opportunity	outline 36:13	120:10,14,18	29:3 129:11
139:22	44:24	134:16,18,19	paragraph
opposed 9:8	outs 106:21	140:5	16:7 56:8
opposite 36:8	outset 47:14	р	115:3 117:18
49:16	overruled	p 4:1,1 5:1	117:24 122:18
options 50:21	121:17	p&l 15:13 16:1	124:17
oral 8:19 14:16	overwhelming	45:6,19,24	paragraphs
14:18 19:9,11	51:9	46:5,11,14,18	124:11
70:1	owed 54:18	46:23 47:9	parent 88:18
orally 13:19	62:23,23 63:3	59:22 66:4,12	99:4 109:3
19:22	64:25 69:18	66:23 67:17,21	park 4:5
order 24:25	77:18 92:10	67:22,24 68:17	part 9:7 11:1
26:2,4 30:9,10	127:5	68:18,19,20	15:11 30:21
30:20,24 32:6	own 6:21 31:6	69:4,9,14,17	36:13 40:6
48:18,25 49:25	32:20 35:1	74:18,20 75:2	45:12 51:10
50:25 51:1	45:1 46:12	92:2 93:17,18	52:20 59:11,17
62:5,5 69:3	58:11 59:13	123:14 125:15	60:9,14 87:14
100:19 101:6	117:25 125:3	125:14 125:15	93:25 143:14
101:17,17	140:4	126:19 128:14	particular 41:5
102:2,2,4,18	owned 59:6	p.a. 4:14	43:24 81:5
106:14 112:14	88:17 124:20	page 17:2,5	108:14
112:22 114:15	owner 92:13	43:6,7,8 88:6	particularly
114:16 117:8	owns 58:24	88:23 92:23	42:13 48:2
134:12 135:10	88:15	115:1,4,7,8,11	55:7
135:15,19	ox 107:13,14	pages 28:13	parties 11:9
141:4,7,22	108:2,3,20	115:14	24:22 30:10
142:6	109:2,5 112:15	paging 17:4	56:25 62:25
ordering	112:23,25	paid 12:24	106:13 117:12
100:19 142:6	118:1 134:21	13:24 37:13	124:20 126:3
orders 102:22	134:22 135:24	39:15 45:24	party 89:4
109:17 132:13	140:5 141:6	63:15 77:18	125:23
132:13	142:24	89:9 104:6	pass 46:5 119:8
organized 46:3	oxb 70:25 71:1	124:20 131:9	passing 106:9
original 10:25	71:4,6,7 77:18	131:10 132:7	past 18:2 47:24
originating	78:3 110:11	paper 91:1,5	52:13 56:19
109:23	119:1,6,7,14	98:17,20	67:15 136:23
	Veriteyt Leo		

[patience point]			
patience 38:22	91:22 110:8	personally	109:10 111:6
130:3	126:16	72:10 132:25	112:19 116:6
patrick 19:16	percent 12:21	perspective	plan 111:4
pause 5:4	40:6,22 74:12	46:25 134:12	planning
pay 8:24 9:12	92:6 95:8	persuade	117:25
10:3 13:14	121:10 141:23	97:16	platform 19:23
15:3 39:19	percentage	persuasive	27:16 41:19
49:12,19 50:3	45:3 88:1	139:24	playing 11:6
50:6 59:13	91:14	phase 5:16	please 18:9,17
79:18 89:9	perfect 28:4	24:5	25:15 56:11
90:13 92:12	perfectly 110:8	phone 35:1,2	58:18 108:12
93:14 96:8	performance	98:23,23	117:8,10
97:12 109:14	42:24 43:13	pick 88:4	plenary 33:24
112:17,23	45:10	picked 39:22	34:11 120:25
113:3,16	performed	picture 79:22	plus 109:8
114:12 125:1,3	74:4,5	piece 79:17	podium 137:10
131:24 132:24	period 6:22	91:1,5 98:17	point 8:4 9:2
133:3,5	9:18 10:2	119:16	16:3,6 17:8,12
paying 27:21	11:21 14:5,11	pieces 76:11	22:6 25:21
39:7 89:5,14	14:13 22:1	pivot 87:1,2	28:16 33:19
124:1	39:14 57:9,16	place 9:17 14:6	34:2 35:9
payment 39:15	66:4 73:22	39:13 60:21	38:23 40:15,15
43:21 74:15	81:4 117:2	74:2 100:23	40:19 42:14
79:17 83:4,15	128:10 130:12	101:18 103:3	44:4,4,4,13
84:25 89:11,18	130:24,25	103:10 131:16	54:20 59:25
92:20 93:3,7,7	132:3 135:20	141:10	60:18 65:6
93:8,19 94:19	138:8	places 108:19	68:14 70:8,18
97:6 122:19,23	permissible	plaintiff 1:13	70:19 73:2
122:24 123:9	55:13	6:3 93:9,19,21	75:10 79:1
125:21,21	permitted	plaintiff's	81:9 83:6
payments 14:9	99:14	64:10 110:1	84:14 87:5,8
14:13,14 59:14	person 14:23	116:15 124:7	90:18 97:4
74:12 114:1	36:1 112:6	plaintiffs 3:3	98:1 109:21
pending 101:3	117:14 143:10	3:10 4:4 5:7	113:6 115:14
102:21	personal 10:24	6:5,17 22:8	115:16 118:17
people 5:14	35:2	48:6 101:4	120:20 121:9
42:16 82:4		104:9 106:1	123:23 125:6

120.12 121 (22.5 40.0		nuotor de d
129:12 131:6	32:5 49:8	preliminary	pretended 76:17
132:20 134:10	possible 15:14	3:3,10 18:12 18:14 49:6	
139:13 141:1,2	46:16,18 52:15	1001. 1910	pretty 24:8
141:18 142:18	53:19 135:11	52:7 53:5 62:8	42:12 72:13
142:23	135:13	87:6,8 100:5,7	prevail 101:21
pointed 8:23	post 8:6 11:5	101:4,18,21	104:8
10:6 19:10,13	21:21,24 22:1	104:22 112:4,7	prevailing 41:5
19:20 31:6	22:4,17,19	114:16 139:7	101:5
32:19 56:19	23:9 37:1	139:17	prevails 104:3
66:10 116:18	64:22 85:20	prepare 46:11	prevent 48:20
122:12,14	106:17 118:20	46:18 66:11,19	101:19 105:22
pointing 15:21	120:3,17	67:17 68:17	106:25
41:12 42:3	posted 28:12	prepared 44:3	prevented
95:7	posture 62:8	45:6 47:9 54:6	48:19 49:9
points 12:17	87:6	66:17 104:24	previously
15:7 36:8 37:9	potential 57:12	105:6	106:9
139:4	potentially	preparing	price 41:5,18
popping 65:21	30:10 60:21	46:14,22 66:22	64:13 82:9
popular 78:19	61:25	109:24	122:18 137:16
portion 44:24	pound 105:15	present 30:11	prices 81:21
59:18 86:13,16	power 89:15	113:8	primarily
87:9 88:5,22	powers 60:15	presentation	108:1
89:18 115:15	practical 34:10	13:2 18:6 63:8	primary
portions 56:3	126:17	87:14 119:13	130:19
139:8	practice 84:7	presented 82:3	principle 49:22
position 44:8	praise 72:22	93:23	printed 35:24
46:21 56:4	praised 71:22	preserve 6:19	prior 78:22
57:2 61:17	pre 8:5 11:4	104:19	privacy 110:18
63:18 68:10,10	112:9 117:7	preserved 51:4	private 36:22
69:12 71:16	118:19	52:15 105:2	70:14
84:8,9,11	precise 27:25	preserving	privilege
117:3 127:18	precisely 24:21	102:15	120:24
129:11	26:12 27:1	pressure 72:18	probably
possession	46:15	pressured	23:23 34:14
23:5 63:6	predate 7:10	72:17	37:15 99:25
possibility	prejudice	presumably	problem 26:21
12:23 30:7	141:3	49:7	28:14,15 30:25
	Varitant Lac		

30:12 75:17 procedure 3:4	63:3,25 64:6 64:17,24,25	123:10,12 127:11,16,17	131:21 134:11 134:13,16,18
_	1 ' '	, ,	, ,
3:11	71:17 72:2	127:18 128:3	135:2,4,13,15
proceed 5:6,22	74:15 90:8,10	128:11,14,17	prophets 11:12
62:2	90:12,13,14,19	129:8,8	proposed
proceeding 3:1	90:23,25 91:6	program 45:8	24:25
5:20 19:1	91:14,23,24	prohibited	proposing
proceedings	92:10,13,15	25:23 26:11,12	27:19 28:2
144:2 145:4	93:14 94:7	27:1 28:19	proposition
proceeds 24:1	99:21 107:5	promise 18:18	52:5
25:1,9,11 50:5	123:6,9 127:1	promised	prosecuted
52:19	127:12 129:16	95:11	96:1
process 17:22	129:17 130:5	promising	protocol 81:20
113:8 121:2	131:10,11,11	59:18	82:17 83:8
produce 33:5	133:16 134:1,6	promotion	protocols
46:5 68:18	profitability	78:24	113:13
77:8 90:22	72:8 91:18,21	prong 62:17	prove 45:25
produced	profitable	71:11 87:17	130:6 133:4
34:15 90:18	62:22 65:19,25	98:6 107:24	140:13
98:22,22	71:24 72:4,5,7	prongs 97:22	provide 10:12
1 1 2 0 1 5	72:9,11,15	139:6	44:18 60:4
128:15			
producing	73:2,4,4 74:8	proof 56:18	116:22 118:21
producing 69:17	74:10,19,24	128:14	118:23
producing 69:17 production	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13	128:14 prop 72:23	118:23 provided 9:4
producing 69:17 production 34:7	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11
producing 69:17 production 34:7 productive	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18 profitably	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23 54:24	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11 27:23 45:25
producing 69:17 production 34:7	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18 profitably 71:21 74:16	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23 54:24 property 8:7,8	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11 27:23 45:25 66:4 72:12
producing 69:17 production 34:7 productive	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18 profitably	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23 54:24	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11 27:23 45:25
producing 69:17 production 34:7 productive 52:25	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18 profitably 71:21 74:16	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23 54:24 property 8:7,8	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11 27:23 45:25 66:4 72:12
producing 69:17 production 34:7 productive 52:25 profit 7:22	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18 profitably 71:21 74:16 107:3 112:15	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23 54:24 property 8:7,8 24:1,2,23 25:4	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11 27:23 45:25 66:4 72:12 109:22 118:25
producing 69:17 production 34:7 productive 52:25 profit 7:22 11:10 12:6,23	74:10,19,24 75:3 76:13 77:18 profitably 71:21 74:16 107:3 112:15 profits 11:13	128:14 prop 72:23 proper 27:23 54:24 property 8:7,8 24:1,2,23 25:4 27:9,18 28:1,5	118:23 provided 9:4 11:9 14:11 27:23 45:25 66:4 72:12 109:22 118:25 120:15 127:21

provides 13:23	78:22 83:3	px 16:22 17:1,3	quinn 50:7
15:24 40:14	purchases	17:4 43:8	quit 36:11,19
45:23 49:16	21:14 37:7	55:22,24 56:2	38:21,24
51:22 123:19	58:16 77:19,19	56:11 89:1,1	quite 48:12,15
123:21 124:17	77:22,24 78:2	89:21,21,21,22	77:5 81:9 94:8
124:23 125:19	78:3,8,15	89:23 92:22	104:15 114:4
providing	purpose 110:9	95:5 114:25	137:2 139:23
60:12 88:2	110:22	115:2	quo 6:18 51:13
91:19 133:22	purposed 82:7	q	53:6
provision 9:2	purposes	quantified	quote 72:14
17:23 44:2	131:22	128:17	90:5
54:14 114:19	pursuant 3:3	quantifying	r
114:19,20	3:10 95:18,24	127:15	r 2:1 4:1 5:1
122:24 125:13	95:25 119:3	question 6:25	145:1
127:8	pursue 104:1	7:18 8:4 12:20	raise 114:3
provisions	pursuing 111:7	23:2 24:18,20	131:15
8:24 12:1 14:7	push 23:15	41:15 45:11	raised 87:13
42:6 94:3	112:20	48:16 52:8	103:16 127:22
123:22 125:4	pushed 65:25	57:15 68:7	raises 136:21
pto 117:7,17	79:24	74:21 75:23	ran 126:19
public 75:12	pushing 72:20	77:1 91:3 98:2	range 22:5
75:14,16 77:20	put 10:11	119:1 127:13	rates 131:4
78:14 110:13	11:11 35:24	127:22 129:10	rather 33:24
pull 55:24	41:16,17 45:19	130:20 134:25	56:12 107:14
89:20,21 91:13	71:17 84:25	143:11	137:15 141:6
92:22 117:8	88:11 97:11	questions 18:5	reach 23:12
purchase	100:10 108:5	18:22 32:11	48:3 52:12
15:22 58:21	108:18 109:18	76:18 77:3	100:25
59:11,12,17	117:9,18,21	87:13,18	read 117:17
62:24 63:1,2,6	120:3 122:5	103:16 111:24	ready 5:6
64:6,23 88:4	127:15 132:24	113:7 114:3	53:24
88:14,21 89:4	133:20 136:3	133:10 137:10	real 104:11
89:12 92:17	137:22	138:1,13 139:6	113:21
122:18 131:1	putting 17:21	139:11	really 11:11
purchased	113:2	quickly 39:9	21:1 24:3 27:8
22:5 37:4	puzzle 79:17	39:10 52:12	27:10,12 34:11
38:13 76:21		106:16 120:20	44:1 63:14
	Varitant I ad		1111 00111

	•		
64:10,16 75:4	reconnected	rejoin 31:21	remembering
86:7 99:16	32:4	related 15:22	37:15 125:13
107:17 110:25	record 5:18	29:2 52:11	remind 10:10
111:16 121:25	6:16 20:10,12	108:3 109:4,5	23:19 37:8
123:20 124:14	30:3 36:7 47:7	112:15,25	remove 47:16
130:2,15,18	47:22,24 54:9	relationship	removed 7:4
131:12 135:9	57:3 85:24	45:14 57:10	48:3
136:13 137:18	116:4,17 142:6	65:24 89:20,25	rendered 36:19
139:4 141:17	142:13 145:4	90:4	rent 10:20,24
reason 35:20	records 93:10	relevant 24:4	10:25
49:12 67:2	121:25	55:4,5 56:2	repayment
81:16 82:17	recovered	88:5 93:9	10:23
104:12	103:8	131:3	repeat 5:12
reasonable	reference 62:2	relief 26:9,15	repeated 47:25
131:18	123:5	26:25,25 32:5	report 28:13
reasons 52:10	referring 43:4	47:15 50:24	representing
rebuttal	43:23 118:8	130:16	54:3
139:18	119:24	rely 16:7 24:7	request 18:2
recall 70:25	refers 89:25	99:14	33:9,12 34:9
82:10 85:13,16	122:22	relying 7:25	126:24 129:13
85:17 86:20	refreshed	8:5,20 23:19	requested
118:6	120:9	38:16 45:7	17:25 129:15
received 85:9	refused 19:6	100:3	require 32:6
124:19 134:24	60:4 66:6	remain 5:19	81:8
136:2	67:23	52:22 101:18	required 9:6
recently 57:3	refusing 54:18	remaining	14:24 19:3
109:14	regard 9:22	27:25	33:10 53:6
recess 53:15	58:14	remains 23:5	66:11 90:20
recognize 5:17	regarding 15:6	24:21 57:4	92:15 94:19
55:1	56:17 57:1	remember	102:1 117:5
recognized	regardless	10:17 21:6	125:14 139:8
118:13	72:25	34:16 42:3	139:17
recollection	regulators	82:12,12 85:2	requirement
21:23 35:8	75:14	85:4,7 86:7	53:4 90:22
84:22,23 85:6	rejected	107:13 115:6	requirements
85:23 86:5,6	111:12	124:15 131:2	63:22
120:7		133:19 137:7	

[requires - roche]

Page 37

requires 62:14	126:20 133:1	resulted 41:19	47:11 53:9,16
research	136:7	81:20	60:1 62:17
106:16	respected	resume 53:13	63:23 64:4
resign 117:1	111:22	resumed 32:9	65:11 66:7,20
resignation	respectfully	retain 17:13	67:1 68:15
7:10 11:4,5	7:12 15:20	90:7,24	71:13 72:11
12:12,12 39:6	52:8	return 19:6	73:12,17 76:16
55:22 56:3	respond 12:17	36:11,21,22	84:5 88:13
57:4,8 81:3	94:4 97:18	60:23 84:14	89:3 92:16,17
118:3,13	125:24 143:8	87:19 109:17	92:18,20,23
resigned 7:4	responded	133:11	93:3,16,20
8:2,8 9:14,16	11:17	returned 64:14	96:7,16 97:22
11:21 20:3	response 28:8	73:15 102:22	100:6 105:8,16
22:10 23:11	126:9 129:20	102:22 133:15	105:24 111:15
36:24 37:6	responsibility	133:25	111:20 112:3
56:15 57:2,7	46:12 66:22	revenue 41:20	116:1 117:20
61:3 72:19	69:6	41:22,24 43:1	121:7 122:15
117:4,6 127:2	responsible	43:16 92:6	122:21 123:17
resigning 56:4	16:1 45:24	95:8 121:10	123:25 124:2
resignment	46:14,22 65:16	revenues	125:2,19 127:2
126:13	68:8,23,25	124:19	127:20 128:6,8
resolution	69:2,8 89:5,13	review 70:2	130:22 131:22
98:10,18	rest 107:20	91:19 120:24	132:20 133:24
resolutions	resting 75:20	141:14	135:18 140:12
36:21 96:21	restrain	ride 25:10	141:25 143:5
117:5	106:14	rigas 106:8	rights 102:13
resolve 6:22	restraining	right 5:4,5,9	rise 43:24
132:5	101:6,6,17	6:1,11 8:21,23	63:19 64:18
resolves 113:1	restraint 50:5	9:16 10:21,24	risk 28:5 38:21
resources	restricted	12:17,21,23	51:8,23 135:6
106:2	51:25	13:4,15 15:17	141:8
respect 8:15	restriction	17:4,6 20:21	risks 17:21
14:20 17:23	102:17 103:3	21:8 29:24	road 145:21
18:24 20:21	result 81:18,23	31:2 32:14	roche 4:14,19
38:2 85:24	82:18 83:25	35:1 39:4 40:9	6:7,9 11:24
111:22 123:6	85:17 120:10	41:7,9,14,23	83:12
124:18 126:19		44:16,23 46:15	
	Veriteyt Lea	101.	

[roche's - seller] Page 38

roche's 18:1	73:19,21 79:14	scott 4:11 6:6	76:20 81:9
role 56:7	saying 5:10	scrap 19:20	88:10,12 92:9
romanette 93:2	21:19 23:6,14	98:19	92:25 93:1
115:5,9,9,11	35:22 46:4	screams	96:25 102:9
115:14,18	57:16 60:23	110:20	118:10 122:25
125:19	61:6 62:19	screen 77:22	125:23 141:8
romanettes	65:11 66:25	88:11 91:13	seed 119:25,25
115:25	67:16 68:18	118:9	seeking 24:24
ron 8:23	69:7,21 93:23	screenshots	24:24 51:14
rough 22:16	94:9,13 97:2	91:19	104:17
round 22:18	102:14 123:24	search 33:10	seeks 6:18
rows 21:7	126:6 127:3,4	33:16,17,21,22	seem 85:7
rule 3:4,10	128:22 129:23	34:4,5,12,12	seemed 65:1
ruled 106:20	130:9 140:12	searched 34:21	131:22
rules 3:4,11	says 31:19	sec 50:7,8	seems 11:18
run 33:16	51:13 56:4,8	second 20:20	seen 26:18
34:12	57:1,25 59:19	21:11 24:19	44:14 69:21
S	63:9,16 67:5,9	58:9 64:8	76:18 96:20
s 4:1 5:1	67:12 75:5,5,6	68:22 80:20	108:2 110:3
s.d.n.y 50:9	90:24 92:5	90:16 107:12	132:12,13
s.d.n.y. 49:21	93:1 95:23	108:22 125:19	133:13
sad 69:18	96:20,24 98:19	131:21 137:11	segregated
sanction	99:22 113:12	secrete 47:20	108:1
110:21	115:16 118:14	secretion 47:24	seize 39:19
sanctioned	120:13 122:11	secretive 78:1	44:10
110:5	124:4 125:20	section 43:10	self 11:25 12:1
sandwich	132:22	43:12,22 49:13	12:10,20 13:7
142:20	schedule 16:8	88:20 89:3	19:14 44:15,24
sat 76:25 105:7	16:13,22 40:14	114:24 122:12	54:14 55:6,13
satisfaction	42:11 69:12	see 10:1 11:25	69:23 78:24
45:20	76:11 77:8	23:24 33:10	80:15,17 94:1
satisfy 6:23	90:3 125:2	37:11 39:16	128:7
51:23 52:22	131:18 142:2	40:17 41:12	sell 59:14
53:4	scheduled 3:6	42:20 44:21	seller 88:16
save 133:6	scolded 78:10	50:18 60:1	89:6,10 93:6,6
saw 19:17 65:8	scope 27:9,25	62:2 64:9 67:4	93:8 125:20,21
65:10 73:8,9	136:7	72:24 76:14,15	

seller's 93:10	125:14 131:8	129:22 134:6	shown 47:19
selling 88:16	services 12:21	shared 37:9	76:10 101:4
send 35:11	14:9,11 15:22	72:7 127:11	114:8 129:7
37:20 73:24	15:23,24 16:9	sharing 74:15	140:3 141:18
sense 16:2	18:3 36:18	131:10	141:20
17:20 41:7,10	42:9,11 45:21	shell 133:2	shows 26:16
45:1 77:16	58:15,21 60:10	shocked 57:6	45:20 62:25
80:3 109:24	63:7 66:11	short 92:2	63:2 64:6 65:3
125:16 126:1	74:5,16 88:2	shortage	65:12,20,23
126:10	89:19,22,24	137:21	70:17 79:1
sensitive 132:8	90:3 123:5	shortly 82:8	92:6 95:7
sent 36:20	124:5,6,11,12	show 13:4,5	119:14
37:17 49:6	124:18,24	18:21 23:7	side 32:7 64:16
50:20 65:4	serving 19:14	39:18 40:4,7	110:20 142:3
109:13 116:18	set 5:2 38:12	44:9 46:5	sides 64:19
separate 8:14	54:24 55:3,6	63:10 74:18	132:4
10:7 61:19	55:14 125:1	76:12 77:17,20	signature
94:8 128:7	130:7	77:22 87:11,12	145:7
separately	settle 30:10	87:19 91:1,5	signed 45:18
61:16	32:6 135:19	95:15 103:13	45:22 119:4
september	seven 42:24	103:21 104:11	significant
17:25 36:16,17	56:12 65:21	107:17,19	54:19 72:2
37:17 73:25	69:18 73:21	112:3,11	104:1 112:10
120:6 126:17	138:6	113:18,20,20	significantly
129:14 137:7	several 134:24	113:23 118:12	70:3
138:7,7	share 7:22	139:15,16,20	signing 13:12
series 42:6	11:10 12:6,24	140:17,19,24	similar 36:24
50:21	14:1 15:16	showed 72:16	simple 76:18
serious 18:22	31:10 33:1	77:21 90:6	simply 105:3
30:14 87:13,18	39:20 45:3,16	91:9,21 118:6	simultaneously
103:16 114:3	45:19 53:20	121:10	59:19
139:6,11	59:16,19 71:17	showing 11:8	single 36:2
serve 33:9,12	85:3 88:5 92:6	18:24 63:11	49:15 74:14
service 14:6,14	92:10,13 93:14	85:12 91:14,21	76:25 77:1
39:12 42:17	94:7 95:8	103:19 112:10	95:6 107:5
46:21 89:25	118:9 121:11	143:3	siphoned
90:4 123:5	123:6 127:1		101:13

[sir - stay] Page 40

sir 12:18 119:8	48:14 88:10	spent 10:22	111:23 121:14
sit 22:8 143:11	89:1 95:10	38:5,6 50:13	121:20
sitting 62:7	105:21 113:20	52:21 76:24	standard 19:2
87:6 104:2	115:10 117:14	85:10,10	53:4 87:7,10
105:10 114:10	126:25 131:8	104:21 111:6	139:7
114:18 138:22	134:17,21	130:14 139:5	standards 26:4
situation 25:7	143:7	sphere 108:5	standpoint
54:16,17 96:5	sort 22:5 27:25	split 126:16	5:21
143:9	32:4 41:7 44:4	spreadsheet	start 5:10
six 38:6 74:5	83:15 90:17	9:3 10:25	12:19 59:2
126:16 138:5	113:11 131:23	20:22 21:2	62:16,16,20
skip 121:5	133:2	23:22 24:2	116:11 117:25
slams 80:9	sorts 96:21	27:10 36:23	123:21 124:1
small 82:14	sought 94:3	37:13 38:15,17	started 34:7
91:14 120:20	sounds 22:16	50:18 76:25	53:11 61:10
smoothly 5:21	source 49:19	92:4 105:7	83:12 93:22
software 15:11	106:1	136:14,15,24	119:6
28:18	southern 1:2	136:25 138:4	starting 18:20
sold 81:21	29:1,11 30:4	spreadsheets	32:18
sole 89:6	48:4	9:24 10:6,9,12	state 11:15
105:19 111:4	speaking 30:2	134:14,23	72:13 73:17
124:24	specific 9:9,19	137:5	79:2 94:5
solution 28:4	16:3,7 37:15	squander	stated 106:4
solutions	38:6 70:18,19	52:20	statement 9:4
145:20	86:4,9 115:15	squandered	50:14 90:15,19
somebody 15:3	129:9 130:20	52:16 114:6	90:23 91:25
16:4 42:3	specifically	stable 102:20	92:15 97:7
78:11 129:7	10:5 13:23	staffing 137:21	99:4
143:17	14:8 15:24	stage 23:12	statements
somewhat	63:16 117:4	26:8 101:3	74:8
10:19	122:14	stages 98:20	states 1:1,18
sonya 3:25	speed 48:1	stake 106:3	49:24
145:3,8	spend 22:4	stand 35:20	status 6:18
sorry 9:9 16:16	83:15 111:8	52:4 72:18	51:13 53:6
17:2 25:20	116:6	76:15,16,17,23	56:18
29:10,12,25	spending	76:24 79:15	stay 49:2
42:9,11 43:11	130:12	84:19 85:5	131:16 137:12

steal 79:6	72:1,8,22,23	134:6 136:23	strong 47:25
stealing 55:5	72:24 73:4,5,9	136:24 137:1	53:2,3 56:9
62:15 77:15	73:10,12,18,19	140:4 141:9,15	strongly 128:6
step 10:14	74:25 75:1,21	142:17,19	struck 63:22
58:22 60:6	75:24,24 76:6	143:15	structure 56:9
stepping 92:10	76:7,16,23	stone's 7:20,21	60:6 87:24
stipulate 62:2	77:13,21 78:1	31:6 32:20	study 9:20
stipulated	78:17,21,21	33:14 34:9	stuff 28:19,20
117:11,19,23	79:5,14,15,25	59:13 66:21	112:5
118:14	80:3,22 81:10	67:6 68:1 69:4	subject 10:16
stipulation	82:4,7,19 83:2	69:9 78:24	50:4 93:17
48:18 62:1	83:24 84:18	79:13 81:22	134:11 135:15
stolen 133:5	85:8,13,25	89:7,10,14	142:6,25
stone 1:15 3:2	86:8 87:3,23	92:18 112:5	submission
4:15 7:4 8:1,15	88:1,5,15,21	116:24 119:20	20:11
9:12 10:11,24	89:9,13,16,20	127:14	submissions
11:10,19 14:12	90:1,7,11	stop 12:13	63:13 64:19
15:25 17:10,20	91:17 92:3,11	39:25 57:14,15	81:8 85:21
17:21 19:14,16	92:13,19,22	57:15,15 68:7	142:2
19:20 20:2	93:4,13,15,24	68:7,22 79:8	submit 7:8
25:1 31:5,16	94:18 96:6	80:14 91:3	13:5 14:17
34:17 36:9	97:4 98:21	96:13,13,13	19:1 35:18,18
39:23 44:25	99:12 100:2,20	107:6 118:16	36:3,4 44:8,25
45:17,22 46:9	101:23 107:4	142:16	52:2,6,8,23
46:12 47:8	109:13 110:14	story 7:16	53:5 60:20
49:6 50:12	113:6,25 115:6	13:18,20 36:3	75:23 79:5,23
53:20 54:17	116:19,20	36:24 37:15	101:9 102:10
56:11,14,22,23	117:24 118:2,6	62:17	132:5 135:14
57:19 58:7,10	119:4,5 120:8	straight 123:22	submits 6:17
58:20,24 59:1	120:21 123:17	strategy 65:25	submitted
59:5 60:11,14	123:25 125:14	73:23	135:10
60:23 61:3	125:25 126:4	strauss 4:3 6:4	submitting
62:3,10 63:18	126:16 128:13	6:16 116:5	142:2
65:6,14,20	128:20,21	strength 75:13	subsections
67:16,20 69:14	129:4 130:13	strictly 43:20	43:22
69:22,24 70:5	132:22,23	strikes 11:17	subsidiary
71:9,16,22	133:11,11		59:6 88:17

substantial 7:9	supplants 18:3	surprised 48:9	taken 19:6
23:25 38:19	supplement	48:12,15	24:1 25:2
101:5 103:7	118:19	survive 126:13	27:15 30:25
105:17,19	supplemental	suspect 39:22	38:17 50:17
109:22 139:1	64:19 125:7	104:12	52:21 54:21
140:3	support 8:18	suspects 50:23	76:8,9
succeed 61:23	19:2,12,19	swiftly 50:24	takes 6:22
success 62:9	39:3 49:15	sworn 9:4	talk 7:1,11
79:3 87:12,17	124:2	10:11 50:14	32:18 62:15
113:18,21,23	supports 19:21	119:20	64:11 110:4
139:6 140:4,16	35:12 44:15	system 33:11	112:17 122:8
successful	98:24	t	142:22
129:23	suppose 30:7	t 145:1,1	talked 39:10
successfully	133:6	table 6:6	39:11,17 50:11
72:1	supposed	table 0.0	58:15,20 78:20
suffer 103:25	41:20 67:9,10	take 7:22 10:14	97:23 108:23
suffered 82:4	67:12 125:23	12:3,8,11,12	141:16 142:17
120:10,19	126:22 129:15	13:13 19:22	talking 7:3,6
sufficient	supposedly	27:2 31:10	14:2,3 16:14
51:15,18,20	32:25 33:6	32:21,25 35:22	22:17 25:16
113:20	36:17 45:5	36:5 38:25	35:21 36:1,9
sufficiently	49:11	39:2 40:21	67:15 70:22
51:11 87:12,18	supreme 49:24	45:3 53:12	86:10 98:4
103:16 114:3	sure 11:25 16:5	55:17,18 58:17	143:9
suggested	21:6 24:22	58:19,22 65:13	talks 56:6
133:16	30:25 32:2,15	69:23,25 71:17	targets 13:10
suggesting	39:21 42:23	73:19 75:3	team 16:6
46:17 127:10	43:2,3 47:2	77:7,10 78:12	27:21 28:11
127:12 131:23	51:1,10 53:22	78:12 83:18	85:17 130:21
136:11	73:14 74:25	84:13 91:23	technically
suite 145:22	85:15 98:8	94:9,24 95:2	129:18
sums 131:1,4	116:8,11 117:9	95:22 96:3,15	tell 8:10 10:25
sunset 25:11	122:10,17	96:22 98:11	20:1 39:23
supp 50:8	125:7 129:2,9	99:9,9,14,21	55:17 59:21
supplanted	131:16,17	113:14 117:6	76:22 80:8
15:23	132:11 137:2	118:9 127:17	82:5 85:22
	137:13,13	130:8 132:3	86:23,23 90:22
		_	

[tell - thought] Page 43

	1		
122:2 126:18	37:9,24 46:16	76:3,14 77:9	84:18 86:12,13
127:2 129:19	65:15 66:1	80:23,24 94:15	87:9 90:17
142:13,14	71:19,22 72:3	100:4,14	91:6,16 93:23
teller 77:13,14	72:21 78:18	106:23 112:12	95:3,19 97:1,1
telling 39:4	82:7 84:18	119:12 128:4,9	97:21,23,24
74:21,23 80:3	119:5,22 120:8	129:24 131:6	98:9,14 99:12
97:10 111:15	testify 14:12	132:21	99:15,16,16,17
111:15	19:18	things 8:19	100:2 102:6
tells 36:23	testimony 7:20	10:18,21 21:13	103:14,19
99:13	7:21,23 15:9	54:5 59:14	109:3,6,16
temporarily	31:7 32:20	75:8 79:5 86:6	113:5 115:15
6:21 51:25	37:25 46:8	86:7 91:12	119:6 123:22
135:3,16	49:5 78:16,17	102:1 110:23	124:1 125:5
temporary	81:22 85:24	120:24 122:23	128:11 129:2
101:6,17	86:6 107:25	think 5:20,24	129:15,18
134:11,14	109:13 120:7	8:3,25 9:5,21	130:1,2,5,16
136:12	128:10 133:19	12:2,5 13:3,8	130:18 132:16
tend 18:7	137:1	14:1,3,19	133:13,15,23
tens 65:4 78:5	testing 38:22	15:19,20 17:24	134:14,15
term 63:3	text 34:24 35:3	20:20 21:19,22	135:22 137:1,6
122:25 123:3	35:15,19 98:23	22:1,4 23:15	137:14,25
terminated	99:1	24:21 26:19	138:1,9,11,15
116:21	texts 34:25	28:4,9,17	138:23 139:24
terms 15:22	thank 5:3 6:1	30:14,23 33:19	140:16 141:16
18:4 21:25	6:13,15 53:7,8	33:23 34:1,16	142:11 143:20
33:16,17,21	53:14,24 65:13	35:17 37:14,25	thinking 30:22
34:2,5,12,13	73:19 88:9	38:10 39:16,17	thinks 71:25
42:12 45:1	116:1,2 136:20	40:3 42:13	77:5 78:12
55:8 89:15	138:16 141:25	44:24 45:17,17	third 17:7,12
90:4 100:19	142:4 143:6	52:25 54:8,25	21:13 56:25
101:16 105:8	theft 62:15	57:23 58:7,14	84:24 86:13
105:14 119:21	78:9 143:10	58:21 60:5,5	124:20
120:21 134:10	theory 74:9	64:11 67:14	thompson 72:5
test 46:5	thief 78:11,18	69:14,24 70:15	thought 11:23
testified 31:8	79:10 80:4,6	75:8,19 76:1,1	27:6 30:13
32:23 33:1	thing 11:23	76:2 79:12,14	37:9 48:18
34:23 35:6	30:16,19 32:4	81:17 83:2	71:21,24 73:3
	1	1	1

74:24 77:17	tiny 114:6	98:21 120:14	50:5 51:6 58:1
128:16	tips 87:15	120:19 129:11	58:3,4,9 62:10
thousand 76:5	107:22 114:4	130:21 136:10	62:19,21 67:20
thousands 76:6	139:16	tornado 37:18	67:21 74:22,23
78:6 79:7	titled 90:4	37:20,20,22,24	76:13 77:2
108:17	today 5:15,18	47:22 50:12,20	79:2,4,12,13
threatens	23:24 44:3,22	110:4,7,9,19	79:22 98:1
47:16	55:21 57:7	110:20,20,21	109:23 130:15
three 21:2 37:7	74:21 121:14	110:24 113:10	130:24
59:13 137:6	134:6 136:4	total 61:1,4,7	transcribed
138:5	138:22	109:7 131:4	3:25
throw 112:4	together 45:19	totality 80:2	transcript
thumbs 75:14	83:12	totals 11:3	82:11 84:19
time 6:22 9:14	token 20:22	touched 50:15	85:8,20,21
9:15,18 10:2	36:23	102:21 110:5	100:20 145:4
14:3,5,11,13	tokens 21:12	tough 77:8	transfer 14:4
17:25 22:3	36:25 37:1	88:12	37:10 61:15
24:6 27:12	41:22 59:15	tower 4:6	81:13 83:17,17
39:14 45:6	77:24 102:20	trace 26:17,20	84:15 89:11
54:12,21 55:1	102:20 104:18	110:16 143:22	97:3 98:6
55:25 59:15	told 61:6 71:23	traceable	112:24 118:11
60:19 63:23	72:5,6,8 73:5	49:17	135:7 136:25
73:2,3,5 74:17	75:10 79:8	traced 51:7	137:2,4,5
78:5 83:25	86:12 108:13	101:1	138:4,18
85:11 96:2	113:6 115:21	tracing 28:15	transferred
98:1 99:24	121:21 139:14	111:25	6:21 21:12
109:13 116:6	139:14 142:24	track 28:20	23:9 27:17
116:25 120:22	tomorrow	45:9 110:11	36:25 37:5,23
121:24 128:5,9	142:1	tracking 76:25	37:23 47:21
128:10 130:10	took 6:20 7:2	trading 65:25	48:10 52:12
130:12 131:5	8:7,8 12:10	132:23	65:15 81:14
131:17 137:17	25:9 31:17	transaction	86:21 100:11
138:8 139:5	34:5,18 36:3	76:25	100:25 101:23
times 36:25	37:16 38:21	transactions	135:2
38:18 54:9	50:12,19 53:1	7:7,9 9:4,19	transferring
55:21	53:10 62:4,6	21:23 22:3,11	21:3 57:18
	83:1,2,4 96:7	22:13 48:1	65:17 101:7

			_
128:23	trial 117:8	trying 10:14	u
transfers 7:14	119:23 120:17	12:11 39:23	u.s. 2:3 38:18
8:6,6 10:13	tried 9:25 40:4	41:23 71:15	43:18,20 63:16
14:2 19:10,12	56:2 127:23	130:3	64:11,12
21:3,21,22,24	137:14	turn 33:14	ultimately
22:18,19 23:11	trier 68:24	47:12 56:1	35:23 37:13
23:21 24:4	69:7,11	103:11 120:23	101:20 131:11
26:17 36:10,16	trigger 94:3,6	turned 129:3	unambiguous
36:18,20 37:3	tro 101:3	turning 33:17	25:14 26:5
37:12,12 38:19	105:18 106:9	120:21	unauthorized
47:21 48:22	131:13,20	turnover 19:3	7:7 22:18,20
51:6 52:1	135:7 142:20	turns 143:17	23:9,11 53:3
54:12 55:10,12	142:25	tweet 79:7	55:10,11,12,19
60:25 61:4,10	troubles 25:24	tweeted 78:3,9	61:11 84:14
61:11 62:11,21	true 15:5 49:22	tweeting 78:7,8	94:14 118:18
70:5,9,10,22	49:23 69:10,15	78:10 79:8	unclear 108:16
70:25 71:7,10	105:15 111:15	twenty 36:19	141:3
71:20 78:13	128:21 129:5,6	twilight 57:9	uncomfortable
80:25 81:5	134:9 143:17	57:16 117:1	24:8
94:13,20 97:23	145:4	twitter 78:2,3	under 19:18
98:1 100:21	truly 107:1	78:4,14,19,19	24:8 27:23
110:12 118:18	trustworthy	78:20,25 79:9	30:11 35:17
118:20 130:20	75:18	two 8:19 27:16	40:4 46:21
131:5,7,20,21	truth 36:4	29:2 30:2	62:24 63:2
131:25 134:24	77:13,14	31:11 33:1	64:12 74:9
136:23 138:6,9	truthfully	35:13,13 37:8	76:10 77:7
138:10,21	111:24	50:7 58:4	82:13 87:1,11
142:16	try 10:7 18:12	71:10 75:15	87:16,18,21
transition 81:4	20:25 21:1	79:18,25 91:21	88:2,14 89:3,7
87:21,22	30:10,12 32:6	97:21 122:5	89:12 90:3
transitioning	35:14 44:20	137:6 138:5,7	93:4 99:20
56:6	67:15 108:18	139:4 143:13	115:25 123:17
transparent	113:14 120:12	type 51:12,13	124:23 126:1
80:5 113:9	129:22 135:18	typically 11:13	130:20,24
treasury 28:18	135:19 143:24		143:15
trepel 106:13	144:1		understand
			9:6,22 12:11

15:13 21:15,19	undisputed	50:20 90:19	veritable
23:13,14 24:15	64:24 81:23	110:22,23	105:24
25:12 26:7	82:5 107:25	111:1	veritext 145:20
27:5 45:8,10	119:17 123:14	using 3:9 82:23	vested 113:25
54:13 58:22	unfair 20:14	93:10 96:15	view 83:7
60:5 67:1 68:5	unflattering	98:11 110:9,13	94:23 133:25
68:5 70:16,17	85:23	119:24	violate 26:3
71:14 75:22	unfortunately	usually 41:9	violated
76:18 79:23	67:15	utilized 25:1	102:18
83:9,10 96:17	unilaterally	110:25	violating 135:7
97:21 98:20	94:24	utilizing	violation 49:2
102:3,12 103:3	united 1:1,18	113:10	virtue 63:22
110:6 111:13	49:24 112:8	V	visible 78:2
126:9 132:18	140:19	v 1:14 3:2	110:10
140:21,25	universe 113:1	28:24 29:5,10	voices 30:2
141:14	unjust 19:4	47:14 50:7,8	voluntarily
understanding	unlimited	106:8,13	109:22 114:11
26:23 34:14	106:1	valuable	141:4,22
43:19 70:21	unpack 128:4	108:16	w
82:6,13 122:10	128:8	valuations	w.l. 28:24 29:6
130:23 131:20	unsecured	137:17	29:10,13,20
133:22 138:19	55:16,16 104:4	value 21:21	48:4 106:10,15
understands	unsupported	23:7 38:18	wait 23:2 42:8
81:17	19:14	40:6,22 41:1	67:8 68:22
understood	upfront 103:2	43:24 63:20,24	80:20 91:3
21:25 37:25	upheld 49:25	64:18 82:25	waited 49:7
46:10 64:21	urge 52:10	84:17 85:25	111:17
81:12 101:25	usdc 21:13	103:7 108:8,15	waiting 100:15
103:5,9	use 13:12	108:16,18	101:22
undertaken	28:19 49:11	131:1,2,3,3	waiving 102:14
104:24	71:3 81:7	134:7 135:25	walk 58:12
undertook	83:13 106:6	137:15,16	60:16
126:21	110:8 111:4,4	variety 11:18	walked 94:17
underway	112:16 113:3	various 137:17	96:6 97:10
34:14 121:3	131:4 133:5	vast 50:16	126:5
undetermined	used 12:10	vel 4:20 6:8	walks 80:13
57:5	37:5 47:22	54:2	
	Veriteyt I ed		

[wall - william] Page 47

wall 112:5	23:15 26:16,25	99:25 124:1	weak 14:19
walled 134:16	30:8,19 33:16	127:6 130:19	wealth 28:13
wallet 8:1 20:2	39:21 42:20	131:6,23	website 91:20
21:12,14 22:5	43:2 44:21	wants 59:1	week 108:9
37:16 65:11	49:13 54:5,7,9	85:20 97:3	121:1
70:25 71:1,1,1	54:20,23 55:8	98:10 117:22	weekly 42:24
71:2,4,6,7,7	56:1 60:1 61:9	126:18	43:13
82:16,18 83:21	61:14,16 62:16	warranted	weighing 79:24
83:23 86:1	62:20 64:19	38:11	weighs 52:2
107:3,4,5,9,13	75:20 81:13	watching	went 5:21 14:6
108:2,10,20	85:22 86:25	110:11	20:24,24 36:12
109:2,5 110:10	87:1,2,5 96:23	way 13:22,22	41:18 71:4
110:11 112:23	96:24 100:22	13:25 25:6	84:24,24 86:13
112:25 113:15	100:24 101:8	27:11 28:4	87:24 120:14
113:16 119:1,2	101:12,15,20	37:20 39:22	120:19 127:2
119:6,7,9,10	101:22,24	41:3,15 46:2,8	134:25
119:14,14,15	102:7,9,13,16	50:2 51:2,6	westlaw 29:7
120:1,2,6,10	102:19,25	58:9 100:10	whatsapp
120:14 134:18	103:4 108:18	104:25 110:14	34:24 35:1,15
134:19,20,21	109:20,20	110:18 121:12	98:23
134:22 135:24	111:8,11	126:20 133:24	whatsapps
137:3 138:19	112:12 113:2	141:14	35:4
140:5,5 141:7	115:12 116:8	ways 58:4	whereabouts
141:7 142:24	116:13,16	110:16	50:16
wallets 6:21	117:7 118:17	we've 23:20	white 66:8
7:3,4,8 10:13	118:19 120:20	26:18 27:12	89:15
10:14 21:4,4	121:6,7,8	30:21 36:9	whoa 46:13,13
25:3 37:5	122:10,13	44:24 52:10	46:13 57:15,15
70:13 71:8	123:20 124:10	53:2 56:2	57:15
72:1 109:4,5,5	125:6 126:10	58:14,20 66:5	whoever's
112:15 114:12	129:19 130:1	66:8 75:16,17	26:20
119:21,24,25	131:19 135:19	76:10 80:18	wholly 59:6
134:24 135:3	136:3 137:18	97:24,25 98:7	88:17
142:14 143:13	138:24 139:4	103:14 108:4	wife 79:18
want 5:22 8:14	142:5,5 143:11	108:23 109:16	wildly 77:18
18:15 20:11,13	wanted 34:4	142:24	william 4:19
22:1,6,22,24	55:18 96:3		

[willing - zoom]

	I	I	
willing 22:8	143:24	wrongfully	65:14 71:19
38:24	worked 41:15	62:3,6 106:5	75:9,10 76:15
willy 97:3	56:9 106:21	X	76:16,17 77:3
win 75:25	working 59:2	x 1:5,11,17	81:22 82:1
133:4	72:8 73:24	12:6 99:22	84:19 85:5,11
wind 25:10	works 13:25		85:18 100:11
winding 57:9	37:20 41:3	y	111:12 113:6
wins 79:25	87:25	yeah 14:17	119:23 120:7,9
wire 83:17	world 28:15	16:8,13 18:4	127:15
89:11	110:15 120:23	20:20 29:19	yield 41:16
withdraw	wormhole	30:4,21 31:23	112:16 113:14
37:21 62:2	15:12 45:8	34:21 35:3	137:9
withdrawal	46:10,19,25	39:25 40:9	york 1:2,20 4:7
8:18	126:7	42:19 43:8,15	4:17
withdrawals	worth 19:7	48:17 57:22	Z
8:1,15 75:15	22:2 37:1	58:19 66:24	zero 19:19
withdrawn	81:14 82:15,16	89:23 94:21	124:2 141:8,23
11:4 20:2,12	99:20 104:6,19	106:11 115:2	zoom 3:9 5:14
withdrew	104:20 105:11	115:15,18,24	Z 00III 5.7 5.14
37:19,24 38:1	111:7 134:1	125:15 128:8	
witness 121:14	wrap 47:13	129:9 132:18	
121:19 122:2	writing 35:12	137:20 138:9	
witnesses	73:11	138:10 140:21	
19:17 40:4	writings 34:23	year 13:11,12	
79:25 122:6	74:7	41:8 63:7	
wl 29:8 30:3	written 19:20	111:17	
word 117:6	31:7 32:22	years 132:13	
work 9:6,21	39:3 42:16	yellow 21:7,22	
13:22,22 24:21	69:22 77:4	yesterday 5:11	
27:24 64:25	92:2,9,15	5:12,13,15,21	
69:19 72:17	100:19 101:17	8:18 10:5	
79:19 100:18	142:6	11:11,16 14:12	
103:10 108:5	wrong 69:16	19:18 22:23	
109:25 112:7	138:25,25	23:23 31:16	
114:15 120:12	wrongdoing	33:7 34:17	
132:7 133:21	49:17	42:2 48:10	
136:9 143:4,20		49:5 63:8 65:2	

Page 48